

CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES  
OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Nineteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties  
Panama City (Panama), 14 – 25 November 2022

Summary record of the eleventh session for Committee I

21 November 2022: 14h00 - 17h00

Chair: V. Fleming (United Kingdom of Great Britain and  
Northern Ireland)

Secretariat: I. Higuero  
D. Morgan

Rapporteurs: J. Robinson  
J. Mark  
F. Davis  
J. Gray

**Adoption of summary records**

CoP19 Com I. Rec. 1

Summary record CoP19 Com I. Rec. 1 was adopted with no amendments.

CoP19 Com I. Rec. 2

The United States of America requested that the following sentence be added to the third paragraph under item 61: "The Secretariat explained its rationale for this text and the United States ultimately accepted this text."

Canada asked that the text "and Canada" be deleted from the third paragraph under item 54.

Summary record CoP19 Com I. Rec. 2 was adopted with these amendments.

CoP19 Com. I Rec. 3

Japan asked for the second sentence of the second paragraph under agenda item 65 to begin: "Japan indicated a process issue and called on the examination of SC74 Doc. 24 by the Animals Committee and Standing Committee."

The United States asked for the last sentence of the third paragraph under agenda item 65 to read: "The United States raised the continued challenges in facilitating the transfer of scientific samples for CITES-listed sharks and offered to provide language asking the Committees to consider this issue."; and for the last sentence of the fourth paragraph under agenda item 65 to read: "Sea Shepherd Legal, speaking also on behalf of a number of other non-governmental organization observers, raised concerns on the lack of progress with Decisions 18.221 and 18.219."

The European Union and its Member States asked for a new additional sentence to be added to the end of the third paragraph under agenda item 62, reading: “The EU highlighted that potential revisions of Resolution Conf. 16.10 on *Implementation of the Convention for agarwood-producing taxa* and consideration of Res. Conf. 11.11 (Rev. CoP18) on *Regulation of trade in plants*, and Res. Conf. 10.13 (Rev. CoP18) on *Implementation of the Convention for tree species* must not lead to any weakening of standards and definitions regarding source code A for artificial propagation”.

Summary record CoP19 Com. I Rec. 3 was adopted with these amendments.

#### CoP19 Com. I Rec. 4

The Secretariat asked for the following text to be added to the end of item 79: “The Committee agreed to delete Decisions 17.302 and 18.260 to 18.262.” and for text at the end of item 84.1 be amended as follows: “It was agreed to delete Decisions 17.312 (Rev CoP18) and 18.315.”

Summary record CoP19 Com. I Rec. 4 was adopted with these amendments.

#### CoP19 Com. I Rec. 5

Summary record CoP19 Com. I Rec. 5 was adopted with no amendments.

#### CoP19 Com I. Rec. 6

Iceland requested the following be included in the opening paragraph of agenda item 89 on Proposals to amend Appendices I and II: ‘Iceland, supported by Mauritania, noted discrepancies between the advice by the CITES Secretariat and that of the FAO Expert Panel, and urged the institutions to establish a more active coordination.’

In relation to Proposal CoP19 Prop. 37, China requested the following be inserted in paragraph 7 after the first comma: ‘China suggested establishing an in-session working group for interested Parties to discuss the species listing so as to maximise support from Parties.’

Summary record CoP19 Com I. Rec. 6 was adopted with these amendments.

#### CoP19 Com. I Rec. 7

World Wide Fund for Nature suggested amending the first sentence of page 2 as follows: ‘The European Union and its Member States, and the United Kingdom and World Wide Fund for Nature opposed it.’

Summary record CoP19 Com I. Rec. 7 was adopted with this amendment.

### **Species specific matters**

#### 89. Proposals to amend Appendices I and II

Singapore, on behalf of the co-proponents, introduced proposal **CoP19 Prop. 9 (Rev. 1)** to transfer *Pycnonotus zeylanicus* (Straw-headed bulbul) from Appendix II to Appendix I. It noted that the species met the criteria for inclusion in Appendix I, having undergone a rapid and marked population decline, estimated at 80% over three generations, due to trapping of wild birds for the songbird trade and compounded by habitat loss.

Benin, China, the European Union and its Member States, Guatemala, Kuwait, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Nigeria, Senegal, Somalia, Thailand, Viet Nam, Humane Society International speaking also on behalf of the Species Survival Network, and TRAFFIC, speaking also on behalf of a number of other observer organizations, supported the proposal.

