

Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2021-2030* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be monitored.

CITES vision statement

By 2030, all international trade in wild fauna and flora is legal and sustainable, consistent with the long-term conservation of species, and thereby contributing to halting biodiversity loss, to ensuring its sustainable use, and to achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

The questions of the implementation report follow the structure of the *Strategic Vision 2021-2030* and its indicators that are mapped against the Sustainable Development Goals and the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework to ensure synergies and consistent reporting.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in [Resolution Conf. 11.17 \(Rev. CoP19\)](#) which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat on 31 October of the year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form and to **answer at a minimum all questions in bold**. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat
Palais des Nations
Avenue de la Paix 8-14
CH-1211 Geneva
Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org
Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40
Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Sweden
Period covered in this report	2021-2023
Department or agency preparing this report	Swedish Board of Agriculture, CITES MA
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Swedish Environmental Protection Agency Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management Swedish Coast Guards Swedish Police Swedish Customs Swedish Prosecution Agency

GOAL 1 TRADE IN CITES-LISTED SPECIES IS CONDUCTED IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONVENTION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THEIR CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through the adoption and implementation of appropriate legislation, policies, and procedures.
[SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15](#)
[GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10](#)

Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.
(Data source: National Legislation Project)

1.1.1a	<p>Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:</p> <p><u>2021</u></p> <p><i>Following CITES CoP18 (Geneva, 2019), the European Commission adopted on 16/12/2021 Commission Regulation (EU) 2021/2280¹ to incorporate the changes flowing from the decisions taken in the relevant legislation at the EU level. The Regulation entails changes to the Annexes to Council Regulation (EC) 338/97 as well as Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006.</i></p> <p><i>To implement Resolution Conf. 10.10 (Rev. CoP18), the EU introduced a more restrictive ivory trade regime. In this context, the exemption for internal trade with antiques containing ivory without a certificate was repealed. Accordingly, a certificate is now required for trade of ivory in any case. To allow stricter control of internal trade in objects consisting of ivory, an expiry date for certificates issued for all ivory objects was introduced. In addition, the European Commission revised the Guidance document on the EU regime governing trade in ivory². As a result, import and export of raw ivory to and from the EU are prohibited entirely, whereas internal trade is only allowed for specific purposes (repairing pre-1975 musical instruments and pre-1947 antiques of high cultural, artistic or historical importance held by a museum). Regarding worked ivory, export and import are only allowed for pre-1975 musical instruments and pre-1947 antiques sold to museums, internal trade only with objects acquired before 1947 and pre-1975 musical instruments.</i></p> <p><u>2022</u></p>
--------	--

¹ [Regulation - 2021/2280 - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)

² [EUR-Lex - 52021XC1230\(03\) - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)

	<p>On 11/08/2022, the Commission adopted a Guidance document on live animals bred in captivity¹ under the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations.</p> <p>On 09/11/2022, the European Commission adopted a revised EU Action Plan against wildlife trafficking² to strengthen the EU action against the illegal trade in wildlife, both in the EU and internationally. The revised action plan is built around four priorities including 17 objectives and 69 actions: (1) Preventing wildlife trafficking and addressing its root causes; (2) strengthening the legal and policy framework against wildlife trafficking; (3) enforcing regulations and policies to fight wildlife trafficking effectively; (4) strengthening the global partnership of source, consumer and transit countries against wildlife trafficking.</p> <p><u>2023</u></p> <p>Following CITES CoP19 (Panama, 2022), the Commission adopted on 15/05/2023 the revised Annexes to Council Regulation (EC) No 338/97³.</p> <p>On 12/12/2023, the Commission adopted Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 2023/2770 (Suspension Regulation)⁴, amending the list of species of which import to the EU is prohibited.</p> <p>Moreover, the European Commission adopted on 18/04/2023 a Guidance document on the export, re-export and intra-EU trade of captive-born and bred live tigers and their parts and derivatives⁵ (2023/C 135/01). The objective of the Guidance is to increase controls on the commercial trade of live captive-bred tigers and their parts and derivatives. The EU Member States are encouraged not to grant export, re-export or intra-EU trade certificates. Exemptions are only possible under specific circumstances if the purposes are not detrimental to the conservation of the species.</p> <p>¹ Regulation - 2021/2280 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu) ² EUR-Lex - 52021XC1230(03) - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu) ³ EUR-Lex - 52022XC0811(01) - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu) ⁴ EUR-Lex - 52022DC0581 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)</p>
1.1.1b	<p>Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:</p> <p><i>After every CITES CoP, the EU incorporates the changes in the CITES Appendices in the relevant legislation at EU level (Council Regulation (EC) 338/97). Albeit a simplified procedure, it is challenging to meet the 90 days deadline given lengthy and punctual internal procedures at EU level.</i></p> <p><i>During the interim period and prior to the entry into force of the amended Regulation, EU Member States issue export and import permits directly based on the relevant provisions of the Convention.</i></p>

Indicator 1.1.2: Number of Parties subject to CITES recommendations to suspend trade.
(Data source: Notifications to the Parties and reference list of countries subject to a recommendation to suspend trade)

Objective 1.2 Parties have established CITES Management and Scientific Authorities and enforcement focal points that effectively carry out the duties required of them under the Convention and relevant Resolutions.

1 [EUR-Lex - 52022XC0811\(01\) - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)
2 [EUR-Lex - 52022DC0581 - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)
3 [Regulation - 2023/966 - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)
4 [Implementing regulation - EU - 2023/2770 - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)
5 [EUR-Lex - 52023XC0418\(01\) - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](#)

Indicator 1.2.1: Number of Parties that have designated at least one Management Authority, independent Scientific Authority and enforcement focal points in place.
(Data source: CITES online directory)

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with Resolutions and Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.
SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15
GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9, 10 & 15

Indicator 1.3.1: Number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	<p>Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?</p> <p>Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No special reporting requirements applicable <input type="checkbox"/></p>
1.3.1b	<p>Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?</p>

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation status and needs of species.
SDG Goal 15
GBF Goal A & Targets 4 & 5

Indicator 1.4.1: The number and proportion of species listed in Appendices that have been found to meet the criteria for each Appendix contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) or its successors as part of the Periodic Review process or of amendment proposals

Objective 1.5 Parties improve the conservation status of CITES-listed specimens, put in place national conservation actions, support their sustainable use and promote cooperation in managing shared wildlife resources.
SDG Goals 2, 12, 14 & 15
GBF Goals A & B & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10

Indicator 1.5.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.
(Data source: IUCN Red List conservations status categories)

1.5.1a (previously 3.4.1a)	<p>Does your country have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?</p>			
		Yes	No	Not Applicable
	Appendix I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Appendix II	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Appendix III	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:			
	Species name (scientific)	Link to the data, or a brief summary		
	Lutra lutra	Se response in 1.5.1.b.		
	Haliaeetus albicilla	Se response in 1.5.1.b.		

