Party	Finland
Period covered in this report	2015 - 2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Finnish Environment Institute
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Ministry of the Environment (primary MA), Finnish Environment Institute (secondary MA), Museum of Natural History/Helsinki University (SA), Customs, Prosecutors' Office

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes x No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No x Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
	The Criminal Code of Finland (39/1889) was amended (30.12.2015/1683) to include a new paragraph on serious nature conservation crime. The Chapter on Environmental offences now differentiates between 'nature conservation offence' (fine or maximum imprisonment of two years) and 'serious or aggravated nature conservation offence' (minimum imprisonment 4 months, maximum imprisonment four years). This change enables also the use of more forcible methods of investigation. Also the attempt of both an intentional offence and an intentional aggravated offence is punishable.
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes x No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	х		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	Х		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	x		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries		x	

	If 'Yes', please list countries						
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		x				
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		x				
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		х				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:			
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?			x			
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	what migh	t help you	to do so:			
Indicator	The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures for any of the factors are constructed by the simplified procedures for any of the factors are constructed by the simplified procedures for any of the factors are constructed by the simplified procedures for any of the factors are constructed by the simplified procedures for any of the factors are constructed by the simplified procedure for the simplified procedure.		provided 1	for in <u>Resolutio</u>			
		7	ick all app	plicable			
		Yes	No	No information			
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		X				
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.		X				
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		x				
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		x				
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: □□□□□□		x				
Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18. Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.							
1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])? => 20-sivuinen taulukko(!)						
	https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/resources/reporting/E-2017%20List%20of%20Reporting%20Requirements.pdf						
	Responses provided to ALL			·			
	Responses provided to SOME of the re	-	-	•			
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements						

No special reporting requirements applicable \square

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

	Were any difficulties encountered during the period cover implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted to f the Parties? If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Dewere / are being encountered?	by the Co	nference	e Yes [☐ No x fficulties
Objectiv	7e 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation in Aichi Target 1, Target12, Target 14 and Target 19.	eeds of s	pecies.		
1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have bee Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes proposals.				
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species wou on the CITES Appendices? => Finland/national level 'no',				□ No x
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the re (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is no			:	
Objectiv					t 14.
Objectiv Indicator	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target	7, Target s undertation Conf	9, Targe aken by 1. 16.7 c	et 12 and Targe exporting coun on Non-detrime	<mark>tries</mark> based ent findings
-	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7.1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyse on the sources of information cited in Resolut related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans.	7, Target s undertation Conf	9, Targe aken by 1. 16.7 c	et 12 and Targe exporting coun on Non-detrime	<mark>tries</mark> based ent findings
Indicator	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7.1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyse on the sources of information cited in Resolut related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been	es undertation Conf x-II specie Append	e, Targe aken by 1. 16.7 constant es; and ix I spec	et 12 and Targe exporting cour on Non-detrime sies and the im	tries based ent findings pact of any If Yes, How
Indicator	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7.1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses on the sources of information cited in Resolut related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to: - the population status of Appendix II species? [Bear,	es undertation Conf x-II specie y Append	e, Targe aken by 1. 16.7 constant es; and ix I spec	et 12 and Targe exporting cour on Non-detrime sies and the im	pact of any If Yes, How many?
Indicator	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7.1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses on the sources of information cited in Resolut related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic; c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to: - the population status of Appendix II species? [Bear, wolf, lynx] - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II	es undertation Conf x-II specie y Append	es; and ix I spec	et 12 and Targe exporting cour on Non-detrime sies and the im	pact of any If Yes, How many?
Indicator	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7.1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyse on the sources of information cited in Resolut related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to: - the population status of Appendix II species? [Bear, wolf, lynx] - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species? - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species? [otter, sea-eagle, peregrine	es undertation Conf x-II specia g Append Yes	es; and ix I spec	et 12 and Targe exporting cour on Non-detrime sies and the im	pact of any If Yes, How many?

