ÚMLUVA O MEZINÁRODNÍM OBCHODU OHROŽENÝMI DRUHY VOLNĚ ŽIJÍCÍCH ŽIVOČICHÚ A PLANĚ ROSTOUCÍCH ROSTLIN

> CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



ČESKÁ REPUBLIKA

CZECH REPUBLIC

Zpráva o implementaci CITES za období 2015 - 2017

CITES Implementation Report for the period 2015 -2017

Ministerstvo životního prostředí

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT ODBOR DRUHOVÉ OCHRANY A IMPLEMENTACE MEZINÁRODNÍCH ZÁVAZKŮ DEPARTMENT FOR SPECIES PROTECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL COMMITMENTS VÝKONNÝ ORGÁN CITES CITES MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

Praha, 2018

Party	Czech Republic
Period covered in this report	2015 - 2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic Compiler: Dr. Ondrej Kloucek (email: Ondrej.Kloucek@mzp.cz)
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Nature Conservation Agency (CITES Scientific Authority), Czech Environmental Inspectorate (CITES Enforcement Authority), Police Presidium

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

- **Objective 1.1** Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures. All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.
- Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No X If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No No Not Applicable I If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No ⊠ If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Changes have to be implemented into the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations. There are sometimes delays in adopting the relevant legislation.

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries			
	If 'Yes', please list countries			

Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?				
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?				
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?				
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome: Unifying of procedures between CITES and Customs with respect to the electronic systems used.				
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?				
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to d Cooperation with other Parties (e.g. Switzerland), UNEP-WCMC and European Commiss				

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in <u>Resolution</u> <u>Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16)</u>.

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?			
		Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of <u>Resolution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.			
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.			
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.			
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.			
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:			

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?					
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements					
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements					
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements \Box					
	No special reporting requirements applicable 🖂					

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes □ No ⊠
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): The Czech CITES SA together with Mr. Julian Fennessy (Co-chair of IUCN Specialist Group on Giraffes and Okapi) prepared a working summary for possible listing of <i>Giraffa camelopardalis</i> into CITES Appendices. The idea was discussed within EU Member States and range states were consulted. To date 5 range states responded only. The idea will be further developed together with range states and NGOs for CoP19.

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings

- related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species;
- b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
- c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	\boxtimes			
	 the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species? 		\boxtimes		
	 the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species? 	\boxtimes			
	 the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species? 	\boxtimes			
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?			\boxtimes	

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:			
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results of th or other analysis (e.g. population st stable / increase, off-take levels etc links to published reference materia	tatus, decline / c), or provide	
	Lutra lutra	Ongoing monitoring of NATURA 20 plans preparation, evaluation, upda		ent
	Canis lupus	See above		
	Lynx lynx	See above		
	Other Natura 2000 species			
		http://www.zachranneprogramy.cz/e	<u>en/</u>	
		http://www.biomonitoring.cz		
		https://portal.nature.cz/nd/		
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies	or other analyses used in making n	on-detriment	
1.0.10	findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		Shactiment	
		Revised harvest of	• •	
			Banning export	
			estic measures	
		Changed management Discussion with Managem		
		Discussion with other		
	Othe	er (please provide a short summary):		
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure			\boxtimes
	plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I lister			
		Not Applicable No information	l	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl		loir	
	impact: Recovery plan for Lutra lutra			
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findin If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	-		
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		Yes No	
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	ecies biology, life history,		
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments	s conducted.		
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at sites protected		
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.		
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.		
	F. national and international trade informatio the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicati on trade and investigations of sales at marke example.	NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
		١	/es	No	information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> ?	l	\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where t on the internet: https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/d1f3a65f-3d71-446d-af61-5adf9fadece0/Guide%	he informa	ation	can be	
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	wing			
	guidance been used?		Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual C	College		I	
	IUCN Cł	IUCN Checklist			
	Resolution Cor	Resolution Conf. 16.7			
	2008 NDF wo	2008 NDF workshop			
	Species specific gu	idance		l	\boxtimes
		Other		[
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:				
1.5.2c		Case by c Annually	ase		
		Every two	year	s	
		Less frequ	uently	/	
		A mix of th	ne ab	ove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings w	/ould	be cha	anged:

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
quotas are set:		Other,
	Population	please
Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detrimen	t finding process:	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific)	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: No Species Name (scientific) Population Survey? Image: Comparison of the sector of

Objective '	1.6	Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.				
Indicator 1.	6.1:	The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co- management of shared CITES listed species by range States.				
1.6.1a	agre If 'Ye cour	bur country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral eements for co-management of shared species?Yes 🛛 No 🗍 es', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other ntries are involved: Memorandum of understanding on protection of Central European ulation of Great Bustard <i>(Otis tarda)</i> . Signatories also: Austria and Slovakia				

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	in place for shared population	management plans, including recovery plans, s of CITES-listed species? Yes ☐ No ⊠ for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference						
	to a published plan for each species.							
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan						

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?								
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?		
	Staff of Management Authority								
	Staff of Scientific Authority								
	Staff of enforcement authorities								
	Traders								
	NGOs								
	Public								
	Other (please specify):								

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details	
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities						Workshop for Enforcement Authority of Poland in 2016	
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?				
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	ΔΙνανε	Further detail / examples	
	Information exchange			\square				
	Monitoring / survey		\boxtimes					
	Habitat management		\square					
	Species management							
	Law enforcement							
	Capacity building	\boxtimes						
	Other (please provide details) $\Box \Box \Box \Box$							

Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. **Objective 1.7**

The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with: Indicator 1.7.1:

- -
- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; _
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and _
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement _ committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information				
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	\square						
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?							
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?		\square					
	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?							
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional							

details: Enfocement working group incl. representatives of the Czech Environmental Inspectorate and Customs

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do? □□□□□		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do y	ou find of value? □□□□□	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes No, but toolkit use is under consideration No No No information	r
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used a equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that co	uld be made:	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No	\square				
		No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available - Act No. 40/2009 Coll., the Criminal Code (summary of penalties available already submitted)						
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes	\boxtimes				
	recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	No					
		No information					
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: Unauthorized handling with Annex A/ C more than 25 Annex B/CITES Appendix II specimens						
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the	Yes	\boxtimes				
	investigation of CITES offences?	No					
		No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: For example DNA analysis of liquids containing protected species, paternity testing, etc.						
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis faci	lity for CITES-listed spe	cies,				

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

	please indicate which species it applies to: variety of	specie	s					
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?		Yes No No informatio					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: Collecting samples of tigers for TigrisID project							
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	Yes No No informatio	n					
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?			
	General crime ²	\square						
	Predicate offences ³	\square						
	Asset forfeiture ⁴	\square						
	Corruption⁵							
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶							
	Organized crime ⁷							
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁸							
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: No details available							
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the leprovisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer	ences?		Yes No No informatio				
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major staff	сарасі	iy-bullo	ang neeus: lac	k of experienced			

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

¹ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

² General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁴ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁵ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

⁷ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

⁸ Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	
		Very often	
		Sometimes	\square
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	\boxtimes
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During th	e period co	overed in this re	eport:		Yes	No	No Information				
1.7.5a			e measures (e.g. fi osed for CITES-re								
	details: É of Rhino The mai trade.	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: Ban on re-export of unworked pre-Convention ivory (since 2015), Suspension of import of Rhino hunting trophies from South Africa (since 2014) The main types of fraud were smuggling and not proving the legal origin of CITES specimen in trade. Summary of the report by the Czech Environmental Inspectorate:									
	Penalties Confiscations Specimens										
		No	CZK value	Cases	No living/		_				
	2015	120	352 300,-	106	-	1355 / 1095	-				
	2016	136	446 200	120		235 / 924					
	2017	196	417 500,-	130		347 / 1245					
1.7.5b 1.7.5c	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences? Image: Comparison of the comparison of th										
	If 'Yes',	offences? what were the please see the		and what were th	e results? F	Please attac	h details: For				
1.7.5d	How we	re any confisca	ted specimens dis	posed of?		Tick	all that apply				
	– Retu	rn to country of	export								
	– Publi	c zoos or botar	nical gardens				\square				
	– Desią	gnated rescue	centres								
		oved private fa	cilities								
	 Other (please specify): <a>D Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? Difficulty to place high numbers of confiscated reptiles (in the past for example over 1000 pcs of <i>Uromastyx</i>, ETC) or aquatic specimens (marine species) 										
	Do you h		tice that you would	l like to share with	other Partie	s?					