1.5.1b (previously 3.4.1b)	<p>Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide details:</p> <p>The national conservation plan for <i>Lutra lutra</i> was closed in 2023 as the population had recovered so well it was not considered necessary anymore. The conservation plan can be found on SEPA:s website (only available in Swedish): https://www.naturvardsverket.se/publikationer/5600/atgardsprogram-for-bevarande-av-utter/. News in English on this success story can be found here: https://sverigesradio.se/artikel/otters-making-a-comeback-in-sweden</p> <p>The population of <i>Haliaeetus albicilla</i> has recovered well since the population crash in the 1970-1980s due to environmental toxins. Conservation actions to save the populations have proven successful and in several areas in Sweden the population is back to the same levels as in the 1950s. More information is available at SEPA:s website (only available in Swedish): https://www.naturvardsverket.se/data-och-statistik/vilt/havsorn-antal-ungar-per-kull/</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
-------------------------------	--	--

Indicator 1.5.2: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have put in place actions that support sustainable use.

1.5.2 (previously 1.6.2a)	<p>Does your country have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;">Species Name (scientific)</th> <th style="width: 70%;">Link or reference to a published plan</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Anguilla anguilla</i></td> <td>National eel management plan</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Lutra lutra</i>, <i>Ursus arctos</i>, <i>Canis lupus</i>, <i>Lynx lynx</i>, <i>Parnassius apollo</i>, <i>Hirudo medicinalis</i>, Orchidaceae</td> <td>https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm SKANDULV - the Scandinavian Wolf Research Project: The Scandinavian wolf population is shared by Sweden and Norway. The SKANDULV team coordinates research on this population. SKANDULV works on scientific questions regarding basic and applied wolf ecology and management, and have published several publications on their website.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All birds of prey, <i>Grus grus</i></td> <td>https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/birdsdirective/index_en.htm</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan	<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	National eel management plan	<i>Lutra lutra</i> , <i>Ursus arctos</i> , <i>Canis lupus</i> , <i>Lynx lynx</i> , <i>Parnassius apollo</i> , <i>Hirudo medicinalis</i> , Orchidaceae	https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm SKANDULV - the Scandinavian Wolf Research Project: The Scandinavian wolf population is shared by Sweden and Norway. The SKANDULV team coordinates research on this population. SKANDULV works on scientific questions regarding basic and applied wolf ecology and management, and have published several publications on their website.	All birds of prey, <i>Grus grus</i>	https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/birdsdirective/index_en.htm	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan									
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	National eel management plan									
<i>Lutra lutra</i> , <i>Ursus arctos</i> , <i>Canis lupus</i> , <i>Lynx lynx</i> , <i>Parnassius apollo</i> , <i>Hirudo medicinalis</i> , Orchidaceae	https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm SKANDULV - the Scandinavian Wolf Research Project: The Scandinavian wolf population is shared by Sweden and Norway. The SKANDULV team coordinates research on this population. SKANDULV works on scientific questions regarding basic and applied wolf ecology and management, and have published several publications on their website.									
All birds of prey, <i>Grus grus</i>	https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/birdsdirective/index_en.htm									

GOAL 2 PARTIES' DECISIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE AND INFORMATION

Objective 2.1 Parties' non-detriment findings are based on best available scientific information and their determination of legal acquisition is based on the best available technical and legal information.
 SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15
 GBF Targets 4, 5, 9 & 20

Indicator 2.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings (NDFs).

<p>2.1.1a (previously 1.5.2a)</p>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No information</td> </tr> <tr> <td> <p>Does your country have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17)?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:</p> <p>.</p> <p>Sweden does not have additional national legislation relating to NDFs other than what is outlined in the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations. Sweden makes NDFs for animal and plants specimens for all exports and imports in accordance with Art. 4 and Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 338/97, with the exception of those types of imports of Annex B specimens in accordance with Art. 57 and Art. 58 of Commission Regulation (EC) 865/2006. Our NDFs follow CITES Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) to ensure that the import or export will not be detrimental to the species in the wild.</p> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> </tr> </table>		Yes	No	No information	<p>Does your country have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17)?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:</p> <p>.</p> <p>Sweden does not have additional national legislation relating to NDFs other than what is outlined in the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations. Sweden makes NDFs for animal and plants specimens for all exports and imports in accordance with Art. 4 and Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 338/97, with the exception of those types of imports of Annex B specimens in accordance with Art. 57 and Art. 58 of Commission Regulation (EC) 865/2006. Our NDFs follow CITES Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) to ensure that the import or export will not be detrimental to the species in the wild.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	Yes	No	No information										
<p>Does your country have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17)?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:</p> <p>.</p> <p>Sweden does not have additional national legislation relating to NDFs other than what is outlined in the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations. Sweden makes NDFs for animal and plants specimens for all exports and imports in accordance with Art. 4 and Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 338/97, with the exception of those types of imports of Annex B specimens in accordance with Art. 57 and Art. 58 of Commission Regulation (EC) 865/2006. Our NDFs follow CITES Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) to ensure that the import or export will not be detrimental to the species in the wild.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<p>2.1.1b (previously 1.5.2b)</p>	<p>When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following guidance been used?</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Please tick all that apply</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Virtual College</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>IUCN Checklist</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Resolution Conf. 16.7</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008 NDF workshop</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Species specific guidance</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <p>If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:</p> <p><i>EU Scientific Authorities have regular cooperation and provide support for specific NDF:s if requested by the importing SA.</i></p>	Virtual College	<input type="checkbox"/>	IUCN Checklist	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Resolution Conf. 16.7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2008 NDF workshop	<input type="checkbox"/>	Species specific guidance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Virtual College	<input type="checkbox"/>												
IUCN Checklist	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
Resolution Conf. 16.7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
2008 NDF workshop	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Species specific guidance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
<p>2.1.1c (previously 1.5.2c)</p>	<p>How often does your country review and/or change your non-detriment findings?</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Case by case</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Annually</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Every two years</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Less frequently</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>A mix of the above</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment findings would be changed: <i>For each import application requiring a NDF, the Swedish SA will make a case-by-case assessment based on the best available scientific data and, when necessary, in contact with the exporting SA. If the situation for the species has changed, or new information is available, it could result in a different NDF than previous, similar cases.</i></p>	Case by case	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Annually	<input type="checkbox"/>	Every two years	<input type="checkbox"/>	Less frequently	<input type="checkbox"/>	A mix of the above			
Case by case	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
Annually	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Every two years	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Less frequently	<input type="checkbox"/>												
A mix of the above													

Indicator 2.1.2: Number of written NDFs submitted and number of Parties submitting NDFs for posting in the CITES online database.
(Data source: NDF webpage on the CITES website)

Indicator 2.1.3: Number of Parties that have included the legal acquisition finding obligation in their national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19).

