Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision:* 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Poland
Period covered in this report	1 January 2015 - December 2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of the Environment - Department of Nature Conservation (CITES MA Poland)
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Ministry of Finance, General Police Headquarter, National Council for Nature Conservation General Director for Environmental Protection

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No I If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?			
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation		\boxtimes	

I	by customs?			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹?			
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	what migh	t help you	to do so:
Indicator	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro	ocedures	provided 1	for in <u>Resolution</u>
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the f	-	Fick all app	olicable
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.			
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.	\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.			
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.			
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: □□□□□		\boxtimes	
Objectiv Indicator	by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, 7	Farget 14 a	and Target	18. Resolutions and
1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requite period covered in this report, including those in the Resolution Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendation the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where listed])?	ns and De is, and No	cisions of tifications	the issued by
	Responses provided to ALL re Responses provided to SOME of the re Responses provided to NONE of the re No special rep	elevant rep elevant rep	porting reco	quirements 🖂 quirements 🗌
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in thi implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the C of the Parties?	is report in) }	es 🗌 No 🛛
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(swere / are being encountered?	s), and, fo	r each, wh	nat difficulties

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals. 1.4.1a Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes ⊠ No□ If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): The Ministry of the Environment commissioned an expert study, finalised in 2016, on analysing qualifying criteria to CITES of capercaillie (Tetrao urogallus) and black grouse (Tetrao tetrix), caused by quite significant international hunting level in neighbouring eastern countries (basing on hunting trophies brought to Poland). The results were inconclusive, so it did not resulted in initiating CITES listing proposal. **Objective 1.5** Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14. Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to: the population status of Appendix-II species; the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans. 1.5.1a Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been If Yes. Not undertaken in your country in relation to: **Applicable** How Yes No many? - the population status of Appendix II species? \boxtimes - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II \boxtimes species? - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring \boxtimes Appendix I species? - the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I \boxtimes species? Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and \boxtimes

indigenous communities?

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

	If there are such studies that you are willing	to share, please provide: see poin	<u>ıt 3.4.1a</u>	
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results of or other analysis (e.g. population stable / increase, off-take levels links to published reference mate	n status, dec etc), or provi	line /
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app	or other analyses used in making		ent
	Illiumgs (NDI 5): Flease lick all that app	Revised harves	t or export a	uotas 🖂
		rtoviced ridivec	Banning e	
		Stricter do	mestic mea	·
		Changed manageme	ent of the sp	ecies 🗌
		Discussion with Manag		
		Discussion with oth		ders?
		er (please provide a short summar	y): 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆	<u> </u>
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste			
	plans for flaturally occurring Appendix-1 liste	Not Applicable		
		No information		H
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summa impact: DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD	ecimens from Appendix I, based o Wild specimens of native CITES	n the law on	species
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	_	o 🛚	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	pecies biology, life history,		\boxtimes
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.		\boxtimes
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at sites protected		\boxtimes
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.		\boxtimes
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.		\boxtimes
	F. national and international trade informatio CITES trade database maintained by UNEP Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade investigations of sales at markets or through for export of native CITES species are respecies are not allowed to be exported from	World Conservation Monitoring e, local knowledge on trade and the Internet for example . NDFs not realised, as native CITES		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

4.5.0						
1.5.2a					No	
			Yes	No	informatio	on
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?					
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making nor attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where on the internet:				e found	
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following	owing				
	guidance been used?	· ·	Pleas	se tick	all that app	ly
	Virtual	College			\boxtimes	
	IUCN C	hecklist			\boxtimes	
	Resolution Co	Resolution Conf. 16.7				
	2008 NDF wo	orkshop				
	Species specific go	uidance			\boxtimes	
		Other				
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:					
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by	case			
	detriment findings?	Annually	,			
		Every tw	o year	S		
		Less fred	quently	,		
		A mix of	the ab	ove		\times
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detrimen	t findings	would	be cha	anged:	
	Guidelines regarding species-specific NDF applied by CITES reviews/ changes made by Scientific Review Group (compose					٠,
	Toviews, changes made by objettine freview Group (compose	u oi oas	oi ali L	O IVIC	TIDEL CIALES	٠)٠

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how	No	
	quotas are set:		Other,
	Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	t finding process:	

Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for comanagement of shared CITES listed species by range States.