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific)	or other analy stable / incre	ary of the results on ysis (e.g. population ase, off-take levels shed reference ma	n status, de etc), or pro	ecline /		
	, ,						
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		ses used in makin	g non-detrir	ment		
			Revised harv				
			Stricter	domestic m	export [
			Changed manage				
		Disc	cussion with Manag Discussion with	gement Aut	horities 🗌		
	Other (please provide a short summary): Ba	ckground: In F					
	are categorised as 'game species' may be h	nunted, sold or	exported (all activity	ties require	specific		
	permits). Of all cites-listed animal species of (in-country) and sold (in-country + to those I						
	brown bear, lynx, wolf. Trophies of these thropurposes.						
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure		Yes		x		
	plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste	d species?	No				
			Not Applicable No information				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact: Note: there are only four Appendix-I birds of prey (all of them protected) and the	listed species	ole, an evaluation o	of their three spec	cies of		
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi	` .		No x			
	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	-					
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		tion Conf. 16.7)	Yes	No		
	CITES specimens taken from the wild in Fin any purposes). Commercial export is prohib species and for many plant species (orchids	ited for all CITE	ES-listed animal				
	in Finland. These prohibitions are based eith						
	on national level legislation, depending on the category.	ie species and	species				
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	pecies biology,	life history,	x			
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.		X			
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	ocations and at	sites protected	х			
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	ll and indigeno	us communities.		x		
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and internation	nal experts.	x			
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication	NEP World Cor	nservation	х			

on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for	_
example.	on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a			Yes	No	No information	_
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?		es			ı
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where t on the internet:				found	
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	wing				
	guidance been used?		Pleas	se tick	all that apply	1
	Virtual C	College				
	IUCN Ch	necklist			Χ	
	Resolution Cor	f. 16.7			Х	
	2008 NDF wo	rkshop		[
	Species specific gu	idance			х	
		Other		I		
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details: plans for bear and lynx.	Game ma	<mark>anage</mark>	ment a	and action	
1.5.2c		Case by	case]
	detriment findings?	Annually			×	(
		Every two	o year	s]
		Less freq	. ,]
		A mix of t	the ab	ove]
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings	would	be cha	anged:	

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	
		No	x
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
	quotas are set:		Other,
		Population	please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	t finding process:	

Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that <u>specifically provide for comanagement of shared CITES listed species</u> by range States.							
1.6.1a	⇒ Is your country a signatory to ar agreements for co-manageme details, including the names of CMS and AEWA	nt of share	ed specie	es? Yes	x No		
Indicator 1.	Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.						
1.6.2a	.6.2a Do you have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species? Yes \sum No x						
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.						
	Species Name (scientific) Link	or referen	ce to a p	oublishe	d plar	1	
1.6.3a	together to address the consequences. Have the CITES authorities receativities provided by external sou	eived or be		-			
	Please tick boxes to indicate whic target group and which activity. Target group	ت Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
	Staff of Management Authority			П	П	П	
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						
			ı	<u> </u>			

Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Objective 1.6

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		
	Target group	Ora adv	Tec	Fina	Tra	O#	De	etails
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
	Other (please specify)					$ \Box $		
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?				
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always		er detail / amples
	Information exchange					L		
	Monitoring / survey					l L		
	Habitat management					L		
	Species management							
	Law enforcement							
	Capacity building					ļΕ]	
	Other (please provide details)							
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have , are covered by, or engaged with: - an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; - formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; - a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and - formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.								
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co	overed b	y:			Yes	No No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy							
	– formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?	as an ir	nternatio	nal			x	
	 a national enforcement strategy and/o 	r action	plan?				X	
	 formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? 	on, such	as a na	tional			X	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please spec details: EU Illegal Wildlife Trade Action		evel of e	engagen	nent a	and pr	ovide additio	onal

Have the CITES authorities been the *providers* of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?

1.6.3b

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	x
	If 'Yes', what do you do? □□□□□		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do y	ou find of value? □□□□□	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	r
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used a equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that collision of the collision of the parts of the tools useful to you:	uld be made:	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes x No No information
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available Nature Conservation Act, Decree with prices for protected species (to help the Courts in defining the compensations the offender is deemed to pay), Criminal Code	
	Summary of penalties: day-fines or imprisonment for maximum 2 years (offences), imprisonment minimum 4 months and maximum 4 years (felony/serious crime), forfeiture of the specimens, forfeiture of means and assets, compensations (the offender to pay for the lost 'ecological value')	
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes x No No information
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or we treated as serious crimes: Aggravated nature conservation offence rare species, considerable economic offence is sought or the offence planned manner and the offence is aggravated also when assessed hunting offence: the offence is committed in a particularly brutal or offence is a large amount of game, considerable economic offence committed in a particularly planned manner or a wolverine, lynx, but or wolf is killed or injured and the offence is aggravated also when	e: serious damage or danger for nee is committed in a particularly ed as a whole. Aggravated cruel manner, the object of the e is sought or the offence is ear, finnish forest reindeer, otter

