CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Eighteenth meeting of the Plants Committee Buenos Aires (Argentina), 17-21 March 2009

Annotations

ORCHIDS: ANNOTATION FOR SPECIES INCLUDED IN APPENDIX II

- 1. This document has been prepared by the Secretariat.
- 2. At its 14th meeting (The Hague, 2007), the Conference of the Parties adopted the following Decisions:

Directed to Parties and the Plants Committee

14.133 Countries of export and import should make recommendations and prepare identification material on further exemptions for artificially propagated hybrids of Orchidaceae spp. included in Appendix II, taking into consideration the capacities of countries to implement and control such exemptions effectively. The results shall be sent to the Plants Committee, which shall evaluate them and adopt the appropriate measures.

Directed to the Plants Committee

- 14.134 The Plants Committee shall monitor and assess possible conservation problems arising from the implementation of the annotation to Orchidaceae spp. included in Appendix II and shall report on the issue at the 15th meeting of the Conference of the Parties.
- 3. With Notification to the Parties No. 2008/045 issued on 15 July 2008, the Secretariat asked exporting and importing countries to send identification material and technical information for taxa exempt from CITES controls or that could be exempted in the future.
- 4. In order to fulfil Decision 14.134, Parties were invited in the same Notification to respond to a questionnaire. Seven Parties responded (Brazil, Canada, Madagascar, New Zealand, Thailand, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America) and their responses are contained in the Annex to this document in the language in which they were submitted.
- 5. The Committee is invited to analyse these responses and to prepare its report on this issue for the 15th meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

COUNTRY REPORTS (in the language in which they were submitted)

Country	Pg.
Brazil	3
Canada	4
Madagascar	7
New Zealand	10
Thailand	11
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	13
United States of America	15



"Claudia Mello" <claudia.mello@ibama.gov. br> 08/20/2008 09:27 PM To <info@cites.org>

cc "Milena SOSA SCHMIDT" < Milena.Schmidt@cites.org>, < cr1clmum@uco.es>, < cmcmello@yahoo.com.br>, José Humberto < jose.chaves@ibama.gov.br>

bcc

Subject Respuestas a las notificaciones

Estimados señores,

Enviamos el adjunto las respuestas a las anotaciones 2008/045 y 2008/046. Muchas gracias!

Saludos cordiales,

Claudia Maria Correia de Mello IBAMA/DBFLO/CGREF Coordenação de Proteção e Conservação Florestal



Coordenadora Respostas a CITES-Notificação doc

Respuestas de Brasil

Notificación nº 2008/045

i) Como país de exportación no hemos encontrado ningún problema. Pues, nuestras exportaciones son principalmente de otros géneros de orquídeas que no están inclusos en la anotación. Todavía, cuando exportamos los géneros inclusos en la anotación, la cantidad es pequeña y así no se aplica la exención.

Notificación 2008/046

Las anotaciones para las especies maderables incluidas en los apendices I y II ya son efectivas, no necesitando cubrir ningún otro espécime.

Camada

---- Forwarded by Jonathan BARZDO/UNEP/GVA/UNO on 30/10/2008 09:46 ----



"Caceres,Carolina [NCR]" <Carolina.Caceres@ec.gc.c a>

27/10/2008 20:42

- To <Willem.wijnstekers@cites.org>, "Jonathan BARZDO" <Jonathan.BARZDO@cites.org>
- cc "VanHavre,Basile [NCR]" <Basile.VanHavre@ec.gc.ca>,
 "Caceres,Carolina [NCR]" <Carolina.Caceres@ec.gc.ca>,
 "Taylor,Mary [NCR]" <Mary.Taylor@ec.gc.ca>

Subject CITES Notification 2008/045 Orchids: Annotations to species included in Appendix II

Please find enclosed Canada's comments responding to CITES Notification 2008/045 on Orchid annotations.

Best wishes,

Carolina Caceres

on behalf of Basile van Havre, Director, Population Conservation

Canadian Wildlife Service

<<Canada response to 2008_045 Orchids.pdf>>

Carolina Caceres

A/Manager/Gestionnaire par intérim Species Assessment/ Évaluations des espèces Canadian Wildlife Service / Service Canadien de la Faune Ottawa, ON, K1A 0H3 Tel +1 819 953-1429



Fax +1 819 994-3684 Canada response to 2008_045 Orchids.pdf

Canadian Wildlife Service Ottawa, ON K1A 0H3

27 October 2008

Mr. Willem Wijnstekers Secretary-General, CITES Secretariat 15, chemin des Anemones CH-1219 CHATELAINE – Geneva Switzerland

Dear Mr. Wijnstekers:

Canadian Response to CITES Notification 2008/045 Orchids: Annotations for species included in Appendix II

In response to CITES Notification 2008/045 concerning annotations of orchid species included in Appendix II, Canada is pleased to provide the following response.