404	
1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral
Ì	agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:
	Multilateral agreements/programmes, not dealing specifically with co-management, but applying to species' conservation and management in international context, that Poland is a signatory to/active in, are:
	 Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention).
	 Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar Convention).
	 Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS).
	 Agreement on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic, North East Atlantic, Irish and North Seas (ASCOBANS).
	 Static Acoustic Monitoring of the Baltic Sea Harbour Porpoise (SAMBAH) – http://www.sambah.org
İ	 Carpathian Convention - http://www.carpathianconvention.org

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative in place for shared population	e management plans, including recovery plans, ns of CITES-listed species? Yes ⊠ No ☐
	If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each	es for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference species.
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan
	Canis lupus	 Participation in a Polish-German working group on wolf, set up according to the Guidelines on Large Carnivore Initiative Europe
		 Cooperation on management of the cross-border population of wolf in Poland and Belarus.
	Phocoena phocoena	 Participation in the international project SAMBAH (Static Acoustic Monitoring of the Baltic Sea Harbour Porpoise).

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a Have the CITES authorities *received or benefited* from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?

Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
Staff of Management Authority						
Staff of Scientific Authority				\boxtimes		EU Commision PTOP "Salamandra" (NGO)
Staff of enforcement authorities			100			National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management (IFAW), PTOP "Salamandra"
Traders						
NGOs						
Public						
Other (please specify):						

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the to other range States?	provide	ers of ar	ny of the	e follo	wing	capacity-building activities
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						Staff of Polish MA participated in twinning- light programme, funded by the European Union and run by Hungary, directed to Serbian authorities, aiming in alignment of legislation to EU CITES standards, including review of domestic legislation and training on procedures and enforcement.
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often		Further detail / examples
	Information exchange Monitoring / survey						Up to the 2015 yearly meetings of CITES Scientific and Management Authorities of Central and Eastern Europe were organised by Polish CITES SA, PTOP "Salamandra" and CEEweb.
	Habitat management					Γ	
	Species management						
	•	······································					

	Law enforcement						Czech-Permeeting organise in order informatic	ember 2018 olish bilateral was d in Prague to exchange on on illegal hino horns.
	Capacity building		\boxtimes					
	Other (please provide details) cooper							
	Committee on Trade in Wild I	auna ai	nd Flora	(EU ma	anagem	ent au	ıthorities),	4 meetings
	per year. Scientific Review Group (EU common scientific opinions of NDF for some species export Enforcement Group (custom year.	on impor ted from s, police	t of CITI EU (lik and oth	ES spec e eels). ner enfo	ies to th	ne EU	and to ma	ke common
Objective	Parties are enforcing the Convenience 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convenience 4, Target 5, Target 1.7						et 12 and T	arget 19.
Indicator '	1.7.1: The number of Parties that hav	ent strate ration, si ategy ar	egy and/ uch as a nd/or act	or action in intern ion plan	n plan; ational (; and	enforc		
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or c	overed b	by:			Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy	and/or a	action pl	an?				
	 formal international cooperation, such enforcement network? 	ı as an ir	nternatio	nal				
			plan?				\boxtimes	
	 a national enforcement strategy and/o 	or action						
	 a national enforcement strategy and/o formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? 		as a na	itional				
	– formal national interagency cooperation	on, such	evel of e	engager		d prov	ide additic	
Indicator *	– formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please spedetails: EU Action Plan against Wildlife (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content)	cify the I	evel of eing	engager /?uri=CE mechan	ELEX:52	d prov	l vide additic	om=EN)
Indicator 1.7.2a	- formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please spedetails: EU Action Plan against Wildlife (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content) 1.7.2: The number of Parties with	cify the I Traffick E/EN/TX a proce	evel of eing I/HTML ess or ipplement	engager /?uri=CE mechan t their si	ELEX:52 ism for trategies ent	d provential depression of the consideration of the	l vide additic	om=EN)
	- formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please spedetails: EU Action Plan against Wildlife (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content) 1.7.2: The number of Parties with strategies, and the activities taken bo you have a process or mechanism enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities are activities.	cify the I Traffick E/EN/TX a proce	evel of eing I/HTML ess or ipplement	engager /?uri=CE mechan t their si	ELEX:52 ism for trategies ent	d provential depression of the consideration of the	ide addition C0087&freewing their	om=EN)
	- formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please spectails: EU Action Plan against Wildlife (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content) 1.7.2: The number of Parties with strategies, and the activities taken bo you have a process or mechanism enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities and your strategy(ies)?	cify the I Traffick E/EN/TX a proceed to im meters for revertivities to	evel of eing F/HTML ess or pplemen riewing y aken to i	engager /?uri=CE mechan t their si /our mpleme	ism for trategies	d provential depression of the consideration of the	ride addition C0087&freewing their ut review inderation	om=EN) r enforcement s under
	- formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specials: EU Action Plan against Wildlife (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content) 1.7.2: The number of Parties with strategies, and the activities tale. Do you have a process or mechanism enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities and your strategy(ies)?	cify the I Traffick t/EN/TX a proce cen to im for rev tivities to	evel of eing F/HTML ess or aplement riewing y aken to i	mechan t their si cour mpleme	ism for trategies ent (do you	d provential depression of the	vide addition occose from their addition occose from their addition ormation f value?	om=EN) r enforcement s under

	equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made:
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent
1	tools useful to you:

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

170-		
1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No 📙
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary	No information
	of the penalties available: art. 128 of Nature Conservation Act of	
	16th April 2004 (with further amendments): the most severe	
	penalty which may be imposed for infringements, regarded as	
	crimes against EU provisions concerning CITES, is imprisonment	
4.7.01	from 3 months up to 5 years.	Va.a.
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes ∐ No ⊠
	Tecognized as serious crime in your country:	No Sinformation
	If ()/c-2, relation to the standard residence in the standard residenc	· —
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: □□□□□	riulie trafficking offences to be
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the	Yes
	investigation of CITES offences?	No 🗆
		No information
	collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report: on 06.04 Russian Federation during export attempt Customs seized 500 kg of the walls of the transport car. In order to verify the species DNA	.15 at the road border point with of frozen eels concealed in one
	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B).	
		lity for CITES-listed species,
1.7.3d	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to:	lity for CITES-listed species,
1.7.3d	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.7.3d	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes 🖂 No 🖂 No information
1.7.3d	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species	Yes No No information earned which might be helpful
1.7.3d 1.7.3e	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons le other Parties: Participation of enforcement authorities: customs, properation(May, 2015). Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant	Yes No No information earned which might be helpful
	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: □□□□□ Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons le other Parties: Participation of enforcement authorities: customs, properation(May, 2015). Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to	Yes No No information earned which might be helpful olice and CITES MA in COBRA
	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons le other Parties: Participation of enforcement authorities: customs, properation(May, 2015). Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant	Yes No No information earned which might be helpful olice and CITES MA in COBRA Yes
	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: □□□□□ Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons le other Parties: Participation of enforcement authorities: customs, properation(May, 2015). Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization? Do you have legislative provisions for any of the	Yes No No information earned which might be helpful olice and CITES MA in COBRA Yes No No information If yes, how mar
	European eel was confirmed (App. II, Annex B). If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons le other Parties: Participation of enforcement authorities: customs, properation(May, 2015). Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	Yes S No S N

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

³ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

	as appropriate?				period covered by this report?
	General crime ¹				
	Predicate offences ²	\boxtimes			
	Asset forfeiture ³				
	Corruption ⁴	\boxtimes			
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁵				
	Organized crime ⁶	\boxtimes			
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁷	\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each a brief summary, including any lessons learned which provisions are applied in accordance with domestic l	n migh	t be he		
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offe		re	Yes No No information	on 🖂
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs: □□□□□				