Indonesia and Namibia opposed the proposal, stating that Appendix II sufficiently controlled trade in *P. zeylanicus* and considering an Appendix-I listing to be premature. Indonesia noted that the species had been successfully captive-bred by numerous breeders in Indonesia for the songbird trade. Indonesia, supported by Namibia, proposed an amendment to add a 24-month implementation delay if the proposal were adopted. Singapore and co-proponents proposed a 12-month delay in implementation. Indonesia concurred.

Proposal CoP19 Prop. 9 (Rev. 1), to transfer *Pycnonotus zeylanicus* from Appendix II to Appendix I amended with the annotation "entry into effect of the inclusion in Appendix I delayed by 12 months", was accepted by consensus.

The United States of America introduced proposal **CoP19 Prop. 10** to transfer *Phoebastria albatrus* (Short-tailed albatross) from Appendix I to Appendix II based on the outcome of the Animals Committee's Periodic Review of the Appendices. It explained that the species no longer met the criteria for listing in Appendix I, following successful international conservation efforts that had resulted in substantial and ongoing population recovery.

China asked for the following statement to be included in the summary record of the meeting:

*With respect to the geographical designations regarding China's Taiwan, Hong Kong, Macao and Diaoyu Dao Islands employed in the Proposals 10, 7, 23, 24, 31 and 32 submitted by the United States of America, I would like to make the following statement on behalf of the Government of the People's Republic of China:*

*First, there is only one China in the world. The Government of the People's Republic of China is the sole legal government representing the whole of China. Taiwan is an inalienable part of the Chinese territory. The "one China" principle is one of the fundamental norms governing international relations. Any act that creates "two Chinas" or "one China, one Taiwan" violates international law.*

*The US proposals have a large number of incorrect descriptions that list Taiwan as a country and fail to correctly indicate that Taiwan Province is part of China. What US has done violates the US government's repeatedly declared position of adhering to the "One China" principle and constitutes a gross violation of China's sovereignty and territorial integrity.*

*Second, the Chinese government resumed the exercise of sovereignty over Hong Kong and Macao in 1997 and 1999 respectively. The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and the Macao Special Administrative Region are the two special administrative regions of the People's Republic of China and inseparable parts of the Chinese territory.*

*The US's proposals contain incorrect descriptions that equate Hong Kong and Macao with China and fail to correctly indicate that Hong Kong and Macao are special administrative regions of China.*

*Third, the Diaoyu Dao Island and its affiliated islands have been Chinese territory since ancient times. China has indisputable sovereignty over the Diaoyu Dao Island and its affiliated islands. This fact has been confirmed by a number of international law documents.*

*The US proposals use the term of Senkaku Island to label the Diaoyu Dao Island and its affiliated islands, and describing them as Japan's territory. This is a gross violation of China's sovereignty and territorial integrity and a intentional violation of international law.*

*The Chinese side firmly opposes the above wrong expressions in the US proposals regarding China's Taiwan, Hong Kong SAR and Macao SAR, and the Diaoyu Dao Islands. China's competent authorities and institutions have lodged solemn protests against the US administration directly and through the Secretariat of the Convention, and reserve rights to make further actions against US.*

Japan asked for the following statement to be included in the summary record of the meeting:

*In the annex of the notification No.2022/067 dated 19 September 2022, China commented on the proposal COP19 Prop.10 submitted by the United States of America. The Chinese comments in the annex of the notification are not acceptable for the Government of Japan for the following reasons.*

- There is no doubt that the Senkaku Islands are indisputably an inherent territory of Japan, in light of historical facts and based upon international law.*
- Indeed, the Senkaku Islands are under the valid control of Japan. There exists no issue of territorial sovereignty to be resolved concerning the Senkaku Islands.*

*In this regard, the Government of Japan would like to express that the Chinese comments have no place to be discussed at meetings of CITES, including this COP 19.*

Cambodia, Canada, Guatemala, Mexico and New Zealand supported the proposal, congratulating range States on having successfully improved the conservation status of the species.

Proposal CoP19 Prop. 10 to transfer *Phoebastria albatrus* from Appendix I to Appendix II was accepted by consensus.

