# Narwhal NDF Greenland

Lars Witting, Fernando Ugarte, and Mads Peter Heide-Jørgensen

**Greenland Institute of Natural Resources** 

## Background

 CITES formally adopted in 2004 by the Greenland Home Rule Government

- Greenland Institute of Natural Resources became the Scientific Authority
- First NDF in 2005 for narwhal
- Later NDFs for polar bear, beluga and walrus (so far only NDF for beluga positive)

# Internal NDF guidelines

 Integrate NDF in our traditional work on sustainable use of living resources

NDF do not evaluate sustainable use directly

It relies instead on scientific recommendations of international organizations like NAMMCO, JCNB, IWC and IUCN PBTC

## Narwhal distribution

- Arctic North Atlantic
- Mainly Arctic Canada and Greenland
- Summer: site fidelity to fjord systems
- Winter: in drift ice



#### Stock structure

- Separate summer areas
  (3 NW Greenland
  + East Greenland
  + several in Canada)
- More common but apparent separate wintering grounds
- Winter/fall areas with animals of unknown origin



#### Abundance

- Global abundance unknown
- Canada
  - 1996: 45,000 (cv:35%); 2002: 26,000 (cv:58%)
- Inglefield Bredning; NW Greenland
  2001: 2,300 (cv:35%); 2002: 1,500 (cv:25%)
- West Greenland winter
  - 1999: 2,900 (cv:61%) + 8 index est. (1981-99)
- + West 2006 and East 2008

## Subsistence hunt

- Meat and skin are consumed locally or distributed within Greenland. Tusks are often sold and resold a number of times before they reach the final consumer
- Prior to 2005 unregulated: 700 /year
- Since 2005 quota system in West Greenland, free hunt in East Greenland
- 2008-9 quota 300 narwhals in west
- Average catch 95 /year in east

#### **Bayesian assessment**

- Density-regulated population model (age-structured & discrete)
- Projected over the known catch history
- Some correction for underreporting and struck & lost
- All accepted abundance estimates (relative/absolute)
- Prior knowledge on life history and growth rate
- Uncertainty in stock structure
- Probability of population increase for given catches (5-10 years)

# NDF decision guideline



#### **Export** impact analysis

Hunt unsustainable but independent of export

 Is not considered for species where exported products have an important economical value
 – Impossible to prove

In such cases export analysis is redundant
 Green light depends on sustainability

## Critique

 Several hunters, politicians, and administrators express mistrust in our advice

- They argue that exports should be allowed
  - Hunt is driven by local demands
  - Limited by quotas
  - Thus, export has no significant impact