AMENDMENTS TO APPENDICES I AND II OF THE CONVENTION

Other Proposals

A. PROPOSAL

Inclusion of the western Atlantic population of <u>Thunnus thynnus</u> in Appendix I and of the eastern Atlantic population in Appendix II.

B. <u>PROPONENT</u>

Sweden.

C. SUPPORTING STATEMENT

- 1. <u>Taxonomy</u>
 - 11. Class: Osteichthyes
 - 12. Order: Scombriformes
 - 13. Family: Scombridae
 - 14. Species: <u>Thunnus thynnus</u>

15:	Common Names:	English: French: Spanish: Portuguese: Danish: Finnish: German: Icelandic: Japanese: Korean: Dutch: Norwegian: Polish: Swedish: Taiwanese: Bussian:	bluefin tuna thon rouge atún atúm tunfisk tonnikala roter thun túnfiskur kuromaguro cham-da-raeng-i tonijin makrellstørje túnczyk tonfisk hey we
		nuosiun.	LUNGO

- 16. Code Numbers:
- 2. Biological Data
 - 21. <u>Distribution</u>: The bluefin tuna is found on both sides of the Atlantic and both eastern and western Pacific. The Atlantic bluefin tuna is one of the largest animals in the world, reaching sizes of well over a thousand pounds and ages of twenty years or more. In the western Atlantic it ranges from Labrador to Brazil and perhaps farther south (see Figure 3 from ICCAT 1990). In the eastern Atlantic it ranges from western north Africa to the North Sea. It is also found in the Mediterranean (Mather, 1974). There is some movement of bluefin tuna

across the Atlantic Ocean, but the two stocks are considered by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) to be separate for management purposes. In the eastern Pacific, bluefin tuna occurs from Shelikof Strait, Alaska, to southern Baja California, but they are usually found south of Los Angeles. They occur in the western Pacific as well, where there is an active fishery for them.

The bluefin tuna has occurred, but may no longer occur, in the Black Sea, in the Baltic Sea (Georgsson, 1989), off Norway (Georgsson, 1989) and much of the North Sea (Tiews, 1978), in nearshore waters of Rhode Island, USA (Anderson, pers. comm. 1991), and close along the beaches of Long Island, New York, USA (D. Scopper, pers. comm. 1991). The body entrusted with monitoring and management of the Atlantic bluefin tuna is the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (hereafter referred to as ICCAT).

22. <u>Population</u>: Although some trans-oceanic migration is known to occur (there is "evidence of limited mixing from tag releases and hard part analysis" ICCAT 1990), bluefin tuna in the western and eastern Atlantic are considered to be essentially separate populations: "For management purposes SCRS considers Atlantic bluefin to be composed of an eastern and a western stock" (ICCAT 1990; see Figure 3 from ICCAT 1990).

Bluefin tuna populations have undergone tremendous declines throughout the species range on both sides of the Atlantic.

Western Atlantic

The breeding population in the western Atlantic has been in continual decline for two decades. The population of 'giant', adult fish (age 10+ years) in the western Atlantic is estimated by ICCAT to have declined nearly 95% since 1970 (Figure 1; data from ICCAT 1990 Table 7). During this period, the fishing mortality index (usually denoted as 'F') has increased 2,236% (Figure 1; data from ICCAT 1990 Table 6). Fishing mortality in adult fish is nearly three times the natural mortality. The decline in the breeding population may be affecting larval abundance. Western Atlantic larval abundance indices since 1987 have ranged from 9 to 24% of what they averaged in 1977 and 1978 (the only 2 years during the 1970s for which there are data; ICCAT 1990).

In addition to the decline of age 10 + adults, from 1970-1990, fish aged 1-3 years declined an estimated 88%, fish aged 4-6 years declined 73% (see attached ICCAT Figure 10 and Table 7; ICCAT 1990). These decline estimates are based on ICCAT's estimated population sizes (ICCAT 1990 Table 7).

In addition to estimates of absolute numbers (ICCAT 1990 Table 7), ICCAT has plotted population changes on a relative scale for age groupings 1-5, 6-7, and 8 + (ICCAT 1990 Figure 10). In reference to this graph, ICCAT 1990 states that "the January 1, 1990 large fish abundance (ages 8 +) is about 10 percent of the 1970 value; ages 6 to 7 approximately 50%; ages 1 to 5 for 1987 (last year with useful estimates of young fish) approximately 20% of the 1970 value. The estimates of abundance of large fish have continued to decline since 1970." [Until 1990, ICCAT considered adult bluefin tuna to be those at least 10 years old. In 1990 ICCAT changed its criteria and now considers adult bluefin tuna to be those eight years or older ("the large fish that were aged 10 + are now considered ages 8 +, the medium fish have changed from ages 6 to 9 to ages

6 to 7 and the small fish have not changed their age grouping of ages 1 to 5," ICCAT 1990].



Figure 1. By 1990, the population of adult (giant) bluefin tuna (age 10+ years) had declined more than 94 percent from 1970 estimated levels, under relentlessly intensifying fishing pressure.

These declines and the intense fishing pressure are projected to continue for both adult and adolescent bluefin tuna. For the near future, ICCAT predicts a "substantial decrease in projected stock size of medium fish in 1992" and "projected increases in the fishing mortality rate on large fish to above the 1990 estimate" (ICCAT 1990). ICCAT's Standing Committee on research and Statistics has stated that current catch quotas "will cause the decline of the age 8 + group to continue" and "is expected to result in an increase in the estimated fishing mortality rate and a corresponding decline in the estimated stock size of large and medium fish" (ICCAT 1990).

ICCAT's population estimates go back to 1970, but by 1970 the bluefin was already in decline. The mean annual catch in the western Atlantic between 1960 and 1965 was 9,190 metric tons. Landings peaked during 1963-1965, averaging 15,744 mt/yr. By the years 1970-1975, landings were already down to an average of 5,050 mt/yr, 55% of the 1960-65 average and only 32% of the landings during the '63-'65 peak (ICCAT 1990). Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute scientist Frank Mather stated in 1974 that the "Atlantic bluefin tuna is in trouble. The danger signs are obvious. Catches of large bluefin tuna have declined catastrophically" (Mather, 1974). Note that this is the period from which Figure 1 begins.

Despite the declines that had occurred in all age groups since the early 1970s (Figure 10 from ICCAT 1990), ICCAT in 1983 allowed a doubling of the catch

quota that it had set only a year earlier in 1982. Since 1983 the total allowable catch has been unchanged (ICCAT 1990).

The species is extirpated from some of its former western Atlantic concentration areas. For example, in the nearshore waters (1/2 to 3 miles from shore) of Rhode Island, USA, the bluefin tuna was once so plentiful at certain times of year that several prestigious tuna tournaments were held there by sport fishermen. Areas near Rhode Island, such as Narragansett Bay, Nebraska Shoal, and others held concentrations of adult ('giant') bluefin. Many giant bluefin were caught in these tournaments. In 1956, for example, sport anglers caught 21 giant bluefin of over 400 pounds in one day's fishing in the Rhode Island Atlantic Tuna Tournament (Anderson, 1990). The fish are no longer found in these former high-concentration areas. According to charter boat captain and author AI Anderson "we have not seen a bluefin tuna in those areas in well over a decade" (pers. comm. 1991). On the south shore of Long Island, New York, giant bluefin tuna were taken in along-shore fish traps just off the beach earlier in this century (D. Scopper, former fish trap tender, pers. comm. 1991). Up until the late 1950's these traps sometimes caught several giant bluefin tuna in a single day, but no tuna were caught in such traps during the last two decades they operated (D. Scopper, pers. comm. 1991).

Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean

For the eastern region as a whole, ICCAT (1990) states: "There still exists a great deal of uncertainty. However, it can be said that the population of older fish (age 10+, ICCAT 1990, Figure 15) is now lower, at about half the 1970 value. The medium fish (ages 5 to 9) also show a downward trend in the population of approximately 25 percent (ICCAT, 1990 Figure 15). The stock size of ages 2 to 4 is highly variable. Uncertainty about the youngest ages not only comes from the analytical technique and the variance in the abundance indices but also the high degree of uncertainty of the sampling of catches in the Mediterranean. For this reason, only limited confidence can be placed on the apparent upward trend" for fish in the 2-4 year old group (ICCAT 1990 Figure 15). Improved data collection would probably give a better means for assessing the status of this population.

For the eastern Atlantic, ICCAT's scientists estimate that "the size of the stock of medium-sized fish (ages 5 to 9 in 1989 was approximately three-fourths the 1970 value while that of ages 10 + (large fish) was a little more than half. Recent estimates of the stock size of ages 2 to 4 indicate an increasing trend since 1970 with large annual fluctuations over time. (ICCAT 1990, Figure 15; ICCAT 1990 Table 18). This implies high variability in recruitment of the east Atlantic bluefin stock" (ICCAT 1990).

Catches in the eastern Atlantic averaged 20,900 metric tons between 1960 and 1962. This dropped 74% by the years 1987-1989, to an annual average of 5,400 metric tons (ICCAT 1990 Table 1).