<p>2.1.3</p>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No information</td> </tr> <tr> <td> <p>Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in your national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?</p> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> </tr> </table>		Yes	No	No information	<p>Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in your national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No	No information						
<p>Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in your national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						

If 'Yes', please briefly include the name of the regulatory instrument, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:
Incorporated by EU legislation, Council Regulation (EC) No 338/97.

Objective 2.2 Parties cooperate in sharing information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES.
 SDG Goal 12
 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 2.2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) on *Non-detriment findings* related to: - the population status of Appendix-II species; - the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of and trend in naturally occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

2.2.1a (previously 1.5.1a)	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:				
	A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material.				
	Species name (scientific)				
	<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>				
	A selection:				
	Dekker, W., Bryhn, A., Magnusson, K., Sjöberg, N., Wickström, H. (2018). Assessment of the eel stock in Sweden, spring 2018. Third post-evaluation of the Swedish Eel Management Plan Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Drottningholm Lysekil Öregrund. 113 pp. New national evaluation will be published in July 2021.				
	Fisk- och skaldjursbestånd i hav och sötvatten 2020. Resursöversikt. Rapport 2021:6. Havs- och vattenmyndigheten. Yearly reports and national evaluations.				
	ICES Advice 2020 European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) throughout its natural range.				
	Especially for <i>Lynx lynx</i> , <i>Ursus arctos</i> , <i>Canis lupus</i> , <i>Lutra lutra</i> , <i>Phocoena phocoena</i> , <i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> Sweden has environmental monitoring in place that secures that all animals found dead will be send to either the National Veterinary Institute or to the Swedish Museum of Natural history. The cause of death will be determined. Animals hunted are monitored and checked for disease, sex and age.	Every year, the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, and other institutions, conduct population monitoring of wolves and publish a detailed study. See the most recent one here: Population Monitoring of <i>Canis lupus</i> in Sweden and Norway 2023-2024 Similar population monitoring is conducted for <i>Lynx lynx</i> : Population monitoring <i>Lynx lynx</i> 2023 Population monitoring of <i>Ursus arctos</i> is also conducted yearly but limited to selected counties. National estimates are conducted every 5 years. The Swedish National Museum of Natural History is responsible for these since 2019.			

	<p>For orchids and <i>Parnassius apollo</i> a monitoring through citizen science complement the work of the regional county administrations.</p>
<p>2.2.1b (previously 1.5.1b)</p>	<p>How are the results of such surveys, studies or other analyses used in making non-detriment findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Revised harvest or export quotas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Banning export <input type="checkbox"/> Stricter domestic measures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changed management of the species <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with Management Authorities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion with other stakeholders? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please provide a short summary): </p>
<p>2.2.1c (previously 1.5.1c)</p>	<p>Does your country have specific conservation measures or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed species? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possible, an evaluation of their impact: <i>As Sweden is part of the EU we have strict protection measures for all naturally occurring Appendix I species through EU and national legislation.</i></p>
<p>2.2.1d (previously 1.5.1d)</p>	<p>Has your country published any non-detriment findings that can be shared? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:</p>

2.2.1e (previously 1.5.1e)	Which of the following [A to F of paragraph 1 a) x) of Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17)] does your country use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 2.2.2: Number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys. (Data source: Quotas webpage on the CITES website)

2.2.2a (previously 1.5.3a)	Does your country set annual export quotas?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', does your country set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other, please specify
	Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	
2.2.2b (previously 1.5.3b)	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment finding process:		

Indicator 2.2.3: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

2.2.3a (previously 1.6.3a)	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
		Target group					
		Staff of Management Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Staff of Scientific Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

Staff of enforcement authorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Traders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Producers of musical instruments, antique dealers, taxidermists
NGOs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TRAFFIC, EU-TWIX
Public	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meetings with both hobby organisations for pet animals, Swedish Zoo Association and antique dealers,
Other (please specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

2.2.3b (previously 1.6.3b)	Have the CITES authorities been the providers of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?					
Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.		Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)
Target group						
Staff of Management Authority		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Staff of Scientific Authority		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Staff of enforcement authorities		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Traders		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
NGOs		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other Parties/International meetings		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please specify)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.2.3c (previously 1.6.3c)	In what ways does your country collaborate with other CITES Parties?					
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always
Information exchange		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Monitoring / survey		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Habitat management		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Species management		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Capacity building		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please provide details)						
2.2.3d (previously 2.3.1a)	How many training and capacity building activities¹ has your country run during the period covered in this report?		Without assistance from the Secretariat	Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat		
		None	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		2-5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		6-10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		11-20	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		More than 20	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions involved:					
2.2.3e (previously 2.3.1b)	<p>What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place?</p> <p><i>Both the Swedish MA and SAs provide regular trainings for the County Administration Boards, Police Authorities, Swedish Coast Guard, Customs officers and Prosecutors. Training is conducted both digitally, in person presentations with Q & As as well as regular updated websites and guidance materials.</i></p>					
2.2.3f (previously 2.3.1c)	<p>What capacity building needs does your country have?</p> <p>Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.</p>					
	Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)
	Staff of Management Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Due to the high work load generated by the Dalbergia spp. listing the staff has little time for capacity building but efforts are made to participate and disseminate new knowledge.
	Staff of Scientific Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Training in NDFs, captive breeding assessments, and species identification are constant areas where capacity building is needed, to be updated on the best scientific methods and recently published scientific literature.
	Staff of enforcement authorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Similar to other trade restrictions or bans.
	Traders / other user groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There is an ongoing demand for information about CITES-rules and necessary documentation required for legal trade.
	NGOs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Public	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Awareness raising activities on CITES-rules are needed. National CITES authorities have conducted smaller awareness-raising initiatives on social media platforms and websites, but a more comprehensive initiative would be good.
	Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 2.2.4: Number of reports shared by the Parties in compliance with the Resolutions of the Convention.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Indicator 2.2.5: Number of Parties sharing information relevant to the implementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data visualization/software, information-sharing focused tools, etc.).