Brazil introduced proposal **CoP19 Prop 11** to transfer the Brazilian population of broad-snouted caiman *Caiman latirostris* from Appendix I to Appendix II. Brazil amended the proposal to add the annotation “a zero annual export quota for wild specimens traded for commercial processes” in line with the Secretariat’s suggestion in document CoP19 Doc. 89.1 (Rev.1). It explained that the species no longer meets the criteria for inclusion in Appendix I and added that, if accepted, international trade would only be allowed for specimens bred in captivity.

Bahrain, Cuba, the European Union and its Member States, Guatemala, Peru, the German Society of Herpetology, and TRAFFIC also on behalf of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) supported the proposal. Argentina and Kenya also supported the amended proposal. TRAFFIC speaking also on behalf IUCN noted that the proposal did not clearly outline the management measures that would be implemented in the event of a transfer to Appendix II as each Brazilian State is responsible for defining its own management mechanisms; they offered their support to Brazil with regard to conducting a wider evaluation of management criteria if requested. Brazil stated that it would take the recommendations on management measures into consideration.

Proposal CoP19 Prop 11 to transfer the Brazilian population of *Caiman latirostris* from Appendix I to Appendix II with an annotation to include a zero export quota for wild specimens traded for commercial purposes was accepted by consensus.

**Proposal CoP19 Prop. 12** to transfer the Philippine population of *Crocodylus porosus* in Palawan Islands from Appendix I to Appendix II, with a zero export quota for wild specimens, was introduced by the Philippines, proposing an amendment to the proposed annotation to read: ‘with an annual zero export quota for wild specimens traded for commercial purposes’.

China, Colombia, Ethiopia, the European Union and its Member States, Kenya, Namibia, the Solomon Islands, and Zimbabwe supported the proposal as amended.

IUCN, speaking also on behalf of TRAFFIC, drew attention to the fact that adoption of the proposal would result in a split-listing for the species within the Philippines and encouraged the Philippines to consider the associated practical regulatory problems.

Proposal CoP19 Prop. 12 to transfer the Philippine population of *Crocodylus porosus* in Palawan Islands from Appendix I to Appendix II, with a zero annual export quota for wild specimens traded for commercial purposes, was accepted by consensus.

**Proposal CoP19 Prop. 13** to transfer the Thai population of *Crocodylus siamensis* from Appendix I to Appendix II with a zero quota for wild specimens was presented by Thailand with an amendment to the proposed annotation to specify 'with a zero quota for wild specimens traded for commercial purposes'.

Australia, Benin, Eritrea, the European Union and its Member States, Indonesia, Israel and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland opposed the proposal, several citing the fragility of the wild population of *Crocodylus siamensis* in Thailand.

Cambodia, China, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Zimbabwe and Wildlife Management International supported the proposal, Cambodia highlighting transfers of taxa from Appendix I to Appendix II as conservation successes.

The Chair granted Thailand's request for a vote on the amended proposal. There were 27 votes in favour, 76 against and 20 abstentions; proposal CoP19 Prop. 13 was rejected.

India introduced **proposal CoP19 Prop. 15** to include *Cyrtodactylus jeyporensis* in Appendix II, highlighting its limited distribution and apparent high demand amongst reptile breeders and traders.

The European Union and its Member States, Niger, and the Wildlife Trust of India, speaking also on behalf of a number of observer organizations, supported the proposal, the European Union and its Member States noting that high demand and consequent high prices might drive unsustainable exploitation.

Proposal CoP19 Prop. 7 to include *Cyrtodactylus jeyporensis* in Appendix II was accepted by consensus.

Viet Nam introduced **proposal CoP19 Prop. 14** to include *Physignathus cocincinus* in Appendix II. Viet Nam highlighted that pressure from harvesting on wild populations was likely to be high, noting high levels of import into the European Union and its Members States and the United States of America.

The Democratic Republic of the Congo, the European Union and its Member States (as co-proponents), the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Thailand, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland supported the proposal.

Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Congo, Gabon, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, and Togo opposed the proposal, being of the view that available scientific data were insufficient to justify inclusion in Appendix II and suggesting national management as more appropriate.

Seeing no consensus, the Chair moved to a vote. With 81 in favour, 27 against and 20 abstentions proposal CoP19 Prop. 14 to include *Physignathus cocincinus* in Appendix II was accepted.

The meeting was adjourned at 17h00.