In regards to questions under paragraph 2d):

- i) It has generally been argued that exemptions may aid in facilitating illegal activity (e.g. the smuggling of non-exempt specimens within shipments of exempt specimens). However, there has been no known increase in illegal activity resulting from the implementation of the annotation for artificially propagated orchid hybrids in Canada.
- ii) Although the exemption is implemented in Canada, use of the exemption is limited. This is likely because the shipping conditions required in the exemption do not necessarily reflect actual shipment characteristics. Specifically:
 - Orchids are rarely shipped "labelled with printed labels and packaged with printed packages; and labels or packages indicates the name of the hybrid and the country of final processing". The added cost and time involved to label and prepare specimens as required under the exemption is too great; it is easier to apply for a CITES permit.
 - Phalaenopsis and Cymbidium are often too large as mature plants to be packaged and shipped in the manner the exemption requires.
 - The minimum number of plants to qualify for the exemption results in an unfair advantage to companies who trade in larger volumes compared to small nurseries which export fewer plants per shipment.
 - · Specimens are not always in flower.





Nurseries exporting shipments that would meet the specifications of the exemption have expressed concern that they would rather "be safe" and have a valid CITES permit to ensure there are no difficulties at the border of the exporting or importing country in regards to the shipment not being accompanied by a CITES permit should the border officials not being aware of the exemption and/or the importing country does not implement the exemption.

iv) The annotation allows us to focus efforts on trade in specimens potentially associated with a higher risk to the conservation of wild populations (i.e. non-hybrids). It may be worthwhile for the Plants Committee to consider a further exemption by annotation of artificially propagated human-made genera such as *Colomonara*, *Brassocattleya*, *Odontocidium*, etc. as they do not pose a risk to wild populations.

Please contact the CITES Scientific Authority at +1 (819) 953-1429 or <u>cites-science@ec.gc.ca</u> if you have any questions.

Yours sincerely,


Bastle van Havre

Director, Population Conservation

Canadian Wildlife Service

c.c. Carolina Caceres, CITES Scientific Authority
Mary Taylor, CITES Management Authority

---- Forwarded by David MORGAN/UNEP/GVA/UNO on 10/28/2008 04:04 PM -----





voninjatovo Randahy <meeft_sgecites@yahoo.fr>

10/28/2008 02:58 PM

To david.morgan@cites.org

cc oliviavololoniaina@yahoo.fr

Subject Réponse à la notification n°2008/045 du15 Juillet 2008

Bonne réception DVRN/SGFF

reponse à la notification.JPG PJ 1.JPG



REPOBLIKAN'I MADAGASIKARA Tanindrazana – <u>Fahafahana</u> – Fandrosoana

MINISTERE DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT, DES FORETS ET DU TOURISME

SECRETARIAT GENERAL

DIRECTION GENERALE DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT, ET DES FORETS

> DIRECTION DE LA VALORISATION DES RESSOURCES NATURELLES

N° 346 /08/MEFT/SG/DGEF/DVRN/SGFF

Antananarivo, le 28 0CT 2008

Le Directeur de la Valorisation Des Ressources Naturelles Organe de Gestion CITES Madagascar

à

Monsieur David MORGAN Secrétariat CITES GENEVE David.morgan@cites.org

Objet: Réponse à la notification N°2008/045 du 15 Juillet 2008

Monsieur,

Faisant suite à la notification en objet relative à l' "annotation des espèces inscrites à l'Annexe II sur les orchidées",

J'ai l'honneur de vous transmettre la réponse de Madagascar suivant avis de l'Autorité Scientifique en pièce jointe.

Vous en souhaitant bonne réception, veuillez recevoir Monsieur, mes sincères salutations.

Pièce jointe :

- Avis Autorité Scientifique Flore

Copie à :

- DGEF

"Pour compte-rendu"

RAHARIMANIRAKA Lydie Morchanta Ingenieur des Caux et Forsts

Repoblikan'i Madagasikara Tanindrazana-Fahafahana-Fandrosoana

Université d'Antananarivo Faculté des Sciences Département de Biologie et Ecologie Végétales BP 906

> Téléphone: 020 24 575 91 MADAGASCAR



ET PAR DELEGATION

VII. JEANGODA

Antananarivo, le 22 octobre 2008.

AUTORITE SCIENTIFIQUE FLORE CITES Département de Biologie et Ecologie Végétales Faculté des Sciences Université d'Antananarivo

à

Madame le Directeur de la Valorisation Des Ressources Naturelles Organe de Gestion de la CITES Nanisana Antananarivo

Nº: 030-08/UNIV/DBEV/SPFI/CITES

Objet: Réponse à la notification n°2008/045.