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Yes No No information	

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

² Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often	\square
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes	\boxtimes
		No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	\boxtimes
		No information	

Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During th	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?			
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offenc details: □□□□□	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	: See Annex
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?	\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the Annex III	results? P	lease attach	n details: See
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply
	Return to country of export			
	Public zoos or botanical gardens			\boxtimes
	Designated rescue centres			\boxtimes
	Approved private facilities			
	– Euthanasia			
	Other (please specify)			
	Live CITES specimens were mainly directed to public zoologic			
	gardens, while dead specimens were distributed for training pu Service, the Police, Border Guards and for the educational p			
	universities, schools or museums.			
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	•		
	The CITES Center at the ZOO Warszawa (opened in the seco partially reduced the problems of finding a place for confiscate			
	reptiles and amphibians. Due to the limited capacity and scope	e of function	oning	
	(limited to reptiles and amphibians), the problem still exists in t			
	confiscations of other species groups, such as birds and mamlarge seizures of reptiles.	iliais, as v	veil as	
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot □□□□□□	her Partie	s?	
·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? Enforcement officers?		Yes	No
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for	Yes No No information	<u></u>	

	capacity building?		
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?		Yes	\boxtimes
			No	Ш
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those			
	standards? In accordance with Article 8 point 3 of Commission			
	Regulation (EC) No. 865/2006, Management authorities shall			
	decide on the issue of permits and certificates within one month of			
	the date of submission of a complete application. This period in			
	case of consultations is not applied, then the application is handled			
	after satisfactory result of the consultations.		V	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	\boxtimes
	Do you publish your performance against service standard		Yes	
	targets?		No	\boxtimes
	If possible, please provide your performance against service			
	standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall			
	a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	- number of staff?			
	a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific		Yes	
	Authority(ies)?		No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	According to the domestic law (Nature Conservation Act of 16th			
	April 2004, with further amendments) – Art. 61 point. 6, Scientific			

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

2.2.2a		e following activities been ureport to enhance the effect	ndertaken during the period tiveness of CITES				
ndicator 2	– cha – hire – dev – pur	inged the budget for activited more staff; reloped implementation too chased technical equipmen	ols; nt for implementation, monit	oring or enforce			
	Skills?	Yes No	Yes No No	Yes _	No 🖂		
	Staff?	Yes No No	Yes No No	-	No 🖂		
	Funding?	Yes No	Yes No No	-	No 🗌		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Autho	rity(ies)		
	טס you nave s		I	1	rement		
2.2.1d	Please only complete this question if your answered 'No' to the first part of question 2.2.1a, 2.2.1b, or 2.2.1c, relating to the existence of approved service standards for your authorities: Do you have sufficient of the following for your authorities to function effectively?						
		ortage of skills, which skills	•				
	a shortage						
	number of	staff?					
	 availability 	of funding?					
	a result of:			Yes		No	
		•	gets then was this shortfall				
		ease provide your performating the period covered in the					
		are your performance target			No		
	standards? If 'Yes'. do you	ı have performance targets	s for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes		
	If 'Yes', for wh	ich services are there stan	dards, and what are those	_			
		go to Question 2.2.1d.					
	enforcement a No information				No	Ш	
2.2.1c		an approved service standa	ard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes		
		ortage of skills, which skills	s do you need more or?				
	- a shortage		de vev peed meers of			Ш	
	number of						
	 availability 	of funding?					
	If you did not it a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No	
	standards dur	ing the period covered in the	nis report:				
	If possible, ple	ease provide your performa	ance against service				
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targe	ets?		No	\boxtimes	
		u have performance targets			Yes		
		obliged to give an opinion vertice opinion v	within 14 days from the				