Motion Prop 13 [21.11.2022 4:07:28 PM]

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 26.21%

1	AFGHANISTAN	Not Allowed
2	ALBANIA	Not Allowed
3	ALGERIA	NO
4	ANDORRA	Not Allowed
5	ANGOLA	NO
6	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	YES
7	ARGENTINA	NO
8	ARMENIA	Not Allowed
9	AUSTRALIA	NO
10	AZERBAIJAN	Not Allowed
11	BAHAMAS	NO
12	BAHRAIN	Present
13	BANGLADESH	NO
14	BARBADOS	Not Allowed
15	BELARUS	Not Allowed
16	BELGIUM	NO (eu)
17	BELIZE	Not Present
18	BENIN	NO
19	BHUTAN	ABST
20	BOLIVIA (PLURINATIONAL STATE OF)	ABST
21	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	Not Allowed
22	BOTSWANA	YES
23	BRAZIL	ABST
24	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	Not Allowed
25	BULGARIA	NO (eu)
26	BURKINA FASO	NO
27	BURUNDI	NO
28	CABO VERDE	Not Allowed
29	CAMBODIA	YES
30	CAMEROON	YES
31	CANADA	YES
32	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	NO
33	CHAD	Not Allowed
34	CHILE	NO
35	CHINA	YES
36	COLOMBIA	ABST
37	COMOROS	Present
38	CONGO	NO
39	COSTA RICA	NO
40	CÔTE D'IVOIRE	ABST
41	CROATIA	NO (eu)
42	CUBA	Not Present
43	CYPRUS	NO (eu)
44	CZECH REPUBLIC	NO (eu)
45	DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO	YES
46	DENMARK	NO (eu)
47	DJIBOUTI	Not Allowed
48	DOMINICA	Not Allowed
49	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	NO



Motion Prop 13 [21.11.2022 4:07:28 PM]

50	ECUADOR	NO
51	EGYPT	ABST
52	EL SALVADOR	NO
53	EQUATORIAL GUINEA	Not Allowed
54	ERITREA	NO
55	ESTONIA	NO (eu)
56	ESWATINI	YES
57	ETHIOPIA	YES
58	EUROPEAN UNION	Voted
59	FIJI	Present
60	FINLAND	NO (eu)
61	FRANCE	NO (eu)
62	GABON	NO
63	GAMBIA	NO
64	GEORGIA	NO
65	GERMANY	NO (eu)
66	GHANA	Present
67	GREECE	NO (eu)
68	GRENADA	Not Allowed
69	GUATEMALA	YES
70	GUINEA	NO
71	GUINEA-BISSAU	NO
72	GUYANA	ABST
73	HONDURAS	NO
74	HUNGARY	NO (eu)
75	ICELAND	NO
76	INDIA	NO
77	INDONESIA	NO
78	IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)	Not Allowed
79	IRAQ	Not Allowed
80	IRELAND	NO (eu)
81	ISRAEL	NO
82	ITALY	NO (eu)
83	JAMAICA	NO
84	JAPAN	ABST
85	JORDAN	NO
86	KAZAKHSTAN	Not Allowed
87	KENYA	ABST
88	KUWAIT	NO
89	KYRGYZSTAN	Not Allowed
90	LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	YES
91	LATVIA	NO (eu)
92	LEBANON	Not Allowed
93	LESOTHO	YES
94	LIBERIA	Not Present
95	LIBYA	Not Allowed
96	LIECHTENSTEIN	NO
97	LITHUANIA	NO (eu)
98	LUXEMBOURG	NO (eu)
99	MADAGASCAR	Not Present
100	MALAWI	YES
101	MALAYSIA	YES
102	MALDIVES	ABST



Motion Prop 13 [21.11.2022 4:07:28 PM]