2.2.5	Has your country shared information relevant to the implementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data visualization/software, information-sharing focused tools, etc.)?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 2.2.6: Number of CoP side-events where Parties present information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES
(Data source: CoP side-event schedule and descriptions)

Objective 2.3 Parties have sufficient information to enforce the Convention.
[SDG Goal 12](#)
[GBF Goal D & Targets 15 & 21](#)

Indicator 2.3.1: Proportion of Parties that are making use of the available tools. For instance, one could look at Google Analytics for the number of site visits to the CITES website, CITES Checklist, or Species+ or the number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database as a proxy for usage of shared tools.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat – Number of visits to the CITES website; number of visits to the CITES Checklist and Species+; number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database)

Indicator 2.3.2: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to enforce the Convention.

2.3.2	Do you consider that your country has sufficient information to enforce the Convention?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Objective 2.4 Parties have sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs.

[SDG Goal 12](#)

[GBF Goals A & D & Targets 5, 20 & 21](#)

Indicator 2.4.1: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs.

2.4.1	Do you consider that your country has sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs?	Yes No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
--------------	--	-------------------------	---

Objective 2.5 Information gaps and needs for key species are identified and addressed.

[SDG Goal 12](#)

[GBF Target 21](#)

Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment findings) on their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention.

2.5.1a	Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species:	
2.5.1b <small>(previously 1.4.1a)</small>	Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.

2.5.2	Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and needs assistance to address them?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', please specify for which key species and the type of assistance needed:	

GOAL 3 PARTIES (INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY) HAVE THE TOOLS, RESOURCES AND CAPACITY TO EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CONVENTION, CONTRIBUTING TO CONSERVATION, SUSTAINABLE USE AND THE REDUCTION OF ILLEGAL TRADE IN CITES-LISTED WILDLIFE SPECIES

Objective 3.1 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.
[SDG Goal 16](#)
[GBF Goal D](#)

Indicator 3.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.1 (previously 1.2.1a)	Does your country have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are the procedures publicly available?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 3.1.2: Number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19).

3.1.2 (previously 1.2.2a)	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?	Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) are urgently required.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2 .	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5 .	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4 .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: <i>Permit procedures related to forensic samples and musical instruments travelling with performing musicians are too complicated.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 3.1.3: Number of Parties that have adopted an electronic system for the issuance of permits.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.3 (previously 1.2.1b)	Does your country have: Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries <i>Done through electronic communication means. No list available.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome:			
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: <i>The EU is developing a common platform that will be used by all member states.</i>			

Objective 3.2 Parties and the Secretariat develop, adopt and implement adequate capacity-building programmes.
[SDG Goal 17](#)
[GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21](#)

Indicator 3.2.1: Number of Parties with training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES, including the making of non-detriment and legal acquisition findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

3.2.1a (previously 1.8.1a)	Does your country have information resources or training in place to support: YesNo The making of non-detriment findings? <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permit officers? <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enforcement officers? <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
3.2.1b (previously 1.8.1b)	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
3.2.1c (previously 1.8.1c)	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 3.2.2: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

See questions for indicator 2.2.3

Objective 3.3 Sufficient resources are available at the national and international levels to support necessary capacity-building programmes and ensure compliance with and full implementation and enforcement of the Convention.
[SDG Goals 15 & 17](#)

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

- Indicator 3.3.1: Number of Parties meeting their obligations with regard to their assessed contributions to the Trust Fund.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 3.3.2: Percentage of the total funds required to implement the work programme agreed by the Conference of the Parties that is fully funded.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Objective 3.4 Parties recognize illegal trade in wildlife as serious crime and have adequate systems in place to detect and deter it.
SDG Goal 15
- Indicator 3.4.1: Number of Parties where criminal offences relating to illegal trade in wildlife (such as illegal hunting/harvest and wildlife trafficking) are recognized as a serious crime.

<p>3.4.1a (previously 1.7.3b)</p>	<p>Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime¹ in your country?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or wildlife trafficking offences to be treated as serious crimes: <i>If the species is threatened, rare or needs protection, poached from a vehicle, habitually or in a large extent, systematically or for profit. Customs consider particularly if it is systematically or to greater extent, particularly dangerous nature or serious violation of significant social interest.</i></p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>3.4.1b (previously 1.7.3a)</p>	<p>Does your country have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available <i>The Swedish Environmental Code, Miljöbalk (1998:808). Pay fines or 2 years imprisonment and if the crime is severe at least 6 months imprisonment up to 4 years imprisonment.</i></p> <p><i>Smuggling Act on Penalties for 2000:1225: Smuggling fines or imprisonment up to 6 years.</i></p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>3.4.1c (previously 1.7.3c)</p>	<p>Does your country have capacity to use forensic technology² to support the investigation of CITES offences?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: Caviar, Anguilla spp.</p> <p>If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to: <i>Many different species, e.g. Swedish Museum of Natural History in Stockholm and Ångström Laboratory in Uppsala.</i></p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>3.4.1d (previously)</p>	<p>Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

³ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

1.7.3d)	during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: <i>Operation Thunder, Interpol, 2021, 2022 and 2023 though mainly Eel as CITES species in relation to marine species, also it is noteworthy that the operation did not take place during peak eel season. Europol/OTF Nautinus and Op Lake. EMPACT activities. The operations involved for example capacity building among the CITES enforcement agencies, CITES MA and supervisory administrative agencies in Sweden.</i>	No information <input type="checkbox"/>																																								
3.4.1e (previously 1.7.3e)	Does your country have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization? <i>The Swedish Police Authority does not on a regular basis submit information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization but we normally do so regarding Europol.</i>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>																																								
3.4.1f (previously 1.7.3f)	Does your country have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="906 712 978 869"></th> <th data-bbox="986 712 1058 869">Yes</th> <th data-bbox="1066 712 1137 869">No</th> <th data-bbox="1145 712 1217 869">No information</th> <th data-bbox="1225 712 1447 869">If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 880 978 913">General crime¹</td> <td data-bbox="986 880 1058 913"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 880 1137 913"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 880 1217 913"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 880 1447 913"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 925 978 958">Predicate offences²</td> <td data-bbox="986 925 1058 958"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 925 1137 958"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 925 1217 958"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 925 1447 958"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 969 978 1003">Asset forfeiture³</td> <td data-bbox="986 969 1058 1003"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 969 1137 1003"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 969 1217 1003"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 969 1447 1003"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 1014 978 1048">Corruption⁴</td> <td data-bbox="986 1014 1058 1048"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 1014 1137 1048"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 1014 1217 1048"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 1014 1447 1048"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 1059 978 1093">International cooperation in criminal matters⁵</td> <td data-bbox="986 1059 1058 1093"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 1059 1137 1093"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 1059 1217 1093"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 1059 1447 1093"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 1104 978 1137">Organized crime⁶</td> <td data-bbox="986 1104 1058 1137"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 1104 1137 1137"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 1104 1217 1137"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 1104 1447 1137"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="906 1149 978 1182">Specialized investigation techniques⁷</td> <td data-bbox="986 1149 1058 1182"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1066 1149 1137 1182"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1145 1149 1217 1182"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1225 1149 1447 1182"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="276 1182 1447 1361">If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: <i>When investigations are carried out by the national investigation group at the Swedish Police Authority all above legislative provisions are in mind and is suggested to the prosecutor of the investigation if they are current in the investigation. The prosecutor will decide if it will be investigated further and used in the investigation.</i></p>		Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?	General crime ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Predicate offences ²	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Asset forfeiture ³	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Corruption ⁴	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		International cooperation in criminal matters ⁵	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Organized crime ⁶	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Specialized investigation techniques ⁷	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?																																						
General crime ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
Predicate offences ²	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
Asset forfeiture ³	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
Corruption ⁴	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
International cooperation in criminal matters ⁵	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
Organized crime ⁶	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
Specialized investigation techniques ⁷	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																							
3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g)	Does your country have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in the question above against CITES offences? If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>																																								