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have informa	ation resources or training in place to support: YesNo
	The making of non-d	letriment findings?
	Permit officers?	

	Enforcement officers?		
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?	Yes No No information	
	What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?		
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

- **Objective 2.2** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.
- Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your		Yes	\boxtimes
	Management Authority(ies)?		No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Generally, th is a period of days prescrit according to Act No. 500// Coll., Administratic Code for processing th applications CITES perm	f 30 bed the 2004 on he for	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	\bowtie
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	\square
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?	\boxtimes		
	– number of staff?	\boxtimes		
	– a shortage of skills?			\bowtie

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	If 'Yes' to a sh □□□□□	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Authority(ies)		rd(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific		Yes No	\square
	-	go to Question 2.2.1d. ich services are there stand	dards, and what are those	Opinions for import, expo opinions for i EU certificate weeks	intra	
	If 'Yes', what a	u have performance targets are your performance targe	ts?		Yes No	\square
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th				
	If you did not a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
	 availability 	of funding?		\boxtimes		
	– number of	staff?		\boxtimes		
	 a shortage 	of skills?				\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to a sh □□□□□	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1c	enforcement a	an approved service standa authority(ies)? go to Question 2.2.1d.	rd(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes No	\square
	-	ich services are there stand	Approved standards according to Czech natior legislation (e Administration	nal .g. on		
				Code, Act on offences etc.		
		u have performance targets are your performance targe				
	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th	ts? nce against service is report:	offences etc.) Yes	
	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not a result of:	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th meet your performance targ	ts? nce against service is report:	offences etc.) Yes	No
	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not a result of: – availability	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding?	ts? nce against service is report:	offences etc.) Yes	
	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not a result of: – availability – number of	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th meet your performance targ of funding? staff?	ts? nce against service is report:	offences etc.) Yes	No
	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? e of skills?	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall	offences etc.) Yes	No
	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? of skills? ortage of skills, which skills	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall do you need more of?	offences etc.) Yes No	No
2.2.1d	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not i a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh - Please only co 2.2.1b, or 2.2.	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? e of skills? ortage of skills, which skills omplete this question if you 1c, relating to the existence	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall do you need more of? r answered 'No' to the first p e of approved service stand	offences etc.) Yes No 2.2.1a,	No □ □
2.2.1d	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not i a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh - Please only co 2.2.1b, or 2.2.	are your performance targe ease provide your performa- ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? of skills? ortage of skills, which skills omplete this question if you 1c, relating to the existence sufficient of the following for	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall do you need more of? r answered 'No' to the first p e of approved service standa	offences etc.) Yes No 2.2.1a, uthorities	No
2.2.1d	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not i a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh - Please only co 2.2.1b, or 2.2.	are your performance targe ease provide your performa ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? e of skills? ortage of skills, which skills omplete this question if you 1c, relating to the existence	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall do you need more of? r answered 'No' to the first p e of approved service stand	offences etc.) Yes No 2.2.1a,	No
2.2.1d	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not i a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh - Please only co 2.2.1b, or 2.2.	are your performance targe ease provide your performa- ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? of skills? ortage of skills, which skills omplete this question if you 1c, relating to the existence sufficient of the following for Management	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall do you need more of? r answered 'No' to the first p e of approved service standa	offences etc.) Yes No 2.2.1a, uthorities cement ity(ies)	No □ □
2.2.1d	If 'Yes', what a If possible, ple standards dur If you did not i a result of: - availability - number of - a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh 	are your performance targe ease provide your performa- ing the period covered in the meet your performance targe of funding? staff? ortage of skills, which skills ortage of skills, which skills omplete this question if you 1c, relating to the existence sufficient of the following for Management Authority(ies)	ts? nce against service is report: gets then was this shortfall do you need more of? r answered 'No' to the first p of approved service stand your authorities to function Scientific Authority(ies)	offences etc.) Yes No 2.2.1a, uthorities cement ity(ies) No	No □ □