Fishing mortality estimates for adult (age 10 +) bluefin tuna suggest an increase in fishing mortality of 25-50% between 1970 an 1989, with significant interyear variability in the intervening period and no clear trend (Figure 16 from ICCAT 1990). Fishing mortality of juvenile fish has increased sharply in the last decade. Fishing mortality rates for all age groups have frequently been estimated as being substantially higher than natural mortality (Table 19 from ICCAT 1990; for comparison, ICCAT considers natural mortality to have a value of 0.14).

The species may be wholly or partly extirpated from Norwegian waters and the Baltic Sea. Georgsson (1989) states "outside western Norway in the 50s, especially the area outside Bergen, commercial fishermen made unbelievable catches. By 1970 the fish was almost gone. Scattered catches were made as late as the beginning of the 80s and a group of Swedes made an expedition in the area in 1983 without even seeing a fish. When the fish were abundant they were big ones, and they were all over western Sweden as well. Today the fish are gone, I have been looking out for them in September-October when they normally were roaming the west coast of Sweden, just outside my summer house. But we have not seen a single fish. This year would have been a marvellous year because the mackerel (which the bluefins would normally have concentrated upon to feed) are plentiful all the way in to the shore...but todays situation is just another ecological tragedy." Norwegian catches dropped from a high of 8,153 metric tons in 1962 to zero since 1987 (ICCAT 1990; see also Tiews, 1978 for additional information).

The species may also be extirpated from the Black Sea. Excluding purse seiners, catches by Turkish fishermen, which averaged 704 metric tons annually between 1981 and 1984 dropped to zero in 1985 and thereafter (ICCAT 1990). Turkish purse seine catches appear in the ICCAT data beginning in 1985 (ICCAT 1990). It is unclear why this switch occurred, and whether Turkish purse seiners are fishing in the Black Sea or in more distant waters. Mather (1974) believed that Black Sea may have been a spawning area, but the Black Sea was not included as part of this species range by ICCAT (1978). Water quality in the Black Sea has severely deteriorated (Rozengurt, in press).

Catches in the Mediterranean have been at historic highs in the 1980s. However, current high catches of very young fish that weigh less that the minimum ICCAT allowed size of 6.4 kg. "may become crucial for the future of this stock" (ICCAT 1990). Mather (1974) referred to the "inexcusably wasteful slaughter" of fish less than a year old in the Mediterranean, and suggested that the numbers involved were well into the millions annually. An ICCAT regulation prohibiting the taking of bluefin tuna less that 6.4 kg in weight went into effect in 1975, but is largely ignored in the Mediterranean and catches of small fish are under reported (ICCAT 1990).

Bluefin tuna may be severely reduced in numbers around the Azores. Donald Merten, captain of a sport fishing charter boat reports that only one bluefin has entered the port of Horta, Island of Faial, Azores since 1986. According to the boat's owner, "Capt. Merten has visited the fish house some 200 times since 1985. He has not seen nor could identify any bluefins either large or small, though yellowfin and bigeye tunas were "readily seen in the local fish house" (Sloan, pers. comm. 1991a). Prior to the arrival of this charter boat, "we heard many reports of excellent bluefin tuna fishing very close to Port Horta. We heard of large numbers of bluefin tuna, and in fact this was one of our main reasons to begin operations there" (Sloan, pers. comm. 1991a). Part of this early information had been provided to Sloan by John Gill, a marine biologist at the University of the Azores and an ICCAT associate (Sloan, pers. comm. 1991a). More recent information, however, indicated that Japanese longliners are catching bluefins of the Azores (Sloan, pers. comm. 1991b).

23. <u>Habitat</u>: Generally continental shelf waters in temperate latitudes. Ranges into subtropical and tropical areas. Often close to shore, especially in previous years. Western Atlantic bluefins spawn primarily in the Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean, and the Straits of Florida (Mather, 1974). Spawning grounds of the eastern Atlantic fish are mainly in the Mediterranean (Mather, 1974).

Bluefin tuna habitat quality remains generally high (with the previously noted exception of the Black Sea). Although the effects of fisheries on the bluefin's food supply is not well known, the populations level of at least one key prey species, the Atlantic mackerel, is currently very high in at least the western Atlantic, according to recent US fisheries assessments. Georgsson (1989) suggest that prey have very recently been abundant in areas near Sweden where bluefin tuna have been absent (see quote above).

3. <u>Trade Data</u>

 <u>National Utilization</u>: The bluefin tuna has long been part of the human economy. Prehistoric cave painters and ancient Greeks shared an admiration for the bluefin (Mowat, 1984). Mediterranean countries caught bluefins in fish traps for centuries (Mowat, 1984).

Earlier in this century, bluefin tuna had very low monetary value relative to prices commanded in recent years. In the 1950s growing demand for canned tuna meat sparked new interest. Younger bluefin tuna were often more valuable than adults, which usually sold for very low prices (e.g. a few pennies per pound at the dockside in the USA), generally for pet food, until the 1970s (Mowat, 1984). New wholesale capture techniques such as purse seining were developed and continually refined, as was sport fishing equipment. Interest in sport fishing increased substantially.

Wild live-caught tuna have been fattened in pens in Maine for exports to Japan. The Japanese are developing techniques for captive rearing of bluefin tuna captured as very young fish (Sloan, pers. comm. 1991c).

There are fisheries for bluefin tuna in the eastern and western Atlantic Ocean and in the Mediterranean Sea. Many different gears are used and the size of fish caught varies depending on the gear and location (ICCAT 1990). The 1989 catches are estimated to be 2800 mt in the west Atlantic, 5300 mt in the east Atlantic, and 13000 mt in the Mediterranean Sea (ICCAT 1990).

32. Legal International Trade: From the late 1950s, Japan offered the most lucrative trade (Mowat, 1984). Japan is now by far the most lucrative trade destination for adult bluefin tuna which are much in demand as fare at "suchi" bars. Japanese consumers now pay up to \$50 for a plate of thinly sliced raw bluefin (Radonski, et al. 1990). These prices have brought much more effort into the fishery (Anderson, 1990). Japan is the final destination for most internationally traded bluefin tuna (Weber, 1990). Recently Japan has consumed approximatley three quarters of the bluefin caught in the Western Atlantic (Weber, 1990).

In 1987 Japan imported 5,101 mt of bluefin tuna (data from Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry, as compiled by Radonski et al., 1990). A major part of the supply to this market comes from the United States of America and the western Atlantic bluefin tuna population. In 1986 the US supplied more than 25 percent of the Japanese fresh bluefin tuna market (compiled by Radonski et al., 1990). In 1987 approximately 70% of the US catch was exported to Japan (compiled by Radonski et al., 1990). Demand for both fresh and frozen bluefin is rising. Japanese buyers have set up business in foreign ports since the early/mid 1980s. Dockside prices paid to fishermen for giant bluefins sharply escalated during the 1980s, and many of these fish are now bought from fishermen for more than \$10,000 each. Some fishermen ship their fish to Japan where it can be sold on consignment for as much as \$30 per pound (Benjamin, 1989). Adult bluefin exported to Japan and sold on consignment have brought up to thirty dollars per pound (Benjamin 1989). Because giant tuna range from 300 to 1,000 pounds or more, considerable profits are possible. For additional information on Japanese trade in bluefins, see attached tables.

Until the middle 1970s US distribution and consumption was primarily in the ethnic markets of New York, Baltimore and Philadelphia. Large fish wholesale prices ranged from \$0.03 to \$0.10 per pound. One result of restrictions on catch imposed in US waters in response to ICCAT recommendations was the withdrawal of a sizeable fleet of Japanese longline vessels which had conducted a directed fishery for large bluefin in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the United States of America. This withdrawal imposed a serious shortage in a Japanese market where high quality, (high fat content), large fish are an extremely valuable product. Since 1980 this shortage has been partially satisfied by direct, air freighted shipments of the high quality bluefin from the US waterfront to the Tokyo market. Japanese buyers work on the docks along the US Atlantic coast (McHugh, 1991).

- 33. <u>Illegal Trade</u>: Fish caught by US longline fishermen in excess of trip quotas cannot be landed in the US and must be discarded (usually dead), but many are apparently traded to non US boats in exchange for fish which can legally be landed in the US after these trips, or to other US boats that still have room in their trip quota. Thus there is incentive to take them from their only western Atlantic breeding grounds, contrary to US policy which is to discourage a directed fishery for bluefin tuna in the Gulf of Mexico breeding area (H. Upton, Centre for Marine Conservation, Washington DC, USA, pers. comm. 1991).
- 34. <u>Potential Trade Threats</u>: The current legal trade is not sustainable, as evidenced by the collapsing breeding population, especially in the western Atlantic. Giant bluefin, taken as longline by catch from their only western Atlantic spawning grounds in and near the Gulf of Mexico, can be legally traded if the catch is within the allowed trip bycatch guota.

4. Protection Status

- 41. <u>National</u>: The international management body entrusted with responsibility for managing bluefin tuna is the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). Countries which are parties to ICCAT are bound to observe ICCAT catch allocations. Not all do. For example, the US has frequently overfished its quota by approximately 25 percent in recent years (Table 1 in ICCAT 1990). Countries which are parties to ICCAT have adopted a 6.4kg. minimum size. Many countries which catch bluefin tuna are not members of ICCAT.
- 42. <u>International</u>: ICCAT member nations include Angola, Benin, Brazil, Canada, Cape Verde, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, France, Gabon, Ghana, Japan, Morocco,

Portugal, Republic of Korea, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Soviet Union, South Africa, Spain, United States of America, Uruguay and Venezuela.

