The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: <u>info@cites.org</u> Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Malta
Period covered in this report	2015-2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Environment and Resources Authority
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures. All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No I If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable I If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report: Implementation of any uplisting of specimens in accordance with COP17.
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes ⊠ No □ If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: □□□□

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information	
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes			
	Are the procedures publicly available?		\bowtie		
1.2.1b	Do you have:				
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes			
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries				
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?				
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome: An electronic data management has been built over the last year to log all permits issued. Permits				

issued are all paper based, issued through an electronic system.					
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?	[\square			
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: An updated e-permitting system which upgrades the current one is being developed.					

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in <u>Resolution</u> <u>Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16)</u>.

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?				
		Tick all applicable			
		Yes	No information		
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of <u>Resolution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.				
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	\boxtimes			
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.				
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: □□□□□				

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?					
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements 🗌					
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements $oxtimes$					
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements					
	No special reporting requirements applicable 🗌					
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes No Xext{Yes}					
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficu were / are being encountered?					

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Objective 1.5	Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings.						
-	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.						

- Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species;
 - b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
 - c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	lf Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?		\square		
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		\boxtimes		
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?			\boxtimes	

	If there are such studies that you are willing	to share, pleas	e provide:		
		or other analy	ary of the results o /sis (e.g. populatio ase, off-take levels	n status, de	ecline /
	Species name (scientific)		hed reference mat		
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		ses used in makin	g non-detrii	ment
			Revised harves	•	·
			Otriatan d	-	export
		C	Stricter de hanged managem	omestic me	=
			ussion with Manag		·
			Discussion with ot	-	
	Othe	er (please provi	de a short summa	ry):	
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste		Yes No Not Applicable		
			No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact:	uding, if possib	le, an evaluation o	of their	
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment finding of 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	-		No 🖂	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		ion Conf. 16.7)	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	ecies biology, l	ife history,		\boxtimes
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.			\boxtimes
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at s	sites protected		\boxtimes
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenou	is communities.		\boxtimes
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and internation	al experts.		\boxtimes
	F. national and international trade informatio the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicat on trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Con ions on trade, lo	servation ocal knowledge		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
			Yes	No	information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> ?			\bowtie	
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where t on the internet:				found
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	wing			
	guidance been used?		Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual C	College			
	IUCN Cł	necklist			
	Resolution Cor	Resolution Conf. 16.7			
	2008 NDF wo	2008 NDF workshop			
	Species specific gu	idance			
		Other			
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:				
1.5.2c		Case by	case		
	detriment findings?	Annually			
		Every two	o year	S	
		Less freq	uently	/	
		A mix of t	he ab	ove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings v	would	be cha	anged:

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	
		No	\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
	quotas are set:		Other,
		Population	please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	

Objective 1.6Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.
Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.Indicator 1.6.1:The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co-

	management of shared CITES listed species by range States.	_
1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes I No I No I f'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:	

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative in place for shared population	management plans, including recovery plans, s of CITES-listed species?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀					
		If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.						
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan						

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?	
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities						Identification training afforded to EUWTR Enforcement Authority	
	Traders				Π			
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other (please specify):							

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	.3b Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details	
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?				
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Alwavs	Further detail / examples	
	Information exchange						Confirmation of certificates, hunting regulations.	
	Monitoring / survey	\square				L		
	Habitat management	\square						
	Species management	\square				Ľ		
	Law enforcement	\square				Ľ		
	Capacity building	\square						
	Other (please provide details) \Box							

Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. **Objective 1.7**

Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; -
- formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; _
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and _
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement _ committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information		
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?					
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?		\boxtimes			
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?		\square			
	 formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? 		\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional					

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No				
		No information				
	If 'Yes', what do you do? □□□□□					
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do ye	you find of value? □□□□□				
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	YesImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationImage: Construct on the second sec	r			
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used an equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou					
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to r tools useful to you:	ly not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent				
	Current employees employed in enforcing CITES have not been e these tools.	xposed to training on the us	e of			