Réf.: VL n° 325/MEFT/SG/DGEF/DVRN/SGFF

Madame,

En réponse à la notification n°2008/045 portant annotation aux espèces d'Orchidées inscrites à l'Annexe II, envoyée par le Secrétariat de Genève, nous pouvons dire, d'une part, que nous n'avons pas encore reçu de demande de dérogation pour les hybrides du fait qu'ils ne sont pas encore exportés. En revanche, l'année dernière, certains opérateurs malgaches ont eu quelques problèmes avec la douane malgache quant au rapatriement des plantules in vitro d'orchidées malgaches qu'ils avaient multipliées à l'étranger. Nous remercions l'Organe de Gestion de faire une annotation ou un arrêté concernant ce genre de rapatriement étant donnée que la multiplication in vitro des espèces d'orchidées malgaches par les opérateurs a été encouragée.

D'autre part, nous recommandons la préparation d'une guide illustrée d'identification des espèces sauvages, parents potentiels des hybrides, dans le but de distinguer dans le futur les cultivars hybrides des parents sauvages. En outre, une demande de financement a déjà été sollicitée auprès de la GTZ en vue de la «Conception des fiches d'identification de quelques espèces d'orchidées endémiques et commercialisées malgaches ». Cette demande est encore sans réponse jusqu'à ce jour. E CHEF DE DEPARTEMENT

Vous souhaitant bonne réception.



New Zezland



wjackson@doc.govt.nz 07/24/2008 03:15 AM To milena.schmidt@cites.org

CC

bcc

Subject Response from NZ re Notif 2008/045 (Orchid annotations)

Hello Milena,

I hope this message finds you well and having an excellent summer in Geneva! This is very exciting – my first message to you as the CITES Management Authority of NZ! Unfortunately I don't have much to report. As far as I can find out, we have not had any problems with implementing the annotation to Orchidaceae spp. in Appendix II. This is the information from the CITES officer who deals with the two orchid exporters that we have not had any issues here in NZ. The only issues that I think we have vis-à-vis orchid trade involves orchids that are included in traditional Asian medicine (but this is a bigger issue!).

I hope this is helpful. Let me know if you would like more information.

Kind regards as always, Wendy

CITES Management Authority
Department of Conservation / Te Papa Atawhai
18-32 Manners Street
PO Box 10-420
Wellington, New Zealand
Tel: +64 (0)4 471 0726 (ext. 8106)
Direct line: +64 (0)4 471 3106
Please consider the environment before printing this email

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

Theiland

No. AC 0904.04/207

ACTION ON DESCRIPTION OF

0 3, Nov. 2000

International Trade of Plants under Conventions Sub-division Plant Varieties Protection Division, Department of Agriculture Paholyothin Rd., Chatuchak, Bangkok, 10900, Thailand.

29 October B.E. 2551 (2008)

CITES Secrétariat International Environment House, 15, Chemin des Anemones, CH-1219 Chatelaine, Geneva, SWITZERLAND.

Dear Sir,

Subject: Orchids: annotation for species included in Appendix II

Referring to Notification to Parties no.2008/045 dated Geneva, 15 July 2008, paragraph 2 d) to monitor and assess possible conservation problems arising from the implementation of the annotation to Orchidaceae spp. in Appendix II.

We are please to submit our Thailand responds the question on the problems arising from the implementation of the annotation to Orchidaceae spp. in Appendix II as following on the attached page 2.

Thank you very much for your kind cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

(Mr. Manit Jaichagun)
Chief, International (Trade of Plants under Conventions Sub-division

(CITES MA of Thailand for Plants)

Plant Varieties Protection Division, Tel. 662-9405687 Fax 662-9405687

Thailand responds the question on the problems arising from the implementation of the annotation to Orchidaceae spp. in Appendix II

1. Have you encountered any problems concerning the exemption annotation of Orchidaceae spp. included in Appendix II as exporting or importing country or for both?

Thailand is exporting country of orchid hybrids. Yes, we have some encountered problems.

2. Please describe the problems that have been arising.

Even through the exemption annotation of Orchidaceae spp. included in Appendix II came into force by many years but some parties are not effective.

Since, Thai orchid exporters have some encountered problems with their customers. Some customer importing country, they are uncertain on CITES permits requirement for their shipment of orchid hybrids with regarding to the exemption annotation of Orchidaceae spp. in Appendix II. They are still ask exporter to applied the CITES permits for their shipment.

3. What is your recommendation to resolve the problems?

Considering that all parties should amended their national law to implement and comply with the exemptions annotation of Orchidaceae spp. in Appendix II.

To capacity building the competent authority involve the said subject.

4. Do you observe positive effects or do you have any other comments?

Positive effects

- Reduction the CITES Management Authority paper works on issuance permit for the orchid hybrids.