ICCAT allots annual catch quotas to member nations. ICCAT has also adopted a 6.4kg. minimum size to protect fish in their first year. This is largely ignored in the Mediterranean. ICCAT (1990) noted "A regulation prohibiting the catch and landing of bluefin tuna less that 6.4kg. in the entire Atlantic went into effect in August 1975, with a 15 percent (in number) tolerance for incidental catches. A study of the percentages of under-sized fish in the Mediterranean (SCRS/84/83) indicate that landings may be under-estimated. Countries which fish in the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean continue to target small fish. The group concluded the regulation is largely not enforced.

In 1981 ICCAT's Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS) recommended that the harvest of bluefin in the western Atlantic be kept, "as close to zero as feasible" (ICCAT 1981). However, ICCAT's managers rejected their scientists' zero catch recommendation, and accepted the US proposal for a catch of 565 metric tons as an interim measure until the major participants in the western Atlantic fishery could meet and agree on an acceptable catch level. ICCAT qualified this action by providing that any amount of bluefin catch agreed to by that meeting would be for purposes of "scientific monitoring" of the status of the stocks. Early in 1982 the harvesting nations did meet and, after extensive discussions, increased the 565 metric tons to 1,160 tons for scientific monitoring of the stock. In 1983 ICCAT recommended that this scientific monitoring allocation be increased to 2,660 metric tons for the western Atlantic, a regime that remains today.

43. <u>Additional Protection Needs</u>: In evaluating the effect of the regulations adopted in 1982-1983 and presently in place on the western Atlantic population, ICCAT (1990) states that "Since implementation of catch limits in 1982, fishing mortality rates of large fish have increased to values greater than those immediately prior to 1982" (ICCAT 1990 Figure 11), and that part of this "is due to the increase allowed for by the ICCAT regulations (doubling of the catch from 1982 to 1983). Other factors include increased efficiency among the fisheries and the effect of a constant TAC (total allowed catch) on a declining population.)

The trade has been especially damaging to the western Atlantic population, where the number of adults has declined each year since the early 1970s. The breeding population shows no fluctuation, only constant decline (Figure 1, ICCAT 1990 Figure 10). Fishing pressure continues to intensity, fuelled by escalating prices paid for large fish by exporters, despite greatly reduced catches per unit of effort in at least some parts of the fishery.

Limits on fishing implemented by ICCAT in 1982-1983 have been insufficient to halt the decline of the bluefin tuna's spawning population, especially in the western Atlantic. ICCAT's scientific committee recognizes this. ICCAT scientists (1990) stated their "serious concern over the status of Atlantic bluefin stocks, especially for the west Atlantic". ICCAT's scientific committee's predictions for the western Atlantic are for the fishing pressure to further intensify and the populations of both adolescent (medium) and breeding (giant) bluefin tuna to continue to decline; " the 2660 mt of the recommended catch for monitoring will cause the decline of the age 8+ group to continue for at least the near term, given the various assumptions of the analysis. Deterministic projections to 1992 conducted by the working group indicate the continued harvest of 2660 mt is expected to result in an increase in the estimated fishing mortality rate and a corresponding decline in the estimated stock size of large and medium fish" (ICCAT 1990). ICCAT's scientists thus project continued population decline for both adults and for the medium size fish. Yet ICCAT's managing body has refused as recently as their last meeting (November 1990) to move to decrease mortality.

Despite ICCAT's statistical committee's recognition that the adult population has continued to shrink to a very low level, ICCAT's managing body continues to refuse to enact stronger measures to reverse this decline and avert a possible collapse of the bluefin tuna population and the fishery. Both international action at ICCAT and individual nations' fisheries continue to be driven by short-term concerns, not consideration of the long-term health of the resource and the economic benefits that a properly managed, restored bluefin tuna population could provide on a sustainable basis for the future. The economics of the bluefin tuna fishery is such that as the number of fish has diminished, the value of each fish has risen dramatically. Catch per unit of effort may be at an all-time low, but the return on each fish caught is at an all-time high. Therefore, those currently profiting of the remnants of the bluefin population have little financial incentive to stop overfishing, much less rebuild the population to a higher, more productive level. If revenues rise at a rate greater than costs, fishermen will continue to fish despite declining abundance. This can be a serious problem because there is no economic disincentive to harvest fish as abundance is reduced (Strand and Norton, 1980).

The price paid for high quality, "export grade" bluefin tuna flesh is often as high as \$30 per pound at the dock. Not all fish caught and landed are of this quality and command such an extraordinary price, but the prospect of landing such valuable fish is so attractive to so many fishermen that fishing pressure on bluefin tuna (measured as fishing mortality rate) is greater now than it has ever been (ICCAT 1990).

The highest prices paid for those fish which are of export quality. The fact that not all fish landed are of exportable grade does not deter many from seeking to share in this bonanza. The rush to sell has become so intense that it is commonly agreed that there is no longer a recreational fishery for large bluefin tuna. Rod and reel fishermen are as anxious to sell their catch as are the professional commercial fishermen. Unfortunately, significant numbers of nonprofessional participants in the fishery lack the knowledge and/or the facilities with which to preserve the necessary quality. As a result, many bluefin have little or no market value when offered for sale in amounts far in excess of the fishermen's capacity for personal use.

While the high price paid for export grade bluefin tuna is driving this fishery, pressure from the small sector of the fishing industry involved in the bluefin tuna trade prevents ICCAT's managing body from taking the action necessary to stop overfishing.

It thus appears that action to halt the 20 year decline in the bluefin population must come from outside the present ICCAT system, and must affect the present economic incentive to continue depleting the bluefin tuna population.

ICCAT's statistical committee has expressed "concern regarding the lack of improvement in stock abundance" and has recommended better monitoring of catches: "The Committee recommends that data on all Japanese imports from

the west Atlantic be provided by country of origin. The Committee also recommended that information on national consumption and transshipment also be provided be participating nations. There is still concern that despite improved data collection, serious difficulties remain about under-reporting small bluefin catches (ages 0 and 1). There is also uncertainty under-reporting of catches of ages 2 and 3 in the Mediterranean." (ICCAT 1990).

For the eastern Atlantic bluefin population, ICCAT (1990) states: "Many times the Committee has expressed serious concern about the lack of basic information on the catch and size composition. The Committee has greater uncertainty about the status of eastern Atlantic bluefin, "due in part to "incomplete reporting from the nations involved in fishing this stock and the general lack of participation by scientists with knowledge of the fisheries. The small number of scientists dedicated to the assessment of the eastern stock have difficulty acquiring timely information on the logistics of the diverse fisheries, especially of the Mediterranean. In recent years the Committee has not had sufficient participation of scientists to prepare a balanced and timely report."

ICCAT (1990) further states that "The SCRS (Standing Committee on Research and Statistics of ICCAT) wishes to stress that the high catch of small fish (in the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean; ICCAT 1990 Table 16) indicates a lack of compliance with the minimum size regulation. This problem may become crucial for the future of this stock."

The most serious danger for the bluefin is that the number of spawning-age fish in the western Atlantic is at an extremely low level and, according to ICCAT scientists, will continue to decline, unless more is done to protect this animal.

Most important of all, the sooner the population is returned to a healthy level, the more productive the fishery will be for all who can benefit from the bluefin tuna resource.

5. Information on Similar Species

Southern bluefin (<u>T. maccovii</u>). Australian and New Zealand waters (Migdalski, 1958). Also may be the bluefin tuna found off southern Africa and southeastern South America (Mather, 1974, ICCAT, 1978). Externally resembles <u>T. thynnus</u> and was formerly considered the same species, but reaches a much smaller maximum size of around 240 pounds (110 kg) (Midgalski 1958). Much international trade, especially for use in Japan.

Bigeye Tuna (<u>T</u>. <u>obesus</u>). Worldwide in deep tropical and temperate water (Migdalski, 1958, ICCAT, 1978). Pelagic and oceanic. This is the second largest tuna, after <u>T</u>. <u>thynnus</u>, attaining weights of at least 435 pounds (200 kg, Migdalski, 1958). Much international trade, especially for use in Japan.

6. Comments from Countries of Origin

7. Additional Remarks

Although ICCAT is an international body charged with conservation of the bluefin tuna, the political climate has made it impossible for ICCAT to manage the bluefin tuna fishery in a sustainable manner.

The bluefin tuna clearly qualifies for CITES designation, and the western Atlantic population clearly qualifies for addition to CITES Appendix I under the Berne Criteria (CITES Resolution Conf. 1.1). This resolution states that "to qualify for Appendix I, a species must be currently threatened with extinction", and calls for the following information, "in order of preference; (a) scientific reports on the population size or geographic range of the species over a number of years (b) scientific reports based on single surveys, (c) reports by reliable observers other than scientists." This proposal is based on the scientific information published by the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). Thus these data are from an international scientific monitoring and assessment programme that has been in place for many years, the preferred source listed in the Berne Resolution. In addition to these scientific data, this proposal includes some anecdotal information by "reliable observers other than scientist;" fishermen who are not involved in catching bluefin tuna for profit. These observers include the Press Secretary to the Swedish Prime Minister, Mr. Lars Georgsson, whose observations for the waters around Sweden are quoted above. Also included are the observations of professional charter boat captains and a former commercial fish trap tender.