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes	\boxtimes
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of		
	the penalties available Trade in Species of Fauna and Flora		
	Regulations (S.L 549.38) and The Crimes Against the Environment Act (Cap 522)		
	The first instrument lists penalties in regulation 8 which include fines		
	from EURO 465.87 up to EURO 4,658.75 or to imprisonment for a		
	period of not less than one month but not exceeding two years, or		
	to both such fine or imprisonment.		
	The latter legislation provides for penalties in articles 4 and 5 which		
	are detailed in the reply to question b.		
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes	\boxtimes
	recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or	wildlife trafficking offe	ences to be
	treated as serious crimes:		
	provides that the trading in specimens of protect		or flora

1

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

	species or parts or derivatives thereof is to be conside proved to the satisfaction of the court that the conduct of and has a negligible impact on the conservation status a crime, may on conviction, be liable to imprisonment not exceeding one thousand euro, provided that if as caused to the quality of the air, soil or water, or to an spoil is caused to the environment the offender may be eight months to seven years or to a fine ranging from may deem fit depending on the severity of the offence	concerr s of the for a te a resu imals c be liabl n €12,(ns a ne e speci erm no ilt of th or plan e for a	gligible quantity es. Any person t exceeding six ne offence, any ts, or in any oth n imprisonmen	of such specimens who commits such months or to a fine serious damage is her manner serious t term ranging from	
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ¹ to support the investigation of CITES offences? No □ No information □					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: DNA testing was used to establish parentage of birds declared as captive bred					
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic please indicate which species it applies to: To date te					
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed s during the period covered in this report?			Yes No No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any other Parties: The CITES Enforcement Authority, Cus involving wildlife crime in order to identify species, dis	toms a	ind Po	lice regularly co	o-operate in cases	
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		Yes No No information	n 🛛	
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?	
	General crime ³	\square				
	Predicate offences ⁴			\square		
	Asset forfeiture ⁵					
	Corruption ⁶			\square		
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁷			\square		

¹ Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

² A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

³ General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

⁴ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁵ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁶ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁷ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

	Organized crime ¹			\square			
	Specialized investigation techniques ²			\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:						
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?			Yes No No informatio	on 🛛		
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:						

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITESlisted species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Δίωσικο	
1.7.48	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	
		Very often	
		Sometimes	\boxtimes
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ³ to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	\square
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	\bowtie
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	\boxtimes
		No information	