Hiring of more staff

	Development of implementation tools	Development of implementation tools							
	Purchase of technical equipment for im	plementati	on, monitorin	g or enforcement					
	Other (please specify): □□□□□								
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:		Increased	Stable	Decreased				
	Management Authority(ies)								
	Scientific Authority(ies)								
	Enforcement authorities								
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable				
	Management Authority(ies)?								
	Scientific Authority(ies)?								
	Enforcement authorities?								
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?								
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority				
	Hiring of more staff	\boxtimes							
	Development of implementation tools								
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	equipment for implementation,							
	e-permitting								
	Other (please specify): □□□□□								
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No				
	Species information	Species information							
	Trade information								
	Non-detriment findings								

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are applic	cable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, of the sea) 	or introduction from	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introdu of CITES-listed species) 	uction from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	 Other (please specify) EU Certificates issued according to the Cour (EC) No. 338/97 (e.g. for commercial activities within the EU, movement certain specimens). 	9	
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the The Stamp Duty Act of 16 November 2006	Yes ⊠ No Secretariat:	o 🗌

	(http://prawo.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=wdu20062251635) Fee for the issuance of the CITES import, (re-)export permit: 107 PLN (~25 EUR). Fee for the issuance of the EU Certificate acc. to the Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97: 17 PLN (~4 EU Budgetary and governmental units, including zoological, botanical gardens and scientific institutions are above-mentioned fees.	exempted fro	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife cor		?
		Entirely Partly	
		Not at all	
	Not	relevant	
	Money obtained from the above-mentioned fees is not allocated directly to the CIT implementation purposes or wildlife conservation. It is directed to the self-government budget, which allocates part of the funds to wildlife conservation.		
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		\boxtimes

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 Convention?	to implement the Yes No
	Due diligence	
	Compensatory mechanisms	
	Certification	
	Communal property rights	
	Auctioning of quotas	
	Cost recovery or environmental charges	
	Enforcement incentives	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a sur further information: $\Box\Box\Box\Box\Box$	mmary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?	Not at all
		Very little ☐
		Somewhat
		Completely
	No information.	

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this			е	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat		
			N	lone 1 2-5			
		ľ		6-10 1-20 an 20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities authorities and see point 1.6.3b.	s have ta	aken pla	ice? T	rainings	orga	nized by enforcement
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?				-	-
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority		\boxtimes				
	Staff of Scientific Authority						The SA in Poland needs also support from experts on different groups of organisms and some legislative changes of their status.
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
	Traders / other user groups	\boxtimes			\boxtimes		
	NGOs				\boxtimes		
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions: No information	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes \Boxed \Boxen \Boxed \Boxed \Boxed \Boxed \Boxed \Boxed \Boxed \Boxed \Boxe	
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)	
	Serbia						\boxtimes	Law enforcement + training; see point 1.6.3b	
	00000								
	00000								
	00000								
	00000								

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.

Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	- Press conferences		
	Press releases	\boxtimes	
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	Television appearances		\Box
	- Radio appearances		
	- Presentations		\square
	Public consultations / meetings		\Box
	Market surveys		
	– Displays	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	Information at border crossing points	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	Telephone hotline	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)	\boxtimes	
	https://www.mos.gov.pl/srodowisko/przyroda/konwencje-		
	<u>miedzynarodowe/konwencja-waszyngtonska-cites</u> □□□□		
	- Other (specify):	CITES 🔀	
	CITES calendars for years 2015 and 2017 distributed among institutions and agencies involved in		
	among institutions and agencies involved in implementation and enforcement of CITES (calendar for		
	2017 focused on species newly-listed by CoP17).		
	 glass case with forfeited CITES specimens in the building of 		
	the Ministry of the Environment.		
	CITES in Poland and EU" – handbook for authorities and		
	citizens describing basic rules and obligations		
	 Jubilee conference "40 years of CITES in the world, 25 years in Poland" (November 2015) 		
	 leaflets, promotion materials (badges, reflective bands, 		
	bags, pencils with CITES logo)		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		
	See Annex II		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the Cl	TES web	osite?			
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority					
	Staff of Scientific Authority					
	Staff of enforcement authorities					\boxtimes

3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the CITES website?	Excellent	
		Good	\boxtimes
		Average	
		Poor	
		Very Poor	
		No information	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, an		
	which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missin		
	of CITES Secretariat page is "Documents" (specially resolutions and n	•	f
	special importance for SA and MA is Speciesplus.net – almost of every	day use.	