The internationally-assembled data speaks for itself. The breeding population in the western Atlantic is clearly headed in the direction of extinction, and if the trend of constant decline that has been documented for the last twenty years continues there will likely be no breeding fish left in the western Atlantic within the coming decade. The moderate increase in age 6-7 fish from a low point in 1983 (ICCAT Figure 10), if this is indeed a real increase within the very wide error bars around the data points, underscores the problem caused by the current very high fishing intensity: pressure on the larger fish is so great that fish that have survived to ages 6-7 since 1983 have not survived another year or two in sufficient numbers to alter the continuous downward trend of age 8 + fish in the west Atlantic population. If younger fish are not surviving to breeding age in quantities which result in a change in the downward trend in breeding fish, then in a few years there will be no reproduction in the western Atlantic. The Berne Criteria further states that "Species meeting the biological criteria should be listed in Appendix I if they are or may be affected by international trade". In so far as international trade is a main reason for the current fishing pressure on this species, evaluation of the biological and trade status of the species clearly suggests an Appendix-I listing for the western Atlantic population.

Because the eastern Atlantic breeding population is now approximately half its former level, and the fish is extirpated from large areas in the North Sea, and international trade is a major force in the fishery, Appendix-II listing is needed for the eastern Atlantic population. ICCAT itself has pleaded the case for improved record keeping in this region, as noted above.

By the early 1970s the bluefin tuna was so overfished that entire age classes were largely absent from the population (Mather, 1974). Tag return rates were extremely high (Mather, 1974), indicating that fishing pressure was intense and that overfishing was the cause of the changes in population structure. ICCAT's management plan was supposed to sustain this species by arresting the decline of small fish and allowing recovery. Because the decline of some of the smaller age classes slowed, and more age classes are now better represented as a proportion of the total population than in the past (see attached ICCAT Figure 10), some people have the impression that management measures are allowing a recovery. The data show that it is not the case. ICCAT (1990) predicts continued declines of both breeding age and subadult bluefins in the future.

The important points from the ICCAT data are that:

- the adult population has declined every year for nearly two decades, for a loss of over 90% of the breeding population since 1970 (1970 is merely the year of the earliest population estimate, not a time of undamaged population numbers; by the early 1970s the catch reductions had already been called "catastrophic" (Mather, 1974);
- 2) while this decline has occurred, fishing mortality has escalated sharply due to extremely high prices now paid for bluefin tuna in Japan; and
- 3) ICCAT, the international body under whose stewardship the bluefin has so drastically declined, itself predicts continued declines in both the adult (giant) and subadult (medium) age classes, as quoted above.

It is sometimes mentioned that the bluefin tuna population dynamics are characterized by great fluctuation in abundance, as are those for many fish. However, in older data (e.g. bluefin tuna landings from Mediterranean fish traps, as appended to Suzuki 1991), the largest difference between peaks and troughs was a factor of ten approximately. According to the ICCAT 1990 report, the western Atlantic population of adults age 10 + is now nearly one twentieth what it was in 1970. This decline is thus twice the magnitude of any historic decline in other, older data sets for this species. This is cause for concern and for a change in the management regime. Additionally, the ICCAT report shows fishing mortality to have increased over 2,000%. Adding this much fishing effort on a population characterized by peaks and declines may destabilize recovery periods and exacerbate downward plunges caused by natural phenomena.

The ICCAT quotas are sometimes referred to as "scientific monitoring" quotas but the fishery is clearly a commercial fishery, and potentially informative non-lethal methods of scientific monitoring, such as aerial surveys with professional tuna spotter pilots, have not been seriously considered by ICCAT or its member governments.

Suzuki (1991) has said that "the continuous sustainable yield from the population for more than a decade demonstrates that the population is well able to support the monitoring catch quota". On the contrary, in order to sustainable support the catch the population would have to remain the same or increase. The ICCAT data shows that the population is declining and that there is a failure of younger fish to survive into the sexually mature adult age class under the present catch scheme. If the population is declining in response to fishing pressure, then by definition the catch is not sustainable, and the catch will ultimately begin to collapse when the population reaches a point so low that increasing prices paid for internationally traded bluefin tuna will not be able to support enough increase in effort to maintain the landings.

8. <u>References</u>

Anderson, A. 1990. The Atlantic Bluefin Tuna, Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow. The Fisherman Library. Pt. Pleasant, N.J.

- Benjamin, M. 1989. Selling bluefin on consignment: An informed gamble can off big. Commercial Fisheries News. August.
- Eschmayer, W.N., E.S. Herald, and H. Hamann. 1987. A field guide to Pacific coast fishes of North America. Houghton Mifflin. Boston.

- Georgsson, L. 1989. Letter from Lars Georgsson, Swedish Ambassador to Norway, to David J. Finkelstein, dated 16 October 1989.
- ICCAT, 1978. Manual de operaciones para las estadisticas y el muestreo de tunidos y especies afines en el Oceano Atlantico, 2nd edition.
- ICCAT, 1981. ICCAT Report for 1980-1981. (Part II 1981). Sec. C-4, C. Para. 1. (B) (i). Page 131.
- ICCAT, 1990. Report of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics. International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas.
- Mather, F. 1974. The bluefin tuna situation. Sixteenth annual international game fish research conference, pp 93-106. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution contribution 3304.
- McHugh, J. 1991. comments to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on proposed action to list the bluefin tuna in the CITES appendix. 9pp,
- Migdalski, E. 1958. Angler's guide to the salt water game fishes. Ronald Press. New York.
- Mowat, F. 1984. Sea of Slaughter. Atlantic Monthly Press. Boston.
- Radonski, G.C., A. Loftus, M. Weber, and N.S. Prosser, 1990. Bluefin Tuna - a highly migratory species - threatened, endangered? Presentation at American Fisheries Society 120th annual meeting. Pittburgh, PA.
- Rozengurt, M. in press. Coastal habitat destruction due to freshwater diversions in the Soviet Union and California. Proc. of a Symposium on Coastal Fish Habitat. National Coalition for Marine Conservation.
- Strand, I.E. and V. J. Norton, 1980. Economics aspect of commercial striped bass harvest. Proceedings of 5th Annual Marine Recreational Fisheries Symposium. Sport Fishing Institute. Washington, D.C.
- Suzuki, Z. 1991. Comments on the proposal by the National Audubon Society to the US Fish and Wildlife Service for listing Atlantic bluefin tuna in the CITES Appendix.
- Tiews, K. 1978. On the disappearance of bluefin tuna in the North Sea and its ecological implications for herring and mackerel. Rapp. P.-v. Reun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer, 172: 301-309.
- Weber, M. 1990. A preliminary study of international trade in western Atlantic bluefin tuna 25 pp.



Preliminary Figure. The longline catches off southwestern Africs probably consisted mainly of southern bluefin, <u>Thunnus maccoy11</u>.

Fig. 3 from Mather 1974



BFT-Fig. 3. Map of the Atlantic Ocean showing the line used to separate the eastern and western components of the Atlantic bluefin tuna stock.

Figure 3 from ICCAT 1990





Figure 10. Population estimates (in numbers) for the west Atlantic bluefin from VPA. The terminal year estimates for the younger ages are dependent on the input values. The vertical bars indicate 1 standard deviation above and below the mean, given the assumptions of the assessment. Additional unquantifiable uncertainty does exist due to many assmptions of the biology of this species. (i.e. stock structure, growth, mortality, etc.).

Figure 10 from ICCAT 1990



32 PISCES (1)



PISCES (1)

11

Table 1 from ICCAT 1990

FIT-Table 1. ATLANTIC HUEFIN CATCH (AT) ADALAMATANAMATANAMATANA CATIVIA ATUM 6030 ATLANTICO (TA) AMATANAMATANAMATANAMATANA PRISC THOM POLICA ATLANTICUE (TR)

MIRE

a ‡

.