¹ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

² Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

³ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

Juring th	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Informatior
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?			
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details:	ces. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach
	2015: one case involving importation of 3 packets of incenses originating from Tibet; One case involving importation of one v <i>musculus</i> or <i>Physeter macrocephalus</i>) originating from St. Ma importation of reptile products (3 watch straps and 1 head) ori involving the importation of a dead Coral specimen (<i>Acropora</i> Tunisia; Three cases involving the importation of <i>Hoodia</i> sp. or USA and China. In all cases offenders were fined and specime	whale bone aarten; Fou iginating fro / <i>Pocillopor</i> apsules or	e necklace (ir cases invo om USA; Or a sp.) origir iginating fro	Sibbaldius olving the ne case nating from
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?			
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available	e, please at	tach details	:
	One case involving the importation of an Alligatoridae sp. wate involving the importation of 19 live Aloe spp. From USA; One pieces of Ivory from USA; One case involving the importation China; One case involving the importation of one dead Butter originating from USA; two cases introduced in 2017 involving 5 worked ivory items by the same person; One case involving birds (119 of which CITES listed) originating from Argentina at protected birds (56 of which CITES listed); two cases involving <i>Aythya nyroca</i> skin specimen each originating from Romania; Possession of 2 live birds of prey without CITES documents, a CITES documents), disposal of 70 registered stuffed protecter of prey without CITES documents, submission of false declara for 8 live birds of prey; one case involving the possession of 1 documentation, 3 live birds of prey with invalid documents, su	case involv of 11 live (fy (<i>Ornitho</i> , the importa the illegal nd the pose g the illega One case 4 stuffed per d birds, po- ations to ac- live bird o bmission c	ving the imp Cacti via par otera goliati ation from L importation session of 2 I importatio involving the rotected bire ssession of cquire CITE f prey witho of false declar	ortation of 11 rcel post from <i>h primus</i>) JSA of a total of 411 dead 235 stuffed n of one ds (1 without 3 dead birds S documents ut
	20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ac prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of			or birds of
1.7.5c	20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?	dead birds		or birds of listed).
1.7.5c	20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES-	dead birds		for birds of listed).
1.7.5c	20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?	Its? Please chstrap from fiscation of ulted in an ase involv n acquittal; e courts du of an <i>Ayth</i> EUR 1500	(15 CITES attach detai m Switzerla the watchst acquittal sin ing the imp One case ring 2016 r va nyroca s fine each,	or birds of listed).
1.7.5c 1.7.5d	20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences? If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result One case involving the importation of an Alligatoridae sp. wate a conviction and the imposition of a EUR 466 fine and the conf involving the importation of 19 live Aloe spp. From USA result been introduced within the legally prescribed time; One c Alligatoridae sp. watchstrap from Switzerland resulted in ar importation of 11 live Cacti via parcel post introduced in the acquittal; two cases involving the importation from Romania of resulting in convictions with the Court fining subjects a E confiscation of the specimens and suspending their hunting live How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	Its? Please chstrap from fiscation of ulted in an ase involv n acquittal; e courts du of an <i>Ayth</i> EUR 1500	(15 CITES attach detain m Switzerla the watchst acquittal sin ing the imp One case ring 2016 r <i>ya nyroca</i> s fine each, a period of	or birds of listed).
	 20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences? If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result One case involving the importation of an Alligatoridae sp. water a conviction and the imposition of a EUR 466 fine and the confinvolving the importation of 19 live Aloe spp. From USA result been introduced within the legally prescribed time; One case Alligatoridae sp. watchstrap from Switzerland resulted in an importation of 11 live Cacti via parcel post introduced in the acquittal; two cases involving the importation from Romania of resulting in convictions with the Court fining subjects a E confiscation of the specimens and suspending their hunting live How were any confiscated specimens disposed of? Return to country of export 	Its? Please chstrap from fiscation of ulted in an ase involv n acquittal; e courts du of an <i>Ayth</i> EUR 1500	(15 CITES attach detain m Switzerla the watchst acquittal sin ing the imp One case ring 2016 r <i>ya nyroca</i> s fine each, a period of	or birds of listed).
	 20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences? If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result One case involving the importation of an Alligatoridae sp. water a conviction and the imposition of a EUR 466 fine and the confinvolving the importation of 19 live Aloe spp. From USA result been introduced within the legally prescribed time; One calligatoridae sp. watchstrap from Switzerland resulted in an importation of 11 live Cacti via parcel post introduced in the acquittal; two cases involving the importation from Romania of resulting in convictions with the Court fining subjects a E confiscation of the specimens and suspending their hunting live How were any confiscated specimens disposed of? Return to country of export Public zoos or botanical gardens 	Its? Please chstrap from fiscation of ulted in an ase involv n acquittal; e courts du of an <i>Ayth</i> EUR 1500	(15 CITES attach detain m Switzerla the watchst acquittal sin ing the imp One case ring 2016 r <i>ya nyroca</i> s fine each, a period of	or birds of listed).
	 20 live birds of prey and submission of false declarations to ad prey; one case involving the importation from Romania of 66 of Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences? If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result One case involving the importation of an Alligatoridae sp. water a conviction and the imposition of a EUR 466 fine and the confinvolving the importation of 19 live Aloe spp. From USA result been introduced within the legally prescribed time; One case Alligatoridae sp. watchstrap from Switzerland resulted in an importation of 11 live Cacti via parcel post introduced in the acquittal; two cases involving the importation from Romania of resulting in convictions with the Court fining subjects a E confiscation of the specimens and suspending their hunting live How were any confiscated specimens disposed of? Return to country of export 	Its? Please chstrap from fiscation of ulted in an ase involv n acquittal; e courts du of an <i>Ayth</i> EUR 1500	(15 CITES attach detain m Switzerla the watchst acquittal sin ing the imp One case ring 2016 r <i>ya nyroca</i> s fine each, a period of	or birds of listed).