103	MALI	NO
104	MALTA	NO (eu)
105	MAURITANIA	NO
106	MAURITIUS	Not Allowed
107	MEXICO	NO
108	MONACO	NO
109	MONGOLIA	Not Allowed
110	MONTENEGRO	Not Present
111	MOROCCO	Not Present
112	MOZAMBIQUE	YES
113	MYANMAR	Not Allowed
114	NAMIBIA	YES
115	NEPAL	ABST
116	NETHERLANDS	NO (eu)
117	NEW ZEALAND	NO
118	NICARAGUA	ABST
119	NIGER	NO
120	NIGERIA	NO
121	NORTH MACEDONIA	Not Allowed
122	NORWAY	NO
123	OMAN	Not Allowed
124	PAKISTAN	ABST
125	PALAU	Not Allowed
126	PANAMA	ABST
127	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	YES
128	PARAGUAY	Present
129	PERU	Present
130	PHILIPPINES	NO
131	POLAND	NO (eu)
132	PORTUGAL	NO (eu)
133	QATAR	Not Allowed
134	REPUBLIC OF AUSTRIA	NO (eu)
135	REPUBLIC OF KOREA	NO
136	REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA	Not Allowed
137	ROMANIA	NO (eu)
138	RUSSIAN FEDERATION	Not Present
139	RWANDA	Not Allowed
140	SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS	Not Allowed
141	SAINT LUCIA	Not Allowed
142	SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Not Allowed
143	SAMOA	ABST
144	SAN MARINO	Not Allowed
145	SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	Not Allowed
146	SAUDI ARABIA	Not Allowed
147	SENEGAL	YES
148	SERBIA	Not Allowed
149	SEYCHELLES	NO
150	SIERRA LEONE	NO
151	SINGAPORE	YES
152	SLOVAKIA	NO (eu)
153	SLOVENIA	NO (eu)
154	SOLOMON ISLANDS	ABST
155	SOMALIA	Not Allowed





Motion Prop 13 [21.11.2022 4:07:28 PM]

156	SOUTH AFRICA	YES
157	SPAIN	NO (eu)
158	SRI LANKA	ABST
159	SUDAN	Not Present
160	SURINAME	ABST
161	SWEDEN	NO (eu)
162	SWITZERLAND	NO
163	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	Not Present
164	TAJIKISTAN	YES
165	THAILAND	YES
166	TOGO	Present
167	TONGA	YES
168	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	Not Present
169	TUNISIA	NO
170	TÜRKIYE	YES
171	UGANDA	YES
172	UKRAINE	Not Present
173	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	ABST
174	UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IR	NO
175	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	YES
176	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	NO
177	URUGUAY	NO
178	UZBEKISTAN	Not Allowed
179	VANUATU	ABST
180	VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)	Not Present
181	VIET NAM	NO
182	YEMEN	Not Present
183	ZAMBIA	Not Present
184	ZIMBABWE	YES



Motion Prop 14 [21.11.2022 4:47:23 PM]

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 75.00%

1	AFGHANISTAN	Not Allowed
2	ALBANIA	Not Allowed
3	ALGERIA	ABST
4	ANDORRA	Not Allowed
5	ANGOLA	ABST
6	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	ABST
7	ARGENTINA	YES
8	ARMENIA	Not Allowed
9	AUSTRALIA	YES
10	AZERBAIJAN	Not Allowed
11	BAHAMAS	ABST
12	BAHRAIN	YES
13	BANGLADESH	ABST
14	BARBADOS	Not Allowed
15	BELARUS	Not Allowed
16	BELGIUM	YES (eu)
17	BELIZE	Not Present
18	BENIN	NO
19	BHUTAN	YES
20	BOLIVIA (PLURINATIONAL STATE OF)	ABST
21	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	Not Allowed
22	BOTSWANA	Present
23	BRAZIL	YES
24	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	Not Allowed
25	BULGARIA	YES (eu)
26	BURKINA FASO	NO
27	BURUNDI	NO
28	CABO VERDE	Not Allowed
29	CAMBODIA	NO
30	CAMEROON	ABST
31	CANADA	YES
32	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	NO
33	CHAD	Not Allowed
34	CHILE	YES
35	CHINA	ABST
36	COLOMBIA	ABST
37	COMOROS	NO
38	CONGO	NO
39	COSTA RICA	ABST
40	CÔTE D'IVOIRE	NO
41	CROATIA	YES (eu)
42	CUBA	Not Present
43	CYPRUS	YES (eu)
44	CZECH REPUBLIC	YES (eu)
45	DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO	YES
46	DENMARK	YES (eu)
47	DJIBOUTI	Not Allowed
48	DOMINICA	Not Allowed
49	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	YES



Motion Prop 14 [21.11.2022 4:47:23 PM]