1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1955 1966 1965 1966 1950 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 MUL 34784 31823 39118 3511 31(82 33584 3538 1538 1738 16619 17433 14432 14532 32534 26121 28167 25457 20368 18347 19786 19487 23597 24008 24480 36525 72117 19787 24578 21195 HEST ATLANTIC 1022 1620 5779 13638 16679 14171 8000 5910 3176 3012 5456 6591 3948 3871 5393 5032 5883 6694 5763 6255 5801 5771 1431 2548 2322 2578 2322 2575 3011 2548 -PURSE SELVE 277 903 3768 5770 5138 3331 1006 2022 587 1118 4238 3765 2011 1556 960 2128 1582 1582 1238 1381 758 918 232 384 401 377 364 367 323 325 CANADA 6 1161 935 266 635 103 291 332 298 241 8 323 579 (61 . MORVAT 6 6 6 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 USA 277 \$02 2768 \$447 4571 2878 1006 2042 647 1118 3127 2834 1751 1821 857 2829 1258 1294 989 1381 758 805 232 384 401 377 369 367 321 385 -109 L MEL + Start . . 29 101 380 1162 601 1063 3726 343 619 1008 507 1019 1084 519 2913 328 599 630 475 199 535 523 308 476 401 466 329 537 439 537 CARADA 41 40 50 55 51 111 56 180 170 151 88 188 239 409 206 342 303 208 214 259 279 0 71 1 1 2 1 7 0 VSA 24 60 248 1073 503 964 3515 207 439 838 436 561 896 289 2594 122 248 220 267 283 276 244 208 405 406 465 255 539 422 557 -LOIGL DE 310 373 1351 6558 13110 9169 3085 3126 1665 593 560 1399 339 1127 916 1527 3046 3752 3217 3691 3972 3879 319 878 835 1238 1279 1330 1528 199 ANGDITIN -21 1USH A a ... CENAN A A A . A a n - 51 CHL. 1618 - 8 A 465 2352 λ â a . a A G A A 1.700 379 371 1213 6191 12944 9147 66 1375 321 1047 305 1513 2902 3658 3144 3621 3936 3771 969 1129 (68 ALLON A A A a A 前面 ð . a . - 6 **A** a INMA A . A A • What - 8 - 8 A ð ð A **A** 2 40 lBa * A ... ł a 114 127 122 653 221 273 273 -OTHER & UNCL. GEARS . 385 243 309 348 510 309 273 389 203 233 323 383 514 569 574 652 645 810 841 684 536 439 543 853 655 517 376 339 631 539 AIGDTB - 8 . - 6 - 6 . • -. (- A 6.8 filled n 32 20 MILO - 8 4. . A POLANI a - 8 . 6 A a Ð a ST.UCIA 44 · 44 Ц М 1A 88 AA AA AA 0 0 ** 3 3 USA 354 164 163 119 192 328 186 215 184 169 193 324 462 336 376 638 433 424 592 631 461 398 237 491 392 459 317 308 316 416 LAST ATLANTIC 18834 20750 23239 9020 10239 10834 9290 10523 4629 5683 5764 4675 4732 4685 6067 9376 5212 6977 5800 4767 4064 3331 6669 8010 7386 4756 4372 4199 6745 5360 -BATTERAT 1198 1453 1537 1176 1979 1620 3347 1805 1474 1826 3017 3055 3012 3142 2348 2991 1803 3881 3904 2128 1874 1553 957 3032 2948 2356 2253 2128 2643 2643 CAP VITE **a a a** A 0 0 0 0 0 Û 0 0 ø ILACE 553 507 565 543 408 631 1624 868 359 534 733 688 748 548 528 693 267 592 723 775 260 153 150 400 566 200 777 533 479 306 JAPAN 0 0 á 0 0 - 0 Ø

303 4A5 41 34 44

.. ..

2-404-1999 16:15:60 Real. TICUT TAD

.

BFT-Table 1 (cont'd) 2-007-1999 18:13:00																														
	1950	1%1	1952	1%3	1551	1965	1966	1967	1%0	1%9	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1999	1991	1902	1983	1584	1985	1986	1987	1599	1989
-MISI SIDA	6222	10952	9781	1573	3459	3378	m	(\$22	1149	1135	649	598	\$1	932	165	3612	850	1025	257	766	437	266	659	32	414	85	280	0	0	1
HANSE HORMAT POBRUSAL S.AFB IEA ESFAMA USA	2284 29935 0 0 0	2994 7968 0 0 0	1629 8153 0 0 0	1419 155 0 0 0	2059 1399 0 9 0 0	905 2472 0 0 0 0	1778 959 0 0 0	2040 1974 0 0 0 0	453 696 0 0	679 757 0 0 0	405 253 0 0 0	30 558 0 0 0	531 429 0 0 0	513 129 0 0 0	599 855 0 0 0	2524 983 0 0 0	231 529 0 0 0	663 761 0 0 0	33 221 0 0 0	205 69 0 0 0	153 282 0 0 0	103 161 - 0 0 0 0	500 50 0 0 0 0	197 1 74 0 0	127 243 3 41 0	6 6 0 0 0	122 31 123 0 12 0	0 0 0 0	0	· 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0
-TIAP	10430	7576	9014	4172	5051	5172	3173	4540	1790	2220	1765	623	372	545	20	649	490	541	450	609	705	859	D 9	1956	2011	1630	891	1062	2424	1471
RADOC	3714 1016 5700	1377 1499 4700	3549 665 1709	2318 354 1800	2256 303 2500	1882 99 3208	1681 122 1400	1001 209 3000	635 55 1100	59 251 1900	285 0 1500	63 6 600	122 0 250) 8 594	7 8 13	0 0 440	0 0 159	222 0 331	0 0 450	0 0 600	6 9 700	73 0 787	393 0 1916	54 0 1852	0 0 2271	0 0 1630	0 0 891	123 0 939	2311 2311	294 0 1174
-1001 10	491	223	2484	1619	582	434	81	141	208	201	274	254	261	91	20	2123	2048	1005	m	741	1002	575	2703	325	1538	53	741	901	1169	817
CHI, TA IN	0 401 0 0 0	0 204 0 19 0	0 2494 0 0 0	0 1619 0 0 0	0 582 0 0 0	6 404 0 30 0 0	0 50 0 31 0 0	0 100 0 41 0 0	138 13 0 57 0 0	114 2 85 0	85 21 0 207 0 0	12 157 0 85 0	2 249 19 0 0 0	1 44 43 0 2 0	12 2195 35 0 0	S 2900 13 0 0 2 3	3 1973 3 0 69 0	2 1594 2 0 208 0	0 577 0 156 0) 630 1 0 14	5 699 0 117 0	6 515 0 88 6	16 2573 0 10 12 104	2509 3 0 0 12	0 1514 0 0 17 7	0 120 77 0 22 16	0 710 0 9 11 20	0 909 0 0 0	0 1169 0 8 84	0 872 0 0 0 15
-0021 1 UEL. SLAM .	520	536	414	177	61	39	2	. 15	8	I	18	105	105	13	1	2	1	293	65	1025	45	- 71	43	134	215	139	119	105	470	374
DOWAR FLACE GLI.T.B. GLI.T.B. GLI.T.B. MAEC HTHELA HTHELA HOPAT POLAN POLAN POLAN SALDE SALDE	47 9 434 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 42	193 0 331 0 0 0 0 0 0 13	292 9 212 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 173 0	61 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	· 1 · 6 · • • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • ·	** 6 14 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	1 6 6 6 6 0 100 6 3	** 6 8 0 0 0 100 9 0 9	2 11 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 9 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 25 958 ++	0 0 0 0 7 33 ++	4 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 70 1	•• • • • • • • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 44 0 0 15 119 0	2 110 0 0 0 25 1 0	1 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 8 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 105 0 0	0 245 0 272 272 19 19 19	0 151 0 141 141 0 0 0 2 0 0 0
	4813 778	3614	4/34	1973	1261	175	3325	2919	7933	6379 9679	9/83 7791	3501	2012	37/4	8110	2208	17976	4533	१९२३ १७७१	5998	3341	66143	12977	13437	150V4 97NL	13792	10591	A177	107.11	8263
TBMC1 ITALY MARC ESFMA TUB IS II TUDIT TUDOSLAW	0 116 0 0 109	0 349 0 0 0 0 123	0 333 0 0 0 0 0 17	 0 1255 0 0 0 0 277	0 730 0 0 0 0 0 271	1.3 0 301 0 0 134	1000 630 0 0 245	1509 1089 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2509 691 0 0 150	1500 1828 0 0 0 0 301	1109 1203 0 0 0 9 9	2200 1335 42 0 0 275	1109 2783 1 0 0 0 209	1400 2700 0 0 9 275 8	1806 6009 2 6 6 8 8 9 317	1600 6270 40 0 0 155	3000 3607 1 6 6 8 562	3) 62 543) 7 0 0 9 732	1344 4443 0 0 0 1049	1577 3705 2 0 0 756	1701 6120 +• 0 0 573	2009 5764 2 50 0 376 375	4218 6442 +0 2777 0 405	3509 5532 0 0 1277 441	3570 3387 0 75 0 753	3409 4572 0 35 0 27239 1011	3469 4739 0 22 0 1524 735	4300 2579 0 910 648 844	5759 2229 0 129 910 1523 765	4464 2343 0 50 910 560
-IMP	2859 ++ 1729	3043 04 1423	1 2061 1 1269	4039 68 1277	JU81 00 1653	3872 01 1264	150	150 1917	3082 150 1739	158 158 1321	1987 0 %1	13/2 0 1044	835	286 0 367	8899 0 735	0 713	718 0 620	020 659	331 0 712	0 195	0 152	0 259	921 9 155	0 281	0 327	8 235	.0 .0 .075	919 8 319	301 794	9 100

.