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ¹ to investigation of CITES offences?	Yes No No informatio	x n					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report:							
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:							
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes							
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		Yes No No informatio	n 🖂			
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No.	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?			
	General crime ³	х						
	Predicate offences ⁴	x						
	Asset forfeiture ⁵	х						
	Corruption ⁶	x			00000			
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁷	х						
	Organized crime ⁸	х						
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁹	Х						
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which							
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?			Yes No				

Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

² A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

⁴ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁵ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

	No information	
If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building	ing needs: □□□□□	

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

ion 🗌
ion 🗌
ion 🗌
tivities are opment
X
ion 🗌
tivities are
X
ion 🗌
i

Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During th	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information					
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	х							
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: in cases involving a single item (or a few items) of App II species of low economic and ecological value, when the offender is a private person with no previous record of similar offences.								
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	x							
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	: <mark>6</mark>					
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?								
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:					
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated [LIVE] specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply					
	Return to country of export								
	Public zoos or botanical gardens			Х					
	Designated rescue centres								
	Approved private facilities								
	– Euthanasia			Χ					
	Other (please specify): training facility/school for animal car	etakers		Χ					
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ited specii	mens?						
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?						

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? x Enforcement officers? x	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	x
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	x

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?		Yes No	□ x
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		110	^
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	00000	No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? □□□□□□			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	x
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? □□□□□□			

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

2.2.1c	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?		Yes No			
		go to Question 2.2.1d.				<u>~~</u>
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?					
	If 'Yes', do you	have performance targe	ets for these standards48?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what a	re your performance tar	gets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:					
	If you did not m a result of:	neet your performance t	argets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
	 availability of 	of funding?				
	- number of s	staff?				
	 a shortage 	of skills?				
	If 'Yes' to a sho	ortage of skills, which sk	ills do you need more of?			
2.2.1d			our answered 'No' to the first pace of approved service stand			
	Do you have s	ufficient of the following	for your authorities to function	effectively?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)		ement ity(ies)	
	Funding?	Yes x No 🗌	Yes x No 🗌	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes x No 🗌	Yes x No 🗌	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes x No 🗌	Yes x No 🗌	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
						-

The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;

- hired more staff;

- developed implementation tools;

- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement. Indicator 2.2.2:

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectivenes implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable					
	Hiring of more staff						
	Development of implementation tools						
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	on, monitoring	or enforcement				
	Other (please specify):						
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased			
	Management Authority(ies)		X				
	Scientific Authority(ies)		x				
	Enforcement authorities						
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable			
	Management Authority(ies)?			X			
	Scientific Authority(ies)?			X			
	Enforcement authorities?						

2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?							
	Activity	Low	Not a Priority					
	Hiring of more staff			x				
	Development of implementation tools				X			
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement							
	e-permitting		x					
	Other (please specify): □□□□□							
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No			
	Species information				X			
	Trade information		X					
	Non-detriment findings				X			

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick all that are appl	licable			
	Administrative procedures				
	Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)	Х			
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species) 				
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species => caviar producers 	х			
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species				
	Use of CITES-listed species	х			
	Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species				
	Other (please specify): □□□□□				
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes x N	10 🗌			
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: http://www.ymparisto.fi/fi-				
	FI/Asiointi luvat ja ymparistovaikutusten arviointi/Luvat ilmoitukset ja rekisterointi/Uhanal				
	lajien kansainvalinen ja EUn sisainen kauppa ja sita koskevat luvat CITES/CITESlupamen ettely/Lupamenettelyn aikataulu lupien voimassaolo ja hinnat				
	https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/alkup/2018/20180085				
	http://www.miljo.fi/sv-Fl/Arendehantering tillstand och miljokonsekvensbedomning/Tillstand anmalningar och reering/Internationell och EUintern handel med hotade arter och de tillstand som kravs etta CITES/CITEStillstandsforfarandet/Handlaggningstiden for tillstandsforfarandet tillstand giltighet och priser	for d			
	https://www.finlex.fi/sv/laki/alkup/2018/20180085				
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation	า?			
	Entirely				
	Partly				
	Not at all				
	There are no revenues from fees, since the fees do not cover the authority's costs/salaries	Х			

		/ Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		Х
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		Х
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		Х
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		x
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures ¹ such as Convention?	those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the YesNo
	Due diligence	□x
	Compensatory mechanisms	$\square x$
	Certification	□ x
	Communal property rights	□x
	Auctioning of quotas	□ x
	Cost recovery or environmental	charges x
	Enforcement incentives	□x
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use of further information: □□□□□□	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity be	een eliminated? Not at all 🗌
	Very little□	
	Somewhat <u></u>	
	Completely ☐	