 Other (please specify): Handed over to Public Natural History Museum Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? 	
Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?	

- **Objective 1.8** Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.
- Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	/No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance
with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.
Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your	Yes	\boxtimes
	Management Authority(ies)?	No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	30 days to decide on permits and certificates	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?	Yes	\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	
		Officers to decide on all cases in line	

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

		with the abo timeframes	ove	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		∕es No	\square
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	 availability of funding? 			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		′es No	\square
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			_
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		/es	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	 availability of funding? 			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1c	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?		∕es No	\square
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Ensuring the unfailing conduction of inspections whenever required; identification of species; taking legal action whenever required		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	I	res No the of of	

				legal action whenever require	
		ease provide your perform ing the period covered in t			
	lf you did not r a result of:	neet your performance ta	Yes	No	
	– availability	of funding?			
	 number of 	staff?			
	 a shortage 	of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skill	s do you need more of?		
2.2.1d			ur answered 'No' to the first p ce of approved service standa		
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following for	or your authorities to function	effectively?	
		Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcemer Authority(ies	-	
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	3		
	Staff?	Yes 🖂 No 🗌]		
	Skills?	Yes 🖂 No 🗌]		
			·····		

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities; hired more staff; _
- developed implementation tools; _
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement. _

2.2.2a	 Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level? 				
	Hiring of more staff				
	Development of implementation tools				
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	on, monitoring	g or enforcement		
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased	
	Management Authority(ies)				
	Scientific Authority(ies)				
	Enforcement authorities				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable	
	Management Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes		
	Scientific Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes		
	Enforcement authorities?		\boxtimes		

2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?							
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority			
	Hiring of more staff			\square				
	Development of implementation tools							
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement							
	e-permitting							
	Other (please specify):							
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No			
	Species information				\boxtimes			
	Trade information				\boxtimes			
	Non-detriment findings				\boxtimes			

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are appl	icable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, o the sea) 	r introduction from	\boxtimes
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introdu of CITES-listed species) 	iction from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	– Other (please specify): □□□□□		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🗌 N	lo 🖂
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the	Secretariat:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES of	r wildlife conservatior	ו?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	\boxtimes
		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees	?	\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: \Box \Box \Box \Box		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES		
	implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures ¹ such as	those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention?	YesNo
	Due diligence	\Box
	Compensatory mechanisms	\Box
	Certification	
	Communal property rights	\Box
	Auctioning of quotas	$\Box \boxtimes$
	Cost recovery or environmenta	I charges 🗌 🖂
	Enforcement incentives	\Box
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use further information:	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity b	een eliminated? Not at all
	Very little	
	Somewhat	
	Completely	

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacitybuilding programmes. Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

eter 2.2.4. The number of experits building estivities mendeted by Decelution

Indicator 2.3.1:	The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are
	fully funded.

2.3.1a	you run during the period covered in this report?				Withou from th Secreta	е	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone			\boxtimes
				1			
				2-5		\boxtimes	
				6-10			
		_		1-20			
			More tha	an 20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions						
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	s have ta	aken pla	ce? In	iternal t	rainin	g of new officers
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?					n
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	\square	\square		\square		
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\boxtimes		\square		
	Traders / other user groups	\square					
	NGOs						
	Public						Newspaper adverts on uplistings
	Other (please specify)						