-

ICCAT 1990

BFT-Table 1 (Cont'd) 2-004-1999 16:15:00													:15:00																	
BINELIN CONTINUEB	1968	1%1	1%2	153	1964	1%\$	156	1%7	1568	1569	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1900	1911	1962	1983	1984	1785	1965	1997	1960	1989
LIBYA	1100 0 561 0	1000 0 620 0	100 8 377 604	100 8 472 250	400 653 376	609 172 1735 601	708 11 151 273	800 27 194 397	1000 5 4 184	2000 0 217 77	0 9 290 248	0 37 53 238	1 35 91 61	- 0 - 1 146 - 52	+ 7 11 123	0 0 3 101	8 9 3 65	0 9 2 129	6 6 1 129	8 9 9 101	339 0 120	255 0 3 91	130 9 64 100	0 0 37 \$0	0 9 621 89	0 0 202 89	0 0 160 84	0 377 219 83	1 % 229 83	0 2% 211 03
	•	•	4	808	308	409	500	300	600	400	67	179	236	520	2387	133	1218	592	.122	199	219	304	1479	939	1146	1064	539	461	634	331
ITALY JAPAN PANARA PSPANA	0 0 0	• • •	1 1 1	0 0 00	0 0 0 000	0 100	* * 500	8 8 9 206	0 600	0 0 400	8 8 6 63	0 0 129	0 112 0 124	0 244 0 274	0 2195 0 192	0 1264 0 183	+ %1 + 2%	0 520 4 58	0 61 97	9 91 9	0 119 0	0 100 0	6 %1 6	21 677 0	41 1036 0	62 873 0	1 421 0	65 290 0	63 236 0	63 294 0
-OTHER & UNCL. GEARS .	1709	2019	1514	2068	1653	1299	710	21 88	919	873	838	822	469	566	(11	K\$	1166	122	1063	810	697	1088	1524	1743	4442	1058	38:34	2106	13	99 7516
ALGEDITE FRACE GRECE ITALT LIDIA MUTA MUTA MPCC ISPAMA TURKET UCLL EDGIOL	0 100 100 100 6 300	6 575 1100 6 9 109 6 300	0 214 1000 0 0 100 0 0 200	8 648 1200 8 6 100 8 6 100 8 6	0 953 600 0 0 100 0 8 8 8	6 330 700 6 8 100 8 8 100 8	5 530 0 100 0 100	0 500 0 100 0 100 0 1482	8 500 8 100 8 310	8 500 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	100 0 100 500 ** 0 138	100 6 109 600 ++ 6 22	1 9 100 300 ++ 6 6 5 5	** * 100 400 ** * * * * *	33 6 109 500 21 8 9 9 34	66 6 100 634 37 6 14 17	49 0 112 799 25 0 101	40 0 134 335 47 0 81 177	20 31 6 110 677 35 0 72 127	159 51 0 120 424 23 0 15 27	190 0 0 59 24 0 33 391	229 50 9 164 16 32 0 101 565	254 60 61 180 40 6 109 825	252 64 9 309 31 1 542 557	254 36 0 1390 300 21 4 1974 659	254 30 131 2329 309 21 12 984 0	544 30 -131 2453 300 41 18 249 0	420 30 131 1608 309 35 9 581 0	677 39 131 1563 300 25 44 778	176 39 131 1543 84 25 9 854 0
	v	•	•	v	v		•	•	9	4	0	ŧ	•	•	•	ł	۱	\$	\$	•	ŧ	•	۱	0	0	0	٥	•	1	0

++ CATON: C 0.3 HT AA CATON: UNCHOUND

.

ICCAT 1990

• • •

BFT-Table 6. Fishing mortality rates estimated by VPA for the west Atlantic bluefin tuna by the 1990 SCRS.

F AT AGE DURING YEAR

10	Year 70	71	72	73	74	75	76
le	0.2337 0.8121 0.9799 0.2958 0.1178 0.0236 0.0119	0.3008 1.2308 0.7476 1.1694 0.0134 0.0582 0.0576	0.2452 0.9853 0.9563 0.1271 0.2562 0.0026 0.0225 0.0225	0.0456 0.7344 0.9104 0.4950 0.0923 0.1427 0.0212 0.0862	0.1330 0.2335 0.4440 0.4548 0.3935 0.0402 0.1072	0.4033 0.5634 0.1359 0.4430 0.0967 0.1065 0.0208	0.0454 0.2985 0.5558 0.0570 0.1800 0.0496 0.0432
)+	0.0174	0.0285	0.0261	0.0238	0.0710	0.0611	0.0954
	وي هي		میں دیک نظرہ دیک میں میں خان دیک	1000 CTS 4230 CTS 4200 ADD 4200 CTS 4200		1999 AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	
	77	78	79	•80	81	82	83
;4	0.0164 0.2490 0.2148 0.4761 0.1353 0.3087 0.1858 0.1407 0.1133 0.1133	0.1084 0.1762 0.3392 0.2062 0.2593 0.1460 0.0799 0.1173 0.1198 0.1198	0.0400 0.3143 0.4000 0.4320 0.1514 0.1182 0.1239 0.0969 0.1584 0.1584	0.0591 0.3224 0.5733 0.3719 0.1349 0.1718 0.2542 0.2377 0.2093 0.2093	0.1316 0.2386 0.5919 0.5229 0.4481 0.2195 0.2393 0.2345 0.2345 0.2459 0.2459	0.0736 0.1038 0.0548 0.0298 0.0553 0.0801 0.0387 0.0545 0.0782 0.0782	0.0524 0.0627 0.1170 0.0364 0.0561 0.1953 0.2010 0.1312 0.1449 0.1449
	18) 23) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18	4 200 - 201 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202 - 202		100 CC C			
	84	85	86	87	88	89	
1+	0.0152 0.1176 0.0583 0.0913 0.1041 0.1496 0.1732 0.1424 0.1334 0.1334	0.0079 0.1184 0.2685 0.1064 0.2648 0.2865 0.1167 0.2792 0.1765 0.1765	0.0087 0.0993 0.2084 0.1026 0.0569 0.1487 0.0956 0.0754 0.2092 0.2092	0.0845 0.2710 0.2049 0.2289 0.1733 0.1510 0.1509 0.1690 0.2025 0.2025	0.0518 0.9105 0.3844 0.1162 0.2543 0.2327 0.2062 0.2743 0.2809 0.2809	0.0584 0.1769 0.3858 0.1808 0.0708 0.1753 0.2141 0.2273 0.3890 0.3890	

Table 6 from ICCAT 1990

ICCAT 1990

"BFT-Table 7. Population numbers (stock size) of west Atlantic bluefin tuna as estimated from VPA by the 1990 SCRS.

STOCK AT AGE AT BEGINNING OF YEAR

. .

Yes	nr 70	71	72	73	74	75	76
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10+	332661 200585 216324 87568 39107 45037 16490 50310 33710 234911	259007 228932 77409 70588 56633 30219 38242 14166 43630 229505	223101 166683 58124 31865 19057 48579 24786 31384 10938 230776	122644 151776 54099 19419 24395 12823 42124 21069 26725 204723	480724 101871 63307 18925 10291 19339 9665 35853 16804 196484	139971 365871 70123 35303 10440 6036 16149 7548 30350 172712	130689 81296 181064 53215 19708 8240 4717 13750 6037 16606_
	77	78	79	\$ 0	81	82	83
1 3 5 6 7 8 9 10+	83974 108576 52439 90288 43699 14311 6817 3928 10869 136007	53479 71817 73588 36777 48759 33181 9137 4922 2966 114010	74957 41717 52349 45572 26016 32707 24927 7333 3805 90211	59007 62609 26486 30507 25720 19441 25264 19146 5786 69763	52810 48356 39431 12979 18284 19538 14232 17034 13123 53278	53229 40248 33114 18966 6689 10155 13638 9740 11713 45144	87597 42991 31539 27253 16004 5502 8149 11407 8019 45710
	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10+	61660 72268 35104 24392 22846 13154 3934 5794 8697 40409	77390 52796 55855 28791 19354 17897 9846 2877 4369 37360	69294 66751 40773 37124 22504 12911 11683 7617 1892 30407	19978 59717 52544 28777 29126 18483 9073 9231 6141 22779	102821 15961 39593 37218 19899 21293 13817 7088 6777 20533	14826 84873 5583 23436 28807 13415 14667 9774 4684 17927	0 1215 61824 3300 17005 23331 978 1029_ 6769 13322

	NI E.		j w	
BY A	8 8848888889888888888888888888888888888	2013	8 55 8282888888888888888888888888888888	
GE GRO		2091	32222222222222222222222222222222222222	
UPS		2188	2261 2261 2261 2261 2261 2261 2261 2261	
		2195	282222898925228989222	
		2927	5 56 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	
		34.27	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	
		2962	69 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	
		2875	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	
		2439	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	
		2349	4 F61 52322555555555555555555555555555555555	
		2828		
		2899	17 6 7 7 5 5 5 7 12 5 5 5 7 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	
		3182	32232232388823388	
		3969		
		3551		
		រាល	17 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
		3481	1700 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	
		2783	**************************************	
		Ę		
		3966	1302 1322 1322 1322 1322 1322 1322 1322	

Table 18 from ICCAT 1990

,

.