Indicator 2	 2.2.2: The number of Parties that hav changed the budget for ac hired more staff; 		en one or mo	re of the following	g activities:
	 developed implementation 	tools;			
	 purchased technical equip 	ment for im	plementation	, monitoring or e	nforcement.
2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities bee covered in this report to enhance the e			period	
	implementation at the national level?	inectivenes	SUICITES		Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff				\boxtimes
	Development of implementation tools				\square
	Purchase of technical equipment for in	nplementati	on, monitorin	g or enforcemen	t 🛛
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this repor budget for your:	t, was the	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)			\square	
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\square	
	Enforcement authorities				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use internation development funding assistance to inc level of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?			\square	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?			\square	
	Enforcement authorities?			\square	
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	veness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff				
	Development of implementation tools				
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement		\square		
	e-permitting		\square		
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	J.	Yes	Under development	No
	Species information				
	Trade information				
	Non-detriment findings				\square

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are applicable
	 Administrative procedures 	\boxtimes
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-et the sea) 	export, or introduction from
	- Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, c	or introduction from the sea \Box

	of CITES-listed species)		
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	– Other (please specify): □□□□□		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🗌 N	o 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secret	ariat:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildling	e conservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	
		Not relevant	\boxtimes
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: $\Box \Box \Box \Box \Box$		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a		ose described in <u>CoP14 Doc 14.32</u> to implement the
	Convention?	′esNo
	Due diligence	
	Compensatory mechanisms	
	Certification	
	Communal property rights	$\exists \boxtimes$
	Auctioning of quotas	$\exists \boxtimes$
	Cost recovery or environmental cl	narges 🛛 🗌
	Enforcement incentives [$\exists \boxtimes$
	further information: Compensatory mechan	er measures, please provide a summary or link to isms and cost recovery in place for harms caused by ies. Canis lupus, Lutra lutra, Ursus arctos according to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity bee	n eliminated? Not at all
	Very little	
	Somewhat	
	Completely	

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

- **Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes. Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.
- Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	you run during the period covered in this report?			Withou from th Secreta	e	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat	
			N	lone			
				1		Ц	
				2-5 6-10			
				1-20			
		Ν	Nore tha				
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	s have ta	aken pla	ce? W	/orksho	ps, tr	ainigs, seminars
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?					_
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority		\square	\square	\square		
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\square				
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square	\square	\square		
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- **Objective 3.1** Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements? If 'Yes', please provide brief details: EHP Funds – rescue plan for Falco management plan for Lutra lutra	Yes No Not applicable No information o cherrug and	$\square \square \square$
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						YesImage: Constraint of the second secon		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)	

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	 Press conferences 		
	 Press releases 	\boxtimes	
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	 Television appearances 	\square	
	– Radio appearances	\boxtimes	
	– Presentations	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
	 Public consultations / meetings 	\boxtimes	
	 Market surveys 	\boxtimes	
	– Displays	\boxtimes	
	 Information at border crossing points 	\boxtimes	
	 Telephone hotline 	\boxtimes	
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) cites.cz 	\boxtimes	
	– Other (specify): □□□□□		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Target group		5			Z
	Staff of Management Authority	\square				
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\square			
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square			
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exce	ellent	
				Goo	d	\boxtimes
				Ave	rage	
	Poor					
				Very	Poor	
				No i	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					untered,

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

- **Objective 3.3** Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.
- Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?		
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?		
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		\square
	Agencies for trade?		\square
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\square	
	Local authorities or communities?	\square	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		\square
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\square	
	NGOs?	\square	
	Other (please specify) Universities	\square	
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?		\square
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\square
	Planning processes?	\square	
	National accounting?		\square

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets,* and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a		ws that the conservation status s in your country listed on the			
	CITES Appendices has stab	ilized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable
		Appendix I	\boxtimes		
		Appendix II	\boxtimes		
		Appendix III	\boxtimes		
	If there are such studies that	you are willing to share, please pro	ovide:		
	Species name (scientific)	Link to the data, or a brief summ	ary		
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of sp emerging problems with any	ecific examples of success stories CITES listed species?		Yes No No informatior	
	If 'Yes', please provide detail	s: 00000		no mornation	

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

- **Objective 3.5** Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.
- Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or	Yes	
	multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade?	No No information	\square
	If 'Yes', please provide details:		