¹ General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

² Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

³ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁴ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁵ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

⁶ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

⁷ Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

Objective 3.5 Parties work collaboratively across range, transit and destination states, to address entire illegal trade chains, including through strategies to reduce both the supply of and demand for illegal products, in order for trade to be legal and sustainable.

[SDG Goals 15 & 17](#)

[GBF Targets 5, 16, 20 & 21](#)

Indicator 3.5.1: Number of seizures made through Parties collaboration across range, transit and destination States, to address entire illegal trade chains.

3.5.1	Have authorities in your country made seizures through Parties collaboration across range, transit and destination States, to address entire illegal trade chains?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', please indicate the number of seizures made: 1 , but another where SE informed another customs office (regarding whalemeat)	

Objective 3.6 Parties take measures to prohibit, prevent, detect and sanction corruption.
[SDG Goal 16](#)

Indicator 3.6.1: Number of Parties reporting in implementation reports of activities taken to address corruption.

3.6.1	Has your country undertaken activities to address corruption, in particular with regard to national agencies responsible for wildlife law enforcement and protected areas management?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	
		No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		No information <input type="checkbox"/>	
	If 'Yes', please elaborate on the types of activities taken: Sweden is committed to upholding transparency, accountability, and objectivity among the members of its authorities to prevent corruption or injustice. In 2022 Swedish Customs Officers all underwent an extensive security workshop which included antibribery and infiltration		

Objective 3.7 Investments in building capacity of CITES are prioritized, coordinated, and their success monitored to ensure stepwise improvement through time.
[SDG Goals 15 & 17](#)
[GBF Goal D & Target 20](#)

Indicator 3.7.1: Number of capacity-building activities delivered to Parties.
(Data source: See questions for indicator 2.2.3)

Indicator 3.7.2: Number of Parties who report improvements in their implementation following targeted capacity-building efforts.

3.7.2	Can you report improvements in the implementation of CITES in your country following targeted capacity-building efforts?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		No <input type="checkbox"/>	
		No capacity-building <input type="checkbox"/>	
	If 'No', please elaborate on the reasons why targeted capacity-building did not lead to improvements in your implementation:		

Indicator 3.7.3: Total investments into capacity-building efforts.
(Data source: Reports from capacity-building activities)

Objective 3.8 Parties take full advantage of emerging technological developments to improve the effective implementation and enforcement of the Convention.
[SDG Goal 17](#)
[GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21](#)

Indicator 3.8.1: Number of CITES Parties using the CITES Checklist API.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

GOAL 4 CITES POLICY DEVELOPMENT ALSO CONTRIBUTES TO AND LEARNS FROM INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Objective 4.1 Parties support sustainable wildlife trade policies, especially those that increase the capacity of Indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods.
[SDG Goals 8, 12, 14, 15 & 17](#)
[GBF Goals B & C & Targets 5 & 22](#)

Indicator 4.1.1: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have designed/implemented relevant sustainable wildlife management policies.

4.1.1	Has your country designed or implemented relevant sustainable wildlife management policies for CITES-listed species?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		No <input type="checkbox"/>	
		No information <input type="checkbox"/>	
	If 'Yes', please indicate the names of the species: See point 1.5.2		

	Taxon (scientific name)	Total number of CITES-listed species covered

Indicator 4.1.2: Percentage of Parties that co-developed or otherwise supported the capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods.

4.1.2	Has your country co-developed or otherwise supported the capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
		No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No information <input type="checkbox"/>

Objective 4.2 The importance of achieving CITES' aim as a contribution to achieving the relevant Sustainable Development Goals, as well as the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, is recognized.

[SDG Goals 12, 15 & 17](#)

[GBF Targets 4 & 5](#)

Indicator 4.2.1: Number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP), also included in the global and national Strategies for Plant Conservation under CBD programme.

4.2.1a (previously 3.4.2a)	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) or any revision of the NBSAP?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No <input type="checkbox"/>
		No information <input type="checkbox"/>
4.2.1b (previously 3.4.2b)	Has your country been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
		No <input type="checkbox"/>
		No information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Objective 4.3 Awareness of the role, purpose and achievements of CITES is increased globally.

[SDG Goals 12 & 17](#)

[GBF Targets 4, 5 & 21](#)

Indicator 4.3.1: Number of new, unique visits to the CITES website.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of site visits to the CITES website)

Indicator 4.3.2: Number of Parties with information on CITES and its requirements on their official websites.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of Management Authorities with a website)

Indicator 4.3.3: Number of followers on CITES social media platforms.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of followers of CITES and WWD on social media, i.e., Instagram, LinkedIn, Facebook, Youtube, Wechat and Weibo)

Indicator 4.3.4: Number of key identified hashtags (e.g. #cites, #citescop19 #worldwildlifeday, etc.) on CITES social media.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Indicator 4.3.5: Number of events submitted to the World Wildlife Day website.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Objective 4.4 CITES Parties are informed of international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES.