3913	5
85 2 2 S	1971
945 292	1972
23 7 86 88 88 88 88	1973
279 279 279	1974
1354 1443 388 241	1975
1025 1215 180	1976
152 152 152 152	1977
613 1238 486 152	1978
15 25 8 d	1979
28 6 28 6 28 6 28 6 28 7 28 7 28 7 28 7	1980
877 1298 556 167	1981
1273 1203 520 186	1982
2320 493 191	1983
1782 188	1984
3888	1985
1211 159 159	1986
72525	1987
រន្តខ្លួន ខ្លួនខ្លួន	1988
382 177 185	1989
1962 348	1990

39 PISCES (1)

BFT-

Table 19 Fishing mortality rates at age for BFT East Atlantic and Mediterranean

= 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1960 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1 = 0.28 0.02 0.24 0.26 0.16 0.80 0.11 0.29 0.31 0.12 0.12 0.20 0.88 0.39 0.18 0.28 0.49 0.38 0.44 0.35 2 = 0.26 0.29 0.35 0.20 0.38 0.38 0.48 0.49 0.42 0.10 0.38 0.57 0.49 0.50 0.70 0.64 0.62 0.72 0.45 0.38 3 = 0.21 0.27 0.41 0.32 0.25 0.15 0.71 0.19 0.48 0.36 0.55 0.46 0.70 0.49 0.24 0.84 0.65 0.50 0.97 0.58 4 = 0.13 0.16 0.06 0.05 0.40 0.12 0.25 0.32 0.11 0.26 0.17 0.09 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.23 0.45 0.21 0.20 0.23 5 = 0.09 0.13 0.12 0.03 0.07 0.06 0.16 0.02 0.04 0.05 0.07 0.10 0.05 0.11 0.18 0.12 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.10 6 = 0.09 0.04 0.09 0.06 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.04 0.05 0.03 0.04 0.11 0.11 0.07 0.09 0.10 0.08 7 = 0.05 0.06 0.06 0.11 0.07 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.11 0.18 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.13 9 = 0.07 0.08 0.06 0.18 0.25 0.15 0.14 0.02 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.11 0.08 0.05 0.04 0.12 0.15 0.16 8 = 0.04 0.13 0.04 0.13 0.10 0.07 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.06 0.03 0.05 0.11 0.08 0.09 0.05 0.03 0.07 0.10 0.13 9 = 0.07 0.08 0.06 0.18 0.25 0.15 0.14 0.04 0.02 0.07 0.04 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.17 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.07 0.10 0.10 10 = 0.11 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.15 0.17 0.10 0.15 0.07 0.08 0.08 0.07 0.10 0.26 0.23 0.13 0.17 0.10 0.10 11 = 0.17 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.12 0.23 0.20 0.15 0.13 0.07 0.12 0.12 0.18 0.14 0.24 0.20 0.13 0.11 0.19 0.14 12 = 0.12 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.12 0.26 0.23 0.20 0.15 0.13 0.10 0.12 0.29 0.17 0.28 0.24 0.22 0.15 0.18 0.14 13 = 0.07 0.05 0.06 0.16 0.37 0.36 0.39 0.29 0.22 0.43 0.11 0.33 0.40 0.50 0.29 0.29 0.20 0.27 0.16 14 = 0.07 0.15 0.12 0.11 0.23 0.30 0.31 0.39 0.41 0.44 0.46 0.26 0.56 0.40 0.46 0.45 0.34 0.23 0.31 0.16 15 = 0.07 0.15 0.12 0.11 0.23 0.30 0.31 0.39 0.41 0.44 0.46 0.26 0.56 0.40 0.46 0.45 0.34 0.23 0.31 0.16

AVERAGE F BY AGE GROUPS

■ 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989

1 = 0.28 0.02 0.24 0.26 0.16 0.80 0.11 0.29 0.31 0.12 0.12 0.20 0.88 C 39 0.18 0.28 0.49 0.38 0.44 0.35 2-4= 0.20 0.24 0.28 0.19 0.34 0.22 0.48 0.33 0.34 0.24 0.37 0.38 0.45 0.38 0.40 0.57 0.57 0.48 0.54 0.40 5-9= 0.07 0.09 0.07 0.10 0.11 0.08 0.09 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.13 0.08 0.05 0.09 0.11 0.11 10+= 0.10 0.08 0.06 0.06 0.16 0.27 0.25 0.29 0.24 0.23 0.28 0.16 0.34 0.29 0.36 0.30 0.23 0.17 0.24 0.15

AVERAGE F BY AGE GROUPS (weighted by N)

= 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989
1 = 0.28 0.02 0.24 0.26 0.16 0.80 0.11 0.29 0.31 0.12 0.12 0.20 0.88 0.39 0.18 0.28 0.49 0.38 0.44 0.35
2-4= 0.22 0.26 0.32 0.22 0.34 0.30 0.55 0.39 0.39 0.23 0.39 0.49 0.51 0.44 0.59 0.67 0.60 0.61 0.60 0.42
5-9= 0.07 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.06 0.09 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.13 0.09 0.06 0.09 0.10 0.11
10+= 0.11 0.07 0.06 0.06 0.17 0.28 0.25 0.30 0.22 0.18 0.19 0.12 0.26 0.25 0.31 0.24 0.18 0.15 0.22 0.15

AVERAGE F BY AGE GROUPS (weighted by the catch)

■ 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989

1 = 0.28 0.02 0.24 0.26 0.16 0.80 0.11 0.29 0.31 0.12 0.12 0.20 0.88 0.39 0.18 0.28 0.49 0.38 0.44 0.35 2-4e 0.23 0.27 0.36 0.26 0.35 0.34 0.59 0.43 0.43 0.29 0.43 0.53 0.56 0.47 0.65 0.71 0.60 0.66 0.71 0.42 5-9e 0.08 0.10 0.09 0.12 0.13 0.08 0.13 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.09 0.14 0.10 0.06 0.09 0.11 0.12 10+e 0.12 0.11 0.09 0.08 0.18 0.29 0.28 0.33 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.36 0.29 0.34 0.28 0.24 0.17 0.23 0.15 Table A. Bluefin tuna imported into Japan, 1990 cumulative. These include all kinds of shipments (fresh, chilled, frozen, etc.) of bluefin tuna species from all oceans. Data compiled from Japanese trade statistics.

.

			Cumulative	Cumulative Value
<u>Country</u>	Period		Kg.	(Yen 1000s)
R Korea	1/90-1	2/90	149.217	94 768
Taiwan	9	81	826.539	1 304 770
Singapore	89	8	256	2,201,770
Indonesia	鋍	9	55.111	46 569
U Kingdom	64	9	4.142	8 015
France	63	Q	31,429	92 812
Azores	a	8	1.927	5 908
Spain	8	8	808,792	2 608 965
Italy	68	67	21,209	60 527
Malta	89	\$	3,307	5 750
Greece	\$?	69	84.289	318 545
Turkey	2 2 2	(1	140,128	372 097
Canada	8	\$	311.572	1,100,504
USA	91 1	97	814.968	3,343,010
Mexico	n	F Ø	18,904	73 307
Puerto Rico	9	82	324	976
Venezuela	(7	11	29.581	30 833
Ecuador	载	8	1.582	4 011
Morocco	8	11	242.538	1 046 888
Tunisia	89	17	381,883	1 472 029
Libya	**	17	1.093	3 826
Australia	ST.	92	2.788.287	1 576 679
New Zealand	11	f i	255.084	659 483
Guam	91	12	1.110	2 865
Palau	W	9	4.200	2,805 4 846
Portugal	. 11	\$1	74,506	108 821
Honduras	69	m	18.012	33 328
Panama		**	12.240	37 944
Uruguay	· 99	*	971	1 389
Argentina		91	1.790	1,651
So. Africa	11	91	3.492	2.023
P. Ocean	11	92	8,923	6,033
Totals	1/90-12	/90	7,097,406	14,430.041

			Value
Country	1 vr. period	Kg.	(Yen 1000s)
Canada	6/90-6/91	302,062	923,814
USA	69 G3	847,282	3,269,664
Portugal	97 99 -	14,596	35,062
Italy	50 50	22,686	70,391
Greece	* *	70,098	236,071
Tunisia	45 53	415,327	1,268,690
Libva	91 41	37,496	132,550
Morocco	* *	179,514	812,148
Spain	61 60	432,785	1,674,514
France	VI 01	8,121	25,688
R Korea	19 9	141,809	59,298
Taiwan	65 67	277,032	325,505
Indonesia	99 41	54,512	48,247
Singapore	92 92	256	217
U Kingdom	17 F	4,673	9,622
Azores	97 99	1,427	2,882
Malta	13 \$4	37,692	75,956
Turkey	St (9	91,289	237,275
Mexico	99 99	8,361	23,043
Puerto Rico	50 50	324	926
Ecuador	97 1 7	1,766	5,410
Australia	11	590,461	403,589
New Zealand	99 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -	6.620	8,736
Guam	#	710	1,206
Palau	**	4,825	2,953
Microne	99 99	212	497
Canary		4,906	7,432
Totals	6/90-6/91	3,556,842	9,661,386

Table B. Fresh or chilled bluefin tuna imported into Japan from June 1990-June 1991.

