Party	Mongolia
Period covered in this report	1 Jan. 2015 to 31 Dec. 2016
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of Nature, Environment and Tourism
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	

## GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1	Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies,
	legislation and procedures.  All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.
	raiget in and raiget to.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?  Yes No X  If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat?  Yes No Not Applicable
	If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?  Yes X No  If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:
	ii No , piease provide details of the constraints faced.

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	$\overline{A}$		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	$\overline{X}$		
1.2.1b	Do you have: Electronic data management and a paper-based permit	Ā		
	issuance system?  Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries			
	If 'Yes', please list countries		<del>   </del>	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		×	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		×	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation		X	

	by custo	oms?			
	If 'Yes' t	o any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:
	If 'No', o	do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting <sup>1</sup> ?	X		X
	If you a	re planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain v	vhat might	help you	to do so:
Indicator	1.2.2:	The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).	ocedures p	provided 1	or in Resolution
1.2.2a	Has you	ur country developed simplified procedures for any of the fo	_	ick all ap	plicable
		1	<u> </u>	ioit aii ap	No
			Yes	No	information
	Annex 4	biological samples of the type and size specified in 4 of <u>Res olution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are 7 required.		×	
		issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent ents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		×	
		issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial ation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		$\square$	
	accorda	issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in ance with Article IV for specimens referred to in /II, paragraph 4.		Ŏ	
	merit th	re other cases judged by a Management Authority to e use of simplified procedures? please provide details:		X	
<b>Objectiv</b> Indicator		Implementation of the Convention at the national level is by the Conference of the Parties.  All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, T	arget 14 a	nd Target	18.
indicator	1.3.1.	The number of Parties that have implemented relevan Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standin			
1.3.1a	the per Confer		ns and Ded s, and Not	cisions of tifications	the issued by
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements   X Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting reporting requirements				
		No special rep	orting regi	irements	applicable 🔲

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.3.1b							
	Were any difficulties encountered during the period cove implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted of the Parties?				No□		
If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?							
Objectiv	ve 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation reflect Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.	needs of sp	pecies.				
1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have be Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes proposals.						
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species wo on the CITES Appendices?	ould benefit	from lis	ting Yes ☑	No□		
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the re (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is no The National Programs for Conservation of Rare specie For example, the National Program on Conservation of	ot available es has bee	e online) n implei		Governmen		
Objectiv	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Targe	et 7, Target ses undert ution Conf ; dix-II specie	9, Targe aken by f. 16.7 es; and	et 12 and Target exporting cour on Non-detrim	ntries based		
					pact of any		
1 5 1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been			Not Applicable	If Yes,		
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes		Not Applicable	•		
1.5.1a	undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to: No				If Yes, How		
1.5.1a	undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to: No - the population status of Appendix II species?	Yes			If Yes, How		
1.5.1a	undertaken in your country in relation to: No  - the population status of Appendix II species? - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?				If Yes, How		
1.5.1a	undertaken in your country in relation to:  No  - the population status of Appendix II species?  - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II	X			If Yes, How		
1.5.1a	undertaken in your country in relation to: No  - the population status of Appendix II species? - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species? - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring	X X			If Yes, How		

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:				
	0 8	r other anal table / incre	nary of the results o ysis (e.g. population ase, off-take levels shed reference ma	on status, dec s etc), or prov	ine /
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies or findings (NDFs)?	other analy		g non-detrim ase tick all tha	
			Revised harves		
					export X
				omestic mea	_
		Die	Changed manag cussion with Mana		
		Dis	Discussion with of	-	_
	Othe	r (please pr	ovide a short sumr		
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures o plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed s		Yes <b>X</b> No Not Applicable No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, includi impact: The measures has taken to increase processed by Gobi bear, and Mongolian saiga. In case for stresponsibility is improved.	oopulation o	of Mongolian wild h		
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findings			No X	
	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the	Secretariat	within this report:		
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) x No do you use in making non-detriment finding		ution Conf. 16.7)	Yes	
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning speci	ies biology,	life history,	П	
	distribution and population trends. <b>X</b>				
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments co			X	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locat from harvest and other impacts. <b>X</b>	tions and at	sites protected		
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local ar	nd indigeno	us communities.X		
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional an		•		
	F. national and international trade information s CITES trade database maintained by UNEP W Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, investigations of sales at markets or through the	orld Conser local knowle	vation Monitoring edge on trade and		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a		Yes	No	No information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Res olution Conf. 16.7? NO X			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-door attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the internet:			found
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the followinguidance been used?	-	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual Co			Π
	IUCN Checklist	-		<del>-</del>
	Resolution Conf.	16.7		
	2008 NDF work	shop		
	Species specific guid	ance		
		Other		
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:			
1.5.2c	detriment findings? Ar	ase by case nnually /ery two year	re	
		ess frequently		H
		mix of the ab		
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment fin	dings would	be cha	inged:
	To clarify the situation of non-detriment finding's change			
Indicator 1	.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based	on population	n surve	eys.
4.5.0-	Decree of conselect on the control of			
1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?  Ye  No			<u>LX</u>   □
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how	,		
	quotas are set:			Other,
		opulation urvey?		please specify
		]		
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?			
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment finding	ng process:		