[SDG Goal 17](#)

[GBF Target 21](#)

- Indicator 4.4.1: Number of meetings/CoP where representatives of other international bodies report on relevant activities to CITES Parties.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 4.4.2: Events, documents and presentations, etc. delivered by other intergovernmental bodies and fora in meetings convened by the CITES Secretariat.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 4.4.3: Number of Notifications to the Parties issued by the CITES Secretariat relating to international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

GOAL 5 DELIVERY OF THE CITES STRATEGIC VISION IS IMPROVED THROUGH COLLABORATION

Objective 5.1 Parties and the Secretariat support and enhance existing cooperative partnerships in order to achieve their identified objectives.
SDG Goal 17
GBF Goal D & Target 20

Indicator 5.1.1: Number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

5.1.1 (previously 3.3.1a)	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)¹ to which your country is party?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes', please give a brief description:			

Indicator 5.1.2: Number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

5.1.2 (previously 3.3.3a)	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Non-governmental organizations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sweden, through the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, contributed approx. 70,000 USD to TRAFFIC and IUCN for their technical review of the proposals to amend the

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITES appendices, ahead of CoP19. ----- Sweden, through the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, contributed 170,000 USD to implement Decision 18.256 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Songbird trade and conservation management (Passeriniiformes spp.)</i>
--	-------------------------------------	---

Indicator 5.1.3: Number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

5.1.3 (previously 3.5.1a)	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------	---	--

Indicator 5.1.4: Number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

5.1.4 (previously 3.5.2a)	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Scientific Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Enforcement Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Indicator 5.1.5: Number of implemented cooperation agreements between the Secretariat and Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAS), including the Biodiversity Liaison Group (BLG) and other biodiversity-related Conventions.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Objective 5.2 Parties encourage the formation of new, innovative and mutually sustainable alliances between CITES and relevant international partners, where appropriate to advance CITES' objective and mainstream conservation and of sustainable use of biodiversity.

[SDG Goal 17](#)
[GBF Goal D & Target 20](#)

Indicator 5.2.1: Number of alliances between CITES and relevant international partners to advance CITES objective and mainstream conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Objective 5.3 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support activities that contribute to CITES implementation and enforcement.
SDG Goals 15 & 17
GBF Goal D

Indicator 5.3.1: Number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

5.3.1a (previously 3.1.1a)	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Not applicable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes', please provide brief details:			
5.3.1b (previously 3.1.1a)	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Remained stable	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Decreased	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 5.3.2: Number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

5.3.2 (previously 3.1.2a)	Has your country provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
								No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
							No information	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided									
Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management ²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

**Questions that are not directly linked to the CITES Strategic Vision indicators
but provide useful information about the implementation of the Convention**

COOPERATION AND SYNERGIES

C1 (previously 1.6.1a)	<p>Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:</p> <p><i>EU, CMS, ICCATT, IWC</i></p>
------------------------------	--

C2a (previously 3.3.2a)	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?	0	
C2b (previously 3.3.2b)	In addition to C2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?	0	
C2c (previously 3.3.2c)	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Agencies for trade?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Local authorities or communities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Indigenous or local peoples?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Trade or other private sector associations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	NGOs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C2d (previously 3.3.2d)	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Planning processes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	National accounting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ENFORCEMENT

E1 (previously 1.7.1a)	Does your <u>country</u> have, <u>is your country</u> engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details:			
	Sweden follows the EU Action Plan.			
	Nationally, Sweden has an operative group that meet twice a year, with all authorities involved in			

CITES enforcement chaired by the CITES MA.
 Enforcement authorities cooperate through Europol, Interpol, Empact, EnviCrimeNet, EU-CITES Enforcement Group, WCO, EU-TWIX, Police and Customs in the Nordic Region (PTN) – intelligence information.
 The county administrative boards actively cooperate with each other, mainly through the "Miljösamverkan "Sweden, where there is digital cooperation with a common database, common templates for cases and supervision, compilation of regulations, discussion forums, etc

E2a (previously 1.7.2a)	Does your country have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)? If 'Yes', what do you do? <i>Risk based enforcement</i> If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do you find of value?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but review is under consideration <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
E2b (previously 1.7.2b)	Has your country used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools? If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit or equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made: If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent tools useful to you:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No, but toolkit use is under consideration <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>

E3a (previously 1.7.4a)	Does your country use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always <input type="checkbox"/> Very often <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sometimes <input type="checkbox"/> Rarely <input type="checkbox"/> Never <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
E3b (previously 1.7.4b)	Does your country have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species? <i>The Swedish Police Authority report no.</i>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
E3c (previously 1.7.4c)	Does your country use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species? <i>The Swedish Police Authority: until 2022 Always but 2023 and forward Never.</i>	Always <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very often <input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes <input type="checkbox"/> Rarely <input type="checkbox"/> Never <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

E3d (previously 1.7.4d)	Has your country implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No, but activities are under development <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No information <input type="checkbox"/>
E3e (previously 1.7.4e)	Has your country implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No, but activities are under development <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No information <input type="checkbox"/>

During the period covered in this report:		Yes	No	No Information
E4a (previously 1.7.5a)	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences? If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: 7 penalties, and 2 district court rulings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E4b (previously 1.7.5b)	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences? If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach details:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E4c (previously 1.7.5c)	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences? If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the results? Please attach details:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E4d (previously 1.7.5d)	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of? Tick all that apply			
	– Return to country of export			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Public zoos or botanical gardens			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Designated rescue centres			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Approved private facilities			<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Euthanasia			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Other (please specify): Placement at the Swedish Museum of <i>Natural History to be used for research and/or education, destruction.</i>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? <i>Live plants and animals pose risks due to disease control and welfare regulations, not to mention costs and facilities.</i>			
	<i>Our Customs office report one temporary placement of a live animal at a designated rescue centre before it was euthanised.</i>			
	<i>6 cases of return to country of export.</i>			
	<i>The Swedish Coast Guards report one case where eels were returned to the sea after confiscation of trapping equipment.</i>			

Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?