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)					\square	
	Scientific Authority(ies)					\square	
	Enforcement Authority(ies)					\boxtimes	

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

ltem		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	
Web link(s)	Not available	
	Previously provided	\boxtimes
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules.	, awareness raising material	s, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	า Yes	
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	\boxtimes
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a	assistance that is required.	
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	ther Yes	
Parties?	No	
	No Information	\boxtimes
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

ANNEX TO THE CITES IMPLEMENTATION REPORT, 1.7.5B, 1.7.5C

Survey of criminal prosecutions and court actions of CITES-related violations in the Czech Republic in period 2015-17*)

Case	Criminal	Court action*)	Remark
	prosecution (Police))	
	2015		
KRPP-5552/TČ-2015 Illegal trade with reptiles – 113 specimens incl. species <i>Testudo marginata</i> and <i>Testudo</i> hermanni	3 persons charged with criminal offence	1 person was sentenced to 7 months in prison on 17 months probation, fine - 10 800,- CZK 1 person was sentenced to 5 months in prison on 15 months probation, fine – 9 000,- CZK 1 person was sentenced to 7 months in prison on 17 months probation, fine - 9 000,- CZK	
KRPA-34935/TČ-2015 Illegal import of dead stuffed wolf body (<i>Canis lupus</i>)	l person charged with criminal offence	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPC-38452/TČ-2015 Illegal import of reptiles – 131 specimens incl. CITES species Uromastyx ornate, Astrochelys radiata, Testudo kleinmanni, Testudo marginata	l person charged with criminal offence	1 person was sentenced to 10 months in prison on 28 months probation, Fine - 15 000,- CZK, prohibition of activities, confiscation of specimens	
KRPA-103739/TČ-2015 Illegal import of Cacti specimens (<i>Cactaceae</i> spp.) from Bolivia	1 person charged with criminal offence	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPA-103756/TČ-2015 Illegal import of Cacti specimens (<i>Cactaceae</i> spp.) from Bolivia	1 person charged with criminal offence	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPS-98925/TČ-2015 Unauthorized management of protected wild fauna, including CITES specimens (Lynx lynx, Canis lupus)	Investigation finished	Forwarded for further processing as administration offence.	
KRPA-214026/TČ-2015 Illegal import od Cacti seeds (<i>Cactaceae</i> spp.) from Mexico	l person charged with criminal offence	Forwarded for further processing as administration offence.	
KRPA-214021/TČ-2015 Illegal import of Cacti specimens (<i>Cactaceae</i> spp.) from Bolivia – 173 specimens	l person charged with criminal offence	Fine - 63 000,- CZK	
KRPA-200060/TČ-2015 Illegal import of Cacti specimens (<i>Cactaceae</i> spp.) from Bolivia – 332 specimens	l person charged with criminal offence	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPT-95870/TČ-2015 Illegal trade with the hunting trophy of <i>Ursus</i> <i>arctos</i> - offered for sale on the web	l person charged with criminal offence	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPB-134806/TČ-2015 Illegal trade of stuffed CITES specimens incl. Buteo buteo, Accipiter gentilis, Asio otus, Tyto alba and Ursus arctos	l person charged with criminal offence	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPB-248735/TČ-2015 Illegal import of CITES specimens – TCM (<i>Aloe</i> sp.)	1 person charged with criminal offence	Forwarded for further processing as administration offence.	
KRPB-275008/TČ-2015 Illegal trade with CITES specimens (<i>Parnassius apollo</i>) – offered for sale on the web	l person charged with criminal offence	1 person was sentenced to 300 hours of community service, confiscation of specimens.	
	2016		
KRPA-44610/TČ-2016 Illegal export of CITES specimens – Tiger products (<i>Panthera tigris</i>)	1 person charged with criminal offence.	Public prosecutor stopped the prosecution conditionally.	
KRPH-205592/TČ-2016 Unauthorized management of protected wild fauna, including CITES specimens (<i>Testudo</i> <i>marginata</i>)	l person charged with criminal offence.	Court action has not started yet	
KRPS-75507/TČ-2016 Unauthorized management of protected wild fauna, including stuffed CITES specimens (Chamaeleo calyptratus, Manis spp. Caracal caracal, Accipiter nisus, Falco tinunculus,	Investigation finished.	Postponed, the offender placed under judicial interdict	