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: Issues regarding CITES and made environmental agreements are led by the same institution (Ministry of the Department of Nature Conservation). It ensures coordination of action possibility of their unnecessary duplication.	he Environment –	ateral

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?		
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your count implemented which integrate CITES issues?	ry	
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		\square
	Agencies for trade?		\boxtimes
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\boxtimes	
	Local authorities or communities?		
	Indigenous or local peoples?		\boxtimes
	Trade or other private sector associations?		\boxtimes
	NGOs?		
	Other (please specify)		\boxtimes
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?		\boxtimes
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\boxtimes
	Planning processes?		
	National accounting?		

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3:	The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-
	governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training
	and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		WWF, PTOP Salamandra NGOs have organised trainings for enforcement authorities

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Yes No Not Applicable						
	CITES Appendices has star	TES Appendices has stabilized or improved?			Not Applicable		
		Appendix I	\boxtimes				
		Appendix II	\boxtimes				
		Appendix III					
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific)	Link to the data, or a brief summary see Anex I					
		Birds: http://monitoringptakow.gios.gov.pl/baza-danych					
3.4.1b		you have examples of specific examples of success stories or erging problems with any CITES listed species?		S			
			No	informati	ion 🗌		
	If 'Yes', please provide details:						

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

Objective 3.5		Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.						
Indicator 3.	.5.1:	The number of cooperative agreements to prevent specie trade.						
3.5.1a	3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details: see point 1.6.2a □□□□□□				Yes No No information			
Indicator 3.	.5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted						
3.5.2a	that agre	rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Mana	agement Authority(ies)						
	Scier	ntific Authority(ies)						International organizations (especially on issues connected with scale of illegal trade and e-trade
	Enfor	rcement Authority(ies)						No information
Please pro	vide a	Ger any additional comments you woul	neral fee			ng com	nmen	ts on this format.
		Item						
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed Enclosed Web link(s) □□□□ Not available Previously provided □								
	-	materials annexed to the report, e. e band, badge. pencil, bag with C	-		s, awa	ireness	raisi	ng materials, etc:
your count	try req	raints to implementation of the Co quiring attention or assistance? describe the constraint and the typ						nation
Parties?	·	ples of good practice you would lik	ke to sha	are with	other	Yes No No Ii	nform	nation
		report format be improved?						
How Could	יו כוווו ג	eport format be improved?						

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

Annex I.

Species name	Appendix	Trend	
Haliaetus albicilla	I	1	
Falco peregrinus	I	1	
Lutra lutra	I	1	
Hirudo medicinalis	II	stable	
Pernis apivorus	II	stable	
Aquila pomarina	II	stable	
Aquila clanga	II	stable	
Aquila chrysaetos	II	stable	
Circus aeruginosus	II	stable	
Accipiter nisus	II	stable	
Accipiter gentilis	II	stable	
Milvus milvus	II	1	
Milvus migrans	II	stable	
Buteo buteo	II	stable	
Falco tinnunculus	II	stable	
Falco Subbuteo	II	stable	
Grus grus	II	1	
Glaucidium passerinum	II	1	
Strix uralensis	II	1	
Bubo bubo	II	1	
Ursus arctos	II	stable	
Canis lupus	II	1	
Felis sylvestris	II	stable	

Annex II

Please find enclosed CITES education materials

Annex III

According to the information reported by the Ministry of Finance, Customs Service carried out the following number of seizures:

Year	Total seizures	Number of specimens			
2015	114	10677			
2016	77	8582			
2017	87	3585			

The Police is monitoring and making control of wildlife trade on internal markets (e.g. by carrying out inspections of pet-shops, animal fairs, monitoring of sales of CITES specimens on the Internet). According to the information reported by the by the Police the number of preparatory proceedings initiated in the reported period was about 100 -120 per year. There is no available information regarding all further course of those actions. According to the information reported to CITES MA there was about 75 cases of forfeiture of the CITES specimens to the Treasury per year.