RESOURCES

<p>R1a (previously 2.2.1a)</p>	<p>Does your country have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question R1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?</p> <p>If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards²? If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?</p> <p>Does your country publish your performance against service standard targets?</p> <p>If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:</p> <p>If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – availability of funding? – number of staff? – a shortage of skills? <p>If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of?</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Cases must be decided within 30 days when they are complete, according to EU law. In addition, under the Swedish Administrative Procedure Act, the applicant has the right to request the authorities to decide the case after six months of processing.</p> <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>We aim to resolve all cases within 30 days on average, regardless of whether they are complete or not.</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>In the current interim follow-up of the cases we have decided, we have an average processing time of 30 days.</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>R1b (previously 2.2.1b)</p>	<p>Does your country have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question R1d.</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	<p>If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?</p> <p>If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards⁴⁸?</p> <p>If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?</p> <p>If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:</p> <p>If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – availability of funding? – number of staff? – a shortage of skills? <p>If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of?</p>	<p><i>The SA is to provide opinions to the MA on request within 14 days. More complex cases may require longer assessment. The SA is responsible to prepare for and participate at relevant CITES meetings, including the internal EU-meetings for the Scientific Review Group.</i></p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>								
<p>R1c (previously 2.2.1c)</p>	<p>Does your country have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?</p> <p>If 'No', please go to Question R1d.</p> <p>If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?</p> <p>If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards⁴⁸?</p> <p>If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?</p> <p>If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:</p> <p>If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – availability of funding? – number of staff? – a shortage of skills? <p>If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>								
<p>R1d (previously 2.2.1d)</p>	<p>Please only complete this question if you answered 'No' to the first part of question R1a, R1b, or R1c, relating to the existence of approved service standards for your authorities:</p> <p>Does your country have sufficient of the following for your authorities to function effectively?</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 25%;"></th> <th style="width: 25%;">Management Authority(ies)</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Scientific Authority(ies)</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Enforcement Authority(ies)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Funding?</td> <td>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcement Authority(ies)	Funding?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcement Authority(ies)							
Funding?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>							

Staff?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Skills?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

R2a (previously 2.2.2a)	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level?				Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Development of implementation tools				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement				<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please specify):					
R2b (previously 2.2.2b)	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased	
	Management Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Scientific Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Enforcement authorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
R2c (previously 2.2.2c)	Has your country been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable	
	Management Authority(ies)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Enforcement authorities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
R2d (previously 2.2.2d)	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?				
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Development of implementation tools	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e-permitting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Other (please specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
R2e (previously 2.2.2e)	Does your country have an operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing	Yes	Under development	No	
	Species information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Trade information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Non-detriment findings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

R3a (previously 2.2.3a)	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:			Tick all that are applicable
	– Administrative procedures			<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)			<input type="checkbox"/>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species <input type="checkbox"/> – Harvesting of CITES-listed species <input type="checkbox"/> – Use of CITES-listed species <input type="checkbox"/> – Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species <input type="checkbox"/> – Other (please specify): <input type="checkbox"/>
R3b (previously 2.2.3b)	<p>Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: On CITES MA website Allmänt om hotade djur och produkter av hotade djur - CITES - Jordbruksverket.se</p>

R3c (previously 2.2.3c)	Has your country used revenues from fees for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation? <table style="width: 100%; text-align: right;"> <tr> <td>Entirely</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Partly</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not at all</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not relevant</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Entirely	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Partly	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not at all	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not relevant	<input type="checkbox"/>													
Entirely	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																					
Partly	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Not at all	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Not relevant	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)	<table style="width: 100%; text-align: right;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees?</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">If 'Yes', please provide brief details:</td> </tr> </table>		Yes	No	Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
	Yes	No																				
Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																				
Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																				
Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																				
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:																						
Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																				
If 'Yes', please provide brief details:																						

R4a (previously 2.2.4a)	Does your country use incentive measures ¹ such as those described in document CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? <table style="width: 100%; text-align: right;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Due diligence</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Compensatory mechanisms</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Certification</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Communal property rights</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Auctioning of quotas</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost recovery or environmental charges</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enforcement incentives</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <p>If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your country uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:</p>		Yes	No	Due diligence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Compensatory mechanisms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Communal property rights	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Auctioning of quotas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cost recovery or environmental charges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Enforcement incentives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No																							
Due diligence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Compensatory mechanisms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Communal property rights	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Auctioning of quotas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Cost recovery or environmental charges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Enforcement incentives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
R4b (previously 2.2.4b)	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? <table style="width: 100%; text-align: right;"> <tr> <td>Not at all</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Very little</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Somewhat</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Completely</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Not at all	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very little	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Completely	<input type="checkbox"/>																
Not at all	<input type="checkbox"/>																								
Very little	<input type="checkbox"/>																								
Somewhat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																								
Completely	<input type="checkbox"/>																								

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and fauna and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

AWARENESS

(previously 3.2.1a)	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	– Press conferences	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Press releases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Television appearances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Radio appearances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Presentations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Public consultations / meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Market surveys	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Displays	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Information at border crossing points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Telephone hotline	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) www.jordbruksverket.se www.naturvardsverket.se www.havochvatten.se	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: <i>The CITES MA (Swedish Board of Agriculture) regularly write press releases, as well as appear in newspapers and occasional TV news regarding confiscations or species protection issues. They also print information packets for reptile conventions and participate in social media campaigns to raise awareness.</i>		
	<i>The Swedish Customs Authority have appereaded in a Swedish TV show called "Border Controls" where they regularly inform members of the public of restrictions when traveling with plants or animals, or derivates.</i> https://sv.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gr%C3%A4nsbevakarna_Sverige och <i>They have also created specific informative websites and platforms for further awareness.</i> https://www.tullverket.se/privat/genomtullen/varormedsarskil_daregler/utrotningshotadedjurochvaxter.4.7df61c5915510cfe9e7109bf.html?toggleAccordionText=cites		
	https://www.tullverket.se/foretag/internationellhandel/importeravaror/varormedimportrestriktioner/utrotningshotadedjurochvaxter.4.6ac2c843157b7beb007652.html?toggleAccordionText=cites		
	https://www.tullverket.se/privat/paresan/tamedparesan/resameddjur.4.7df61c5915510cfe9e7107bc.html?toggleAccordionText=hotade+arter		

--	--

A2a (previously 3.2.2a)	How regularly do your country's Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).					
	Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Staff of Scientific Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Staff of enforcement authorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2b (previously 3.2.2b)	What has been your experience with using the CITES website?				<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor <input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor <input type="checkbox"/> No information	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):					

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Web link(s)	Not available	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Previously provided	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc:		
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required.		
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

Collection of information pursuant to *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006*

Standard template for collection of information pursuant to Article 69(5) of *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006*

Beyond the communication of information referred to in Article 15(4)(c) of *Council Regulation (EC) No 338/97*¹ with regards the CITES Implementation Report², Article 69(5) of *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006*³ requires the EU Member States to report on additional aspects, as stipulated in points (a) to (f):

- (a) persons and bodies registered in accordance with Articles 18 and 19;
- (b) scientific institutions registered in accordance with Article 60;
- (c) breeders approved in accordance with Article 63;
- (d) caviar (re-)packaging plants licensed in accordance with Article 66(7);
- (e) the use of phytosanitary certificates in accordance with Article 17;
- (f) cases where export permits and re-export certificates were issued retrospectively in accordance with Article 15.