50	ECUADOR	YES
51	EGYPT	YES
52	EL SALVADOR	YES
53	EQUATORIAL GUINEA	Not Allowed
54	ERITREA	YES
55	ESTONIA	YES (eu)
56	ESWATINI	ABST
57	ETHIOPIA	NO
58	EUROPEAN UNION	Voted
59	FIJI	YES
60	FINLAND	YES (eu)
61	FRANCE	YES (eu)
62	GABON	NO
63	GAMBIA	NO
64	GEORGIA	YES
65	GERMANY	YES (eu)
66	GHANA	NO
67	GREECE	YES (eu)
68	GRENADA	Not Allowed
69	GUATEMALA	YES
70	GUINEA	NO
71	GUINEA-BISSAU	NO
72	GUYANA	NO
73	HONDURAS	YES
74	HUNGARY	YES (eu)
75	ICELAND	YES
76	INDIA	YES
77	INDONESIA	NO
78	IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)	Not Allowed
79	IRAQ	Not Allowed
80	IRELAND	YES (eu)
81	ISRAEL	YES
82	ITALY	YES (eu)
83	JAMAICA	YES
84	JAPAN	YES
85	JORDAN	YES
86	KAZAKHSTAN	Not Allowed
87	KENYA	NO
88	KUWAIT	YES
89	KYRGYZSTAN	Not Allowed
90	LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	YES
91	LATVIA	YES (eu)
92	LEBANON	Not Allowed
93	LESOTHO	Not Present
94	LIBERIA	NO
95	LIBYA	Not Allowed
96	LIECHTENSTEIN	Not Present
97	LITHUANIA	YES (eu)
98	LUXEMBOURG	YES (eu)
99	MADAGASCAR	Not Present
100	MALAWI	YES
101	MALAYSIA	YES
102	MALDIVES	YES



Motion Prop 14 [21.11.2022 4:47:23 PM]

103	MALI	NO
104	MALTA	YES (eu)
105	MAURITANIA	NO
106	MAURITIUS	Not Allowed
107	MEXICO	ABST
108	MONACO	Not Present
109	MONGOLIA	Not Allowed
110	MONTENEGRO	Not Present
111	MOROCCO	ABST
112	MOZAMBIQUE	ABST
113	MYANMAR	Not Allowed
114	NAMIBIA	YES
115	NEPAL	ABST
116	NETHERLANDS	YES (eu)
117	NEW ZEALAND	YES
118	NICARAGUA	ABST
119	NIGER	NO
120	NIGERIA	NO
121	NORTH MACEDONIA	Not Allowed
122	NORWAY	YES
123	OMAN	Not Allowed
124	PAKISTAN	ABST
125	PALAU	Not Allowed
126	PANAMA	YES
127	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	NO
128	PARAGUAY	Present
129	PERU	ABST
130	PHILIPPINES	YES
131	POLAND	YES (eu)
132	PORTUGAL	YES (eu)
133	QATAR	Not Allowed
134	REPUBLIC OF AUSTRIA	YES (eu)
135	REPUBLIC OF KOREA	YES
136	REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA	Not Allowed
137	ROMANIA	YES (eu)
138	RUSSIAN FEDERATION	Not Present
139	RWANDA	Not Allowed
140	SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS	Not Allowed
141	SAINT LUCIA	Not Allowed
142	SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Not Allowed
143	SAMOA	ABST
144	SAN MARINO	Not Allowed
145	SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	Not Allowed
146	SAUDI ARABIA	Not Allowed
147	SENEGAL	NO
148	SERBIA	Not Allowed
149	SEYCHELLES	YES
150	SIERRA LEONE	NO
151	SINGAPORE	YES
152	SLOVAKIA	YES (eu)
153	SLOVENIA	YES (eu)
154	SOLOMON ISLANDS	YES
155	SOMALIA	Not Allowed



Motion Prop 14 [21.11.2022 4:47:23 PM]

156	SOUTH AFRICA	YES
157	SPAIN	YES (eu)
158	SRI LANKA	YES
159	SUDAN	YES
160	SURINAME	NO
161	SWEDEN	YES (eu)
162	SWITZERLAND	YES
163	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	YES
164	TAJIKISTAN	Not Present
165	THAILAND	YES
166	TOGO	NO
167	TONGA	YES
168	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	Not Present
169	TUNISIA	ABST
170	TÜRKIYE	YES
171	UGANDA	YES
172	UKRAINE	Not Present
173	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	YES
174	UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IR	YES
175	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	YES
176	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	YES
177	URUGUAY	YES
178	UZBEKISTAN	Not Allowed
179	VANUATU	YES
180	VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)	Not Present
181	VIET NAM	YES
182	YEMEN	Not Present
183	ZAMBIA	Not Present
184	ZIMBABWE	YES