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this				Withou from th Secreta	е	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
		None 1		lone 1			
				2-5			
				6-10			
		N	ר More tha	1-20 an 20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities coordinators and permit officers	s have ta	aken pla	ce? T	raining	of Cu	stoms personnel, SA
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?					3
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	П					
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public				İΩ		
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	x
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or finance or countries in relation to CITES?	Yes No No	x information					
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	aw Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if
	Country (1887)	ഗ്≌	ΪŠ	ଊ	<u></u>	Ė	ō	necessary)
	0000							
	0000							
	00000					$ \Box $		

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.

Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	- Press conferences		
	- Press releases	x	Х
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	x	Х
	Television appearances		
	- Radio appearances		
	- Presentations		Х
	Public consultations / meetings		
	Market surveys		
	- Displays		Х
	Information at border crossing points	x	
	Telephone hotline		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) <u>www.ymparisto.fi/cites</u>, https://tulli.fi/henkiloasiakkaat/matkailijalle/uhanalaiset-lajit, https://tulli.fi/tietoa-tullista/tullin-toiminta/rajoituskasikirja 	Х	х
	Other (specify): □□□□□		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?									
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known				
	Target group	Ds	Š	Σ	# F	Ž				
	Staff of Management Authority									
	Staff of Scientific Authority					x				
	Staff of enforcement authorities					x				
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exce	ellent					
				Goo	d	Х				
				Avei	rage					
				Poo	r					
	Very Poor □									
	No information									
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					untered,				

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	×
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: the ministries (and other author national focal points meet regularly to discuss and work on activities of		

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	our country					
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?						
3.3.2c	Have there been any <u>efforts at a national scale</u> for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with: Yes						
	Agencies for development?						
	Agencies for trade?						
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?						
	Local authorities or communities?						
	Indigenous or local peoples?						
	Trade or other private sector associations?						
	NGOs?						
	Other (please specify)						
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No				
	National and local development strategies?						
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?						
	Planning processes?						
	National accounting?						

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3	3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborati governmental organizations to participate in and/or fu and capacity-building activities.		
3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applica	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		
Objective	3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millenniur development goals set at WSSD, the Strategic Plarelevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and the relevant Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthe in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levant This objective may also be assessed by a variety of including action taken to implement many of the CITE Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.	an for Biodive ant outcomes ned by ensuring els. of means beyones S resolutions a	rsity 2011-2020 and the of the United Nations and that international trade and the reporting format, and decisions.
Indicator 3	4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the improved.	CITES Apper	ndices has stabilized or
3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Appendix I Appendix II Appendix III If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief sum	-	No Not Applicable
		irriar y	
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success storic emerging problems with any CITES listed species? If 'Yes', please provide details:	No	x formation
Indicator 3		eir National E	Biodiversity Strategy and
3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	N	es x
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environ Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of implementation?	NBSAP N	es

Objective :	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat co- agreements dealing with natural and collaborative approach to including those which are commodical Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	al resou species nercially	irces, as which exploite	s appr can b ed.	opriate, e enda	, in o inger	rder to a ed by ur	nchieve a d nsustainabl	coherent e trade,
Indicator 3.	Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under establish agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exptrade.				olishe expl	ed bilate oited thr	ral or mu ough inter	iltilateral national		
3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:					Yes No No info	rmation				
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted								
3.5.2a	that agre	age number of times per year international organizations or ements have been consulted ITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	which o	al commen organizatio es consulte	ns and
	Mana	agement Authority(ies)								
	Scier	ntific Authority(ies)								
	Enfor	cement Authority(ies)								
Please pro	vide a	ny additional comments you wou		edback o make, i	includi	ng com	nment	ts on this	format.	
		Item								
Copy of fu Web link(s		of CITES-relevant legislation if ch	anged		Not	losed availab viously		ded	X \ \ The Engine	alish
									translation Criminal C updated published 766/2015 changes re to in this were mad 1683/20	of the code is and up to => the eferred report e with
Please list	any n	naterials annexed to the report, e	.g. fee s	chedule	s, awa	areness	raisi	ng mater	ials, etc:	
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?					nform		x □			
		escribe the constraint and the typ					hat is	required	l. 00000	
Are there en Parties?	Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties?				Yes No					

No Information

If 'Yes' please provide details / links: □□□□□	
How could this report format be improved? □□□□□	

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.