				Value
Country	1 vr. period		Kg.	(Yen 1000s)
Canada	6/90-6/9	1	8,409	14,645
Argentina		.	1,735	1,601
R Korea	9	1	5,636	19,785
Taiwan	81	19	1,143,291	1,267,898
Indonesia	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6	6,313	4,145
Australia	8	Ħ	59,500	39,546
P Ocean	W	9	480	586
Spain	9	17	346,413	769,826
Italy	Q	99	2,512	5,448
Morocco	9	94	17,918	59,631
Honduras	9	60	47,872	57,082
Venezuela	Ħ	\$	29,507	30,410
Panama	1	61	12,240	37,944
Uruguay	42	69	971	1,389
Tunisia	13	*	25,233	91,894
Malaysia	*	17	1,230	233
Singapore	89	81	346	532
Canary	1	89	53,384	46,977
Portugal	81	n	74,506	108,821
Totals	6/90-6/91		1,837,496	2,558,393

Table C. Frozen bluefin tuna imported into Japan from June 1990-June 1991. These include bluefin tuna species from all oceans. Data compiled from Japanese trade statistics.

Table D. Bluefin tuna fillets and other meat, excluding fresh, chilled and frozen fillet imported into Japan from June 1990-June 1991. Data compiled from Japanese trade statistics.

<u>Country</u> Spain Morocco	<u>1-yr. period</u> 6/90-6/91	<u>Kg.</u> 183,645 204,514	Value (Yen 1000s) 563,955 638,171	
Totals	6/90-6/91	388,159	1,202,126	

Total Catch of Western Atlantic Bluefin Tuna By Bation 1982-1988 (in metric tons)

Country	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Argentina				6		2	
Brazil	· 1	1		1		2	
Canada	291	433	264	142	73	83	445
Dom. Rep.	115	168	207	81	109	199	
japan	292	711	696	1092	584	960	
Mexico	14						
Panama	12						
Taiwan	11	2	3	3	3		
Truguay	3		9	10	6	4	
J.S.A.	812	1394	1320	1423	1142	1351	1290
Total	1540	2707	2496	2755	1914	2601	

Source: International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas Note: Complete figures for 1988 not yet obtained.

This series of tables compiled by Radonski et al. 1990

Catch of Bluefin Tuna in the Western Atlantic By Country and Method 1982-1988 (in metric tons)

Method	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Total	1546	2709	2499	2759	1917	2602	
PURSE SEINE	232	384	401	377	360	367	
United States	232	384	401	377	360	367	
ROD & REEL, - AND SPORT	308	476	401	466	328	539	
Canada		71	1	1	2	1	
United States	308	405	400	465	326	538	
LONGLINE	349	828	835	1238	764	1138	
Brazil	1	1		1		2	
Canada					32	33	
Japan	292	711	696	1092	584	960	
Panama	12						
Taiwan	11	2	3	3	3		
Uruguay .	3		9	10	6	4	
United States	30	114	127	132	139	139	
OTHER AND							
UNCLASSIFIED							
GEAR	657	1021	862	678	465	558	• •
Argentina				6		٢2	•
Canada	291	362	263	141	39	49	
Dominican Rep	115	168	207	81	109	199	
Mexico	14						
United States	237	491	392	450	317	308	

Source: International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas

Note: Totals differ from those in the previous table due to rounding. Also, only partial figures are available for 1988.

Participation of Countries Exporting Bluefin Yuna To Japan in the Western Atlantic, Eastern Atlantic, And Mediterranean Fisheries 1986-1987

Country	Lugat-	Part	Med
Branking	WEBL	Eauc	neu
Anetralia	x		
170700	1		
Brazil	 	l	
BIAZIL	×		
	×		
Denmark	}	X	
France	<u> </u>	X	<u> </u>
Ghana	Į		
Greece	Į		<u>x</u>
Guam	Į		•
Honduras			
Hong Kong			
Indonesia			
Ireland]		
Italy			х
Libya			X
Malaysia			
Malta			×
Morocco			x
New Zealand			
Norway		x	
Oman			
Panama		x	
Philippines			
Portugal		x	
Rep. Korea			
Singapore .			*
South Africa			
Spain		×	x
Taiwan			
Turisia		Ì	<u>×</u>
U.K.			
U.S.A.	x		
Uruguay	x		
Venezuela			
West Germany		1	

Sources: Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry import statistics Biennial reports of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas

TABLE 3

Total Catch of Western Atlantic Bluefin Tuna by Country and Their Total Bluefin Tuna Exports to Japan 1986-1988 (in metric tons)

Country	Export Type	1986		1987		1988	
		Catch	Export	Catch	Export	Catch	Export
Argentina	frozen		1	2			3
Brazil				2			
Canada	fresh	73	.25	83	43	445	302
Dominican Rep		109		199		•	· •
Japan		584		960			
Panama	frozen				56.		57
Taiwan		3	139		226		295
Uruguay	frozen	6	5	4	3		3
United States	fresh	1142	584	1351	939	1290	856
	frozen		230		• 27		15
		•					
Subtotal	frozen		236		96		78
Subtotal	fresh		609		982		1158
Total			845		1078		1236

Source: Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry

Note: Some countries fish for bluefin elsewhere in the Atlantic and elsewhere in the world, most notably Taiwan and, in the past, Panama, which fished the Eastern Atlantic. The destination of the significant Dominican catch is unknown.

Japanese Imports of Bluefin Frozen Fish Meat Excluding Fillets (MITI Code 0304.90.091) (in metric tons)

	the second s			
Country	1986	1987	1988	1989
Argentina	1			
Australia	2497	2796		
Greece	1			
Honduras	10	93		
Indonesia	6	11		
Italy	25	14		
Morocco		6	154	7
New Zealand	74	53		
Panama		66		
Republic of	134	1		
Korea				
Singapore	3	2		
South Africa		3	•	
Spain	. 50	95	239	60
Taiwan	61	146		
Turkey		6		
Uruguay	5	3		
United States	230	27		
Venezuela		47		
Total	3095	3378	393	. 73

Source: Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry

Note: This category was redesignated 0303.49.010 in 1988. See Table 6.

Japanese Imports of Frozen Bluefin Tuna, Except Fillets, Other Fish Meat, Livers, and Roes (MITI Code 0303.49.010 (in metric tons)

*

(The second sec		
Country	1988	1989
Argentina	3	<1
Australia	1703	2084
China		1
Ghana	53	
F. Oceania		7
Honduras	324	282
Indonesia	7	39
Italy	6	1
Mexico		11
Morocco	6	<1
New Zealand	84	122
Panama	57	
Rep. of Korea	· 11	39
Singapore		<1
South Africa	2	36
Spain	773	378
Taiwan	243	889
Turkey		116
Urugnay	3	2
United States	15	6
Venezuela	121	19
Total	3410	4086

Source: Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry-

Japanese Imports of Bluefin Fresh or Chilled Meat, Except Fish Fillet, Other Fish Meat, Livers, and Roes (MITI Code 0302.39.010) (in metric tons)

ومقاصبه مستعدية فالمناف المنافعة فيتعارك ومعتقا ومعتقد والمتكاو ومبارك المني بالواصلا والمتقاص ومنافعتها				
Country	1986	1987	1988	<u> 1989 </u>
Australia	263	73	458	325
Canada	25	43	302	454
Denmark				2
France	1	6		¢.
Greece	71	54	56	72
Guam				1
Indonesia		1	5	51
Ireland	3		2	1
Italy			14	8
Malta				3
Mexico				1
Morocco		21	59	170
New Zealand	2	3		
Norway				1
Portugal				4
Republic of	344	89	32	71
Korea				
Singapore				2
Spain	104	171	389	250
Taiwan	78	80	52	66
Tunisia				225
Turkey	669	243	104	156
United Kingdom			2	
United States	584	939	856	889
Total	2104	1723	2331	2656

- Source: Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry
- Note: The following countries exported less than one metric ton of fresh bluefin to Japan in one or more years: Brazil, Hong Kong, Malaysia, New Zealand, Oman, Philippines, Portugal, Singapore, and United Kingdom

Year	Metric	Value	Average	Average	Index
	Tons	(\$000s)	Price for	Price	Price
			Large Size	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00
1981	2170	3787		.79	1.25
1982	2497	5108		.45	1.24
1983	1983	8737	2.00-5.00	2.00	3.15
1984	1776	9250	1.93-3.40	2.32	3.65
1985	4471	12410	3.45	1.26	1.99
1986	4849	6791	3.33	.64	1.00
1987	1965	16463		3.80	5.98
1\$88	1702	17305		4.62	7.27

TOBBAGE, Value, and Dockside Price For Bluefin Tuna Landed at U.S. Ports 1981-1988

.

70

Source: Fisheries of the United States for 1982-1988 Note: The base year for the index of prices is 1986.

Cost Item	Total	Cost/
	Cost	1 b
Price of fish at dock	2000	5.00
Crate and insulation	67	.17
Ice	20	.05
Transport to Boston	20	.05
Labor and handling	112	.28
Airfreight to Tokyo	504	1.26
Subtotal	2723	6.81
Import Duty (@ 5%)	136	.34
Customs charge	15	.04
Commission, handling	400	1.00
Total Costs	3274	8.19

1986 Cost for Consignment Sale of 400-pound Tuna in Japan (in dollars)

Source: Harry Upton (pers. com.)

Note: These costs assume that the crate and ice weight 200 pounds.