Objective	16	Parties cooperate in managing	shared :	wildlife r	ecource	ie.		
Objective	1.0	Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target					12 ar	nd Target 19.
Indicator 1	.6.1:	The number of bilateral and management of shared CITES						pecifically provide for co-
1.6.1a	agre	our country a signatory to any bila ements for co-management of sh es', please provide brief details, in htries are involved: China, Russia	nared sp ncluding	ecies? the nar			reem	Yes <b>ৄ No</b> ☐ ents, and which other
Indicator 1	.6.2:	The number of cooperative ma populations of CITES-listed spe		ent plans	, includi	ng re	cover	y plans, in place for shared
1.6.2a		ou have any cooperative manage ce for shared populations of CITE				cover	y plaı	rs, Yes 🔀 No 🗌
	to a p	s', please list the species for which published plan for each species. ies Name (scientific) Link or a rctos gobiensis		plans al	•			vide a link or reference
Indicator 1	Hav	The number of workshops an ogether to address the consespecies.  e the CITES authorities receive vities provided by external source	ervation ed or be	and m	anagem	ent r	needs	of shared, CITES listed
	Plea targ	ase tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity.	Oral or written Ladvice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources <sup>2</sup> ?
	Staf		x X 					
	Pub		X			X		

Other (please specify):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		D. 4-11-
		ğ O	<u> </u>	Щ ä		0		Details
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities				<u> </u>	LL H		
	Traders					H		
	NGOs							
	Public Other Parties/International meetings					<u> </u>		
	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES Da	rtios?		<u> </u>		
1.0.50	III What ways do you conaborate with		IILOI a	i lico :				
		] Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Fu	rther detail / examples
	Information exchange					<u> </u>		
	Monitoring / survey		X					
	Habitat management		X					
	Species management							
	Law enforcement		X					
	Capacity building			Ц	<u> </u>			
	Other (please provide details)							
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.  Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.  Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:  - an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;  - formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;  - a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and  - formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.								
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co	overed by	y:			Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy	and/or a	ction pla	n?				×
	– formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?	as an in	ternation	nal				Ā
	– a national enforcement strategy and/o	r action	plan?					ď

	<ul> <li>formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?</li> </ul>			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagemen details:	nt and provide	additiona	ıl
ndicator	1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strate		ng their	enforcen
1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but r consider No No inforn		under
	If 'Yes', what do you do?			
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do	you find of va	alue?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes No, but to consider No No inforr		s under [ [ ]
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used a equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that co			kit or
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to tools useful to you:	make the too	olkit or equ	uivalent
ndicator	The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law forensic technology, and capacity to use specializ investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences	zed investig		
1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No No informa	tion	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available		a.c.	
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime <sup>3</sup> in your country?	Yes No No informa	tion	
		1		

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>4</sup> to sinvestigation of CITES offences?  If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any sample collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic an another country) during the period covered in this rep		s that were					
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:							
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed s during the period covered in this report?	Yes No No information	_					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:							
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?			Yes No No information	n			
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?			
	General crime <sup>6</sup>							
	Predicate offences <sup>7</sup>							
	Asset forfeiture <sup>8</sup>							
	Corruption <sup>9</sup>							
	International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>10</sup>							
	Organized crime <sup>11</sup>							
	Specialized investigation techniques <sup>12</sup>							

<sup>4</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other

	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?	Yes No No information			
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:				

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	YesX No No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence <sup>13</sup> to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

<sup>13</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information			
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	×					
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details:						
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	X					
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please att	ach details:				
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?						
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the results? Please attach details:						
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply			
	<ul> <li>Return to country of export</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Public zoos or botanical gardens</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Designated rescue centres</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Approved private facilities</li> </ul>						
	– Euthanasia						
	<ul><li>Other (please specify):</li></ul>						
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ted specin	nens?				
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with oth	ner Parties	s?				