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- **Objective 3.1** Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	3.1.2a Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No	information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	 Press conferences 		
	 Press releases 		
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 		
	 Radio appearances 	\boxtimes	
	– Presentations		
	 Public consultations / meetings 		
	 Market surveys 		
	– Displays		
	 Information at border crossing points 		
	 Telephone hotline 		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) 	\boxtimes	
	https://era.org.mt/en/Pages/CITES.aspx		
	– Other (specify): □□□□□		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
	Staff of Management Authority		\square				
	Staff of Scientific Authority					\boxtimes	
	Staff of enforcement authorities				\square		
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	/ebsite?	Exce	ellent		
				Goo	d	\boxtimes	
				Ave	rage		
				Poo	r		
	Very Poor						
				No i	nformation		
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):						

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	our country	1	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?			
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No	
	Agencies for development?		\square	
	Agencies for trade?	\boxtimes		
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?		\square	
	Local authorities or communities?		\square	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		\square	
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\boxtimes		
	NGOs?		\square	
	Other (please specify)			
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No	
	National and local development strategies?	\boxtimes		
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\square	
	Planning processes?		\square	
	National accounting?		\square	

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	of naturally occ	ata which shows that t urring species in your ices has stabilized or	country listed on th		Yes	No	Not Applicable		
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Append	dix I	\boxtimes				
			Append	lix II					
			Appendi	x III			\boxtimes		
	If there are such	n studies that you are	willing to share, ple	ease	provide:				
	Species name (scientific)						1	
	These are speci	ies found both in the I	Nature Directives a	nd Cl	TES:				
	Species name (scientific)	Link to the data, or a	a brief summary						
	Balaenoptera physalus	https://cdr.eionet.eu	ropa.eu/Converters	s/run_	<u>conversio</u>	n?file=mt/e	u/art17/envue53pa	<u>a/MT</u>	specie
	Physter catodon	https://cdr.eionet.eu	ropa.eu/Converters	s/run_	conversion	n?file=mt/e	u/art17/envue53pa	a/MT_	specie
	Delphinus delphis	https://cdr.eionet.eu	ropa.eu/Converters	s/run_	conversion	n?file=mt/e	u/art17/envue53pa	<u>a/MT</u>	specie
	Globicephela melas	https://cdr.eionet.eu	ropa.eu/Converters	s/run_	conversion	<u>n?file=mt/e</u>	u/art17/envue53pa	a/MT_	specie
	Grampus griseus	https://cdr.eionet.eu	ropa.eu/Converters	s/run_	<u>conversior</u>	<u>n?file=mt/e</u>	u/art17/envue53pa	a/MT_	<u>specie</u>
	Pseudorca crassidens	https://cdr.eionet.eu	ropa.eu/Converters	<u>s/run_</u>	conversion	n?file=mt/e	u/art17/envue53pa	a/MT_	specie

	Stenella coeruleoalba	https://cdr.eionet.europa.eu/Converters/run_conversion?file=mt/eu/art17/envue53pa/MT_specie
	Steno bredanesis	https://cdr.eionet.europa.eu/Converters/run_conversion?file=mt/eu/art17/envue53pa/MT_specie
	Tursiops turncatus	https://cdr.eionet.europa.eu/Converters/run_conversion?file=mt/eu/art17/envue53pa/MT_specie
	Ziphius cavirostris	https://cdr.eionet.europa.eu/Converters/run_conversion?file=mt/eu/art17/envue53pa/MT_specie
		<u></u>
3.4.1b	Do you have ex	camples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed spec
	Yes	
	No No information	
	lf 'Yes', please p	provide details:

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

- **Objective 3.5** Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.
- Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide details: □□□□□	NO INO INO INAUON	

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)			\square			
	Scientific Authority(ies)					\square	
	Enforcement Authority(ies)					\boxtimes	

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	
Web link(s)	Not available	
	Previously provided	\boxtimes
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising materia	als, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen ir	n Yes	
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	\boxtimes
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a	assistance that is required.	
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	ther Yes	
Parties?	No	\boxtimes
	No Information	
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.