Case	Criminal	Court action*)	Remark
	prosecution (Police)		
Accipiter gentilis, Lutra lutra, Canis lupus,			
Buteo buteo, Lynx lynx, Asio otus, Loxodonta			
africana, Equus zebra, Hippopotamus			
amphibious, Haliaeetus albicilla)			
KRPA-94845/TČ-2016	2 persons charged with criminal	2 persons were sentenced to 1 year in	
Illegal export of CITES non-living specimens	offence.	prison on 3 years probation	
– TCM (Panthera tigris, Panthera leo)			
KRPA-211184/TČ-2016	1 person charged with criminal	1 person was sentenced to 1 year in	
Illegal import of reptiles incl. 211 CITES	offence.	prison on 18 months probation, fine -	
specimens of Brookesia thielli, Calumma		200 000,- CZK	
brevicorne,Calumma gallus,Calumma malthe, Calumma nasutum.Calumma			
caiumma nasutum,Caiumma parsonii,Furcifer antimena,Furcifer bifidus,			
Furcifer lateralis, Furcifer minor, Furcifer			
verrucosus,			
Furcifer willsii, Uroplatus			
phantasticus, Phelsuma lineata#			
KRPP-114372/TČ-2016	2 persons charged with criminal	Court action has not started yet.	
Unauthorized management of protected wild	offence.	Court action has not started yet.	
fauna, including 3 live CITES specimens	offenee.		
(Scleropages formosus)			
KRPA-283401/TČ-2016	Investigation finished.	Forwarded for further processing as	
Illegal export of CITES non-living specimen	investigation initialed.	administration offence.	
of Panthera pardus orientalis		administration offence.	
KRPB-244638/TČ-2016	1 person charged with criminal	Public prosecutor stopped the	
Unauthorized offer for sale and sale of ivory	offence.	prosecution conditionally.	
products (<i>Elephantidae</i> spp.)		presecution containionally:	
	2017		
KRPZ-41081/TČ-2017	3 persons charged with criminal	Court action has not started yet.	
Unauthorized management and offering for	offence.	Court action has not started yet.	
sale of protected wild fauna, including CITES	offenee.		
specimens – 100 ivory pieces (<i>Elephantidae</i>			
spp.)			
KRPB-20466/TČ-2017	Investigation finished.	Forwarded for further processing as	
Illegal import of a statue made of whale bone	in congarion innoneur	administration offence.	
(Cetacea spp.)			
KRPA-172234/TČ-2017	Investigation finished.	The case postponed.	
Illegal trade in a jewel made of ivory	Ũ	1 1	
(Elephantidae spp.)			
KRPM-65208/TČ-2017	1 person charged with criminal	1 person was sentenced to 6 months in	
Illegal trade in hunting trophies of Ursus	offence.	prison on 18 months probation, fine -	
arctos		50 000,- CZK	
KRPB-144509/TČ-2017	Investigation finished.	Forwarded for further processing as	
Illegal trade in reptiles incl. CITES specimens	_	administration offence.	
(Testudo marginata, testudo horsfieldii)			
KRPP-121586/TČ-2017	Investigation is ongoing.	Not forwarded to public prosecutor and	
Unauthorized management of protected wild		for court action yet.	
fauna, including stuffed CITES specimens			
(Helarctos malayanus, Ursus actos Accipiter			
nisus, Falco tinunculus, Accipiter gentilis, ,			
Buteo buteo, Lynx lynx, Asio otus, Nanger			
dama,Aquila chrysaetos)		71	
KRPP-4394/TČ-2018	Forwarded to public prosecutor.	The case postponed.	
Illegal import of the wolf skin (Canis lupus)			
KRPA-420986/TČ-2017	Investigation is ongoing.	Not forwarded to public prosecutor and	
Illegal import of the crocodile skin and skull		for court action yet.	
(Crocodylus siamensis)			
	Investigation is ongoing.	Not forwarded to public prosecutor and	
KRPS-333497/TČ-2017 Illegal offer for sale of ivory (<i>Elephantidae</i>	investigation is ongoing.	for court action yet.	

*) Data in the table are based mainly on information on criminal prosecutions provided by the Police Presidium and not from courts.