**Objective 1.8** Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: The making of non-detriment findings? NO Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	Yes	No
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?  What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No <b>X</b> No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?  What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No <b>X</b> No information	

## GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

**Objective 2.1** Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>14</sup> for your Management Authority(ies)?		Yes No <b>X</b>	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			_
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>15</sup> ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			$\overline{\Box}$
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			_
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes <b>X</b> No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			Ш
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes	П
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			П

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	<ul><li>number of</li><li>a shortage</li><li>If 'Yes' to a shortage</li></ul>		do you need more of?		
2.2.1c	enforcement a If 'No', please If 'Yes', for wh	an approved service standa authority(ies)? go to Question 2.2.1d. ich services are there stand		Yes No	
		ı have performance targets ıre your performance target		Yes No	
	standards during the standards		is report: gets then was this shortfall	Yes	No
2.2.1d	2.2.1b, or 2.2.	1c, relating to the existence sufficient of the following for Management	r answered 'No' to the first pa e of approved service standard your authorities to function e Scientific Authority(ies)	ds for your authorities ffectively? Enforcement	S:
	Funding?	Authority(ies)  Yes □ No ☒	Yes □ No X	Authority(ies) Yes ☐ No 🏻	
	Staff?	Yes No X	Yes No No	Yes No No	
	Skills?         Yes         No         Yes         No         Yes				
Indicator 2	- cha - hire - dev - pur  Have any of the covered in this r implementation Hiring of more s  Development of Purchase of tec	anged the budget for activitied more staff; reloped implementation too chased technical equipmer following activities been unreport to enhance the effect at the national level? taff implementation tools hnical equipment for impler	ls; nt for implementation, monitor ndertaken during the period	ing or enforcement.  Tick if app	licable
L	Other (please s	pecity).			

2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report budget for your:	, was the	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)				
	Scientific Authority(ies)				
	Enforcement authorities				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?				
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				
	Enforcement authorities?				
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementatio the national level through the following activities?				
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff	X			
	Development of implementation tools	X			
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	X			
	e-permitting	X			
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No
	Species information		X		
	Trade information		X		
	Non-detriment findings				X

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	ck all that are app	olicable
	<ul> <li>Administrative procedures</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or in the sea)</li> </ul>	troduction from	
	<ul> <li>Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction of CITES-listed species)</li> </ul>	on from the sea	
	<ul> <li>Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Harvesting of CITES-listed species</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Use of CITES-listed species</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species</li> </ul>		
	<ul><li>Other (please specify):</li></ul>		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Sec	cretariat:	

Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?	2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wild	ife conservation	?
2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?			Entirely	
2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?			Partly	
2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?			Not at all	
Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?			Not relevant	
Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?	2.2.3d		Yes	No
Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		
Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		
implementation?		If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
If 'You' places provide brief details:				
ii tes, piease provide brief details.		If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures <sup>16</sup> such as those described in <u>CoP14 Doc 14.32</u> t Convention?	o implement Yes	the No
	Due diligence		
	Compensatory mechanisms		
	Certification	$\boxtimes$	
	Communal property rights		
	Auctioning of quotas		
	Cost recovery or environmental charges		
	Enforcement incentives		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summa further information:	ry or link to	
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?	Not at all	
		Very little	
		Somewhat	
		Completely	

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

**Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this				Without from the Secreta	Э	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone			
				1			
				2-5			
				6-10		Ц	
			ר More tha	1-20		H	
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	-		111 20		Ш	
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities			ce?			
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha		intorr pice				
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	×	×	×			
	Staff of Scientific Authority	$\Box$	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	$\square$		
	Staff of enforcement authorities	X	X	X			
	Traders / other user groups	X			ď		
	NGOs	$\searrow$	$\Box$	$\nabla$	$\mathbf{x}$		
	Public	À			X		
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

## GOAL 3 CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes <b>X</b> No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased <b>X</b>	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No	information	
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided  Country(ies)	Species Management <sup>18</sup>	Habitat Management <sup>19</sup>	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (pr more inforr in an Append necessa	mation I ix if
	<ol> <li>Germany</li> <li>Japan</li> <li>Sauda Arab</li> </ol>	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\							

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.

Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in a	any of the following		Relevant
	activities to bring about better awareness requirements by the wider public and relev		Wider public	User Groups
	<ul> <li>Press conferences</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Press releases</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets</li> </ul>	; <b>X</b>		
	Television appearances			
	<ul> <li>Radio appearances</li> </ul>	X		
	- Presentations			
	Public consultations / meetings			
	<ul> <li>Market surveys</li> </ul>			
	– Displays			
	<ul> <li>Information at border crossing points</li> </ul>			
	Telephone hotline			
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)	)		
	Other (specify):			
	Please attach copies of any items or desc	ribe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).  Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority			X		
	Staff of Scientific Authority			Ď		
	Staff of enforcement authorities				X	
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	ebsite?	Exce	ellent	
	Good Average X Poor					
				Ve	ery Poor	
				N	o informatio	n 🗌
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? ( which authorities find which functions/tools mos					ıntered□

	Indicator 3.2.3:	The number of Parties with web	pages on CITES and its red	uirements.
--	------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	------------

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Indicator 3.3.1		3.3	Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.					
		3.1	The number of Parties which report that they have achieved syner implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and comultilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.					
	3.3.1a	duplion nation (e.g. t Rams	measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce cation of activities between the national CITES authorities and nal focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, sar, WHC) <sup>20</sup> to which your country is party?  s', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information				

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards?					
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?					
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No			
	Agencies for development?					
	Agencies for trade?					
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?					
	Local authorities or communities?	Ž				
	Indigenous or local peoples?					
	Trade or other private sector associations?					
	NGOs?	Ž				
	Other (please specify)					
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No			
	National and local development strategies?	X				
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?					
	Planning processes?					
	National accounting?	X				

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		Ministry of N.E.T
	Non-governmental organizations?		Mongolian professional fauna and flora organization united association

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the			
	CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable
	Appendix I <b>X</b>			
	Appendix II X			
	Appendix III			
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please prov	ide:		
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summar	у		
	1. Uncia uncial The responsibility sys	tem is		
	improved and conservation measures has	taken		
	2. Lunx lynx			
	3. Onocolobus manul			
	4. Canis lupus			
	5. Equus przevalskii			
	6. Saiga tatarica mongolica			
	7. Falco rusticolus			
	8. Falco peregrinus			
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories of emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	No		
	If 'Yes', please provide details:	N	lo informat	ion

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

Notificatio	Nas <sup>20116</sup> 206een incorporated into your country's National	Yes X	page 21
	Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	No	
		No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment	Yes	
	other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation Facility? No information X	No	

Objective	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat c agreements dealing with natur and collaborative approach to including those which are comma Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	ral resou species nercially	urces, as s which exploite	s appr can b d.	opriate e end	, in o anger	order to achieve a coher red by unsustainable tra	er de
Indicator 3.	5.1:	The number of cooperative agreements to prevent specie trade.							
3.5.1a						Yes			
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted							
3.5.2a	that in agree by CI Manag	age number of times per year nternational organizations or ements have been consulted TES Authorities gement Authority(ies)	Once	} 🔲 2-5 times	]	☐ More than 20   times	] 🛪 No consultation	Optional comment abou which organizations and issues consulted on	
		tific Authority(ies) cement Authority(ies)							
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	neral fe	edback					
Please pro	vide ar	ny additional comments you wou				ng com	ment	s on this format.	
		ltem							
Copy of ful Web link(s		of CITES-relevant legislation if ch	anged			osed availab viously		ded	
Please list	any m	aterials annexed to the report, e.	.g. fee s	chedules	s, awa	reness	raisin	g materials, etc:	
		aints to implementation of the Co uiring attention or assistance?	nvention	n arisen	in	Yes No No Ir	nform	ation	
If 'Yes', ple	ase de	escribe the constraint and the typ	e of atte	ention or	assist	ance th	nat is i	required.	
Parties?	•	les of good practice you would lik	ce to sha	are with	other	Yes No No Ir	nform	ation	
		ovide details / links:						<u>X</u>	_
	inis re	port format be improved?							

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.