United kingdom



To <milena.schmidt@cites.org>, <cr1clmum@uco.es>

cc "Beaufort, Lynn (WSC)" <Lynn.Beaufort@defra.gsi.gov.uk>,
"Salmon, Trevor (WSC)"

<Trevor.Salmon@defra.gsi.gov.uk>, "Noel McGough"

bcc

Subject UK Response to Notification 2008/045 on orchids

Dear Milena and Margarita,

Please see attached UK response to the above Notification. Many thanks to Noel for his contribution towards our reply.

If you have any queries, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

Stacey Hughes
UK CITES Management Authority

<<UK response to Notifn 2008-045 - App II orchid annotation.doc>>

Stacey Hughes I CITES & International Species Policy Team I Defra I WSC3, Zone 1/07c, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6EB I tel: +44 (0)117 372 8685 I fax: +44 (0)117 372 8373 I Help save paper - do you need to print this email?

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)

This email and any attachments is intended for the named recipient only.

If you have received it in error you have no authority to use, disclose,

store or copy any of its contents and you should destroy it and \inf

the sender.

Whilst this email and associated attachments will have been checked

for known viruses whilst within Defra systems we can accept no responsibility once it has left our systems.

Communications on Defra's computer systems may be monitored and/or

recorded to secure the effective operation of the system and for other

lawful purposes.

UK response to Notifn 2008-045 - App II orchid annotation.doc

UK RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION 2008/045: ORCHID ANNOTATION FOR SPECIES INCLUDED IN APPENDIX II

We have no identification material or technical information to submit in respect of paragraph 2(a) of the Notification (in addition to the CITES and Plants Guides previously provided to and distributed by the Secretariat).

In response to the questions in Para 2 (d):

i) Have you encountered any problems concerning the exemption annotation of Orchidaceae spp. included in Appendix II as exporting or importing country or for both?

No, other than -

ii) Please describe the problems that have been arising.

Traders still find the exemptions complex and sometimes request permits for exempt material as they are worried that consignments may not fully match the details of the exemptions, or that globally there may be different interpretations of the exemptions. They ask for permits as a 'fail safe' option.

iii) What is your recommendation to resolve the problems?

We would fully support a process which continues the exemptions of artificially propagated orchid hybrids which is as simple as possible and easy to interpret by all stakeholders. Simplicity and utility should be key. We have no evidence of illegal trade due to the exemptions or any case attempting to smuggle material using the exemptions.

iv) Do you observe positive effects or do you have any other comments?

There has been a positive response from the trade as they see evidence of CITES trying to address one of the orchid trade's long-term concerns. It remains vital that we continue to try to remove from CITES the control of material which has no links with wild plants and the regulation of which causes a burden on CITES Parties - without any conservation benefit. The limited resources available to CITES should be better targeted.

UK CITES Management Authority 28 October 2008

United States



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Washington, D.C. 20240



IN REPLY REFER TO: FWS/DMA/PLA 7-07

OCT 3 1 2008

Ms. Milena Sosa Schmidt, Scientific Officer CITES Secretariat 15, chemin des Anémones CH 1219 CHATELAINE-Genève Switzerland ACTIONM la-COPY

0 3, Nov. 2000

REPLY . . . FILE

VIA FACSIMILE: +(4122) 797 3417

Dear Ms. Schmidt:

This letter responds to paragraphs d) and e) of Notification to the Parties No. 2008/045 of July 15, 2008. We have consulted with our colleagues in the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA/APHIS), the agency responsible for inspection and clearance of live CITES-listed plants entering the United States, in preparing this response.

With regard to the information requested in paragraph d), the U.S. plant inspection authorities have noted that most imports covered under the annotation for Orchidaceae spp. are large and demonstrate characteristics of artificial propagation, such that this exemption has not caused implementation problems for the United States. They do note, however, that some Management Authorities continue to issue CITES permits for shipments that would be covered under the exemption. We believe that it might be useful to explore whether this is a result of some countries requiring exporters to continue obtaining permits for this exempted material or if exporters are concerned that importing Parties might not recognize the exemption.

With regard to the information requested in paragraph e) of the Notification, we believe that it would be helpful to more clearly define the term 'hybrid' in the context of Annotation 7. It is not clear whether the term refers to interspecific hybrids, intergeneric hybrids, or both. The United States recommends that the annotation be applied only to interspecific and intergeneric hybrids, where both parents are derived only from the exempted genera in the annotation.



If you have questions regarding the information we have provided, please feel free to contact me at 703-358-2095 or via email: roddy_gabel@fws.gov.

Sincerely,

For Robert R. Gabel, Chief

Division of Management Authority

Cc: Sra. M. Clemente, Chair of the Plants Committee