These points are reflected in the standard template below, with the exception of the reporting requirement under Article 69(5)(d) concerning caviar (re-)packaging plants. This omission is due to such reporting requirement being covered by the notification pursuant to Article 66(7) of the Commission Regulation, which states that lists of processing and (re-)packaging plant facilities (including caviar producing aquaculture operations) licensed by the Management Authority of a Member State, and any changes thereto, shall be notified to the Secretariat of the Convention and to the Commission.

Article 69(6) of the *Commission Regulation No 865/2006* states that the above information shall be submitted in a computerised form together with the CITES Implementation Report. The present document provides the Member State Management Authorities with a standard template to fulfil these reporting obligations and allows the European Commission to gather Union-wide standardised information on the reporting aspects that fall outside the scope of CITES reporting requirements. This information complements that submitted in the EU Member State CITES Implementation Reports.

The deadline for submission of this information by the Member States, together with their Implementation Reports, is one year ahead of each meeting of the CITES Conference of the Parties and shall correspond to the three-year period ending on 31 December of the previous year. Taking into account the draft amendments to *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006* discussed at the 98th Meeting of the Committee On Trade in Wild Fauna And Flora, which states that Article 69 of *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006* should be amended in a way that all intra-EU deadlines for Member State report submissions are set for 15 June so that the Commission can fulfil its reporting duty to the Secretariat by 31 October of the respective year.

¹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A31997R0338>

² https://cites.org/eng/resources/reports/implementation_report

³ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32006R0865>

**Reporting on the application of permitting and registration procedures
(1 January 2021 to 31 December 2023)**

<p>1</p>	<p>Have persons and bodies been registered in accordance with Article 18 (simplified procedures with regard to certain trade in biological samples) and Article 19 (simplified procedures with regard to export or re-export of dead specimens) of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</i>? <i>If yes, please specify details below.</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 80px; width: 100%;"></div>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information available <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>2</p>	<p>Have scientific institutions been registered in accordance with Article 60 of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</i>? <i>If yes, please specify details below.</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 80px; width: 100%;"></div>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information available <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>3</p>	<p>Have breeders been approved in accordance with Article 63 of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</i>? <i>If yes, please specify details below.</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 80px; width: 100%;"></div>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information available <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Are phytosanitary certificates used in accordance with Article 17 of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</i>? <i>If yes, please specify details.</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 80px; width: 100%;"></div>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information available <input type="checkbox"/></p>

5	<p>Have cases occurred where export permits and re-export certificates were issued retrospectively in accordance with Article 15 of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</i>?</p> <p><i>If yes, please specify details below.</i></p> <div data-bbox="247 336 1125 784" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 200px; width: 550px;"></div>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No information available <input type="checkbox"/>
----------	---	---

		fauna and flora		fauna and flora														
		Organised group*																
Hungary	Government Decree No. 292/2008 (XII. 10.) on the specific rules of the enforcement of international and European Community legal acts regulating the international trade in endangered species of wild fauna and flora	Individuals							Negligence					Yes	Environmental, Conservation and Water Management Inspectorates and Nature Protection Guards (seizure; confirmation by court necessary for confiscation), Customs	Yes, if the species is nationally protected, the amount of the fine is based on the "conservation value" of the species - which is determined by a ministerial decree - and must be imposed per specimen; Additional claims for storage transport etc. of confiscated specimens possible	Fines per specimen; The fine may be imposed repeatedly in case of non-payment; In the case of specimens that are subject to the duty of registration, and by each 250 grams started in the case of caviar, caviar containing products, ivory or rhinoceros horn, and by each cubic metre in the case of timber. (i) For specimens listed in Annex A and B	
	Criminal Code	Individuals							Negligence	2 years				NA	NA	Yes, the fines are higher in case of high value commodities and specimens that are subject to the duty of registration	Illegal purchase, possession, sale, import, (re-)export, transport through the territory of Hungary, trade in or killing of specimens of species listed in Annexes A and B	
Ireland	Summary Convictions* Wildlife Acts 1976 to 2012, Section 7 of Wildlife (Amendment) Act 2010, Section 53A	Individuals	(i)		100 000 (ii)		(i)	100 000 (ii)	Negligence	6 months				Yes, forfeiture	Customs, Garda Síochána (Irish Police) and other authorized persons (seizure), "appropriate" courts (forfeiture)	No	(i) Class A fine (ii) Conviction on indictment On summary conviction; at the discretion of the Judge the person shall be liable to fine not exceeding EUR 5000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months or to both; also discretionary forfeiture of equipment, including vehicles.	
	Convictions on Indictment* Wildlife Acts 1976 to 2012, Section 7 of Wildlife (Amendment) Act 2010, Section 53A	Individuals	(i)		100 000 (ii)		(i)	100 000 (ii)	Negligence	6 months				Yes, forfeiture	Customs, Garda Síochána (Irish Police) and other authorized persons (seizure), "appropriate" courts (forfeiture)	No	(i) Class A fine (ii) Conviction on indictment On conviction on indictment; at the discretion of the Judge the person shall be liable to fine not exceeding EUR 100 000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years or to both; also discretionary forfeiture of equipment, including vehicles.	
Italy	Law 15092 (for Annex A specimens) Penal prosecution	Individuals	(i)	(i)	15 000	150 000	NA	N/A	15 000	150 000	Negligence	6 months	2 years	Yes	Police authorities, such as Arma dei Carabinieri and Guardia di Finanza	No	(i) Financial penalty for personal or household goods from EUR 6000 to EUR 30 000	
	Law 15092 (for Annex B and C specimens) Penal prosecution	Individuals	(i)	(i)	20 000	200 000	NA	N/A	20 000	200 000	Negligence	6 months	1 year	Yes	Police authorities, such as Arma dei Carabinieri and Guardia di Finanza	No	(i) Financial penalty for personal or household goods from EUR 3000 to EUR 15 000	
Latvia	Criminal Law (1998), amendment Article 115 (2012)	Individuals							No criminal sanctions provided for legal persons*	No criminal sanctions provided for legal persons*	Negligence			Yes	NA	NA	Offender can be punished also by community services. *Where the criminal offence has been done for the benefit and interests of a legal person, coercive measures may be imposed on the legal person, including "monetary levies", which is a fine of not less than 10 times and not more than 100 000 times the minimum monthly wage (based on 2013 level, range from 2845 to 28 457 000)	
	Law on the Conservation of Species and Biotopes (2000), amendment Article 29 and Article 30 (01.07.2020.)	Individuals	70	700	2 years in prison	140	7000	2 years in prison	Negligence			No		Yes	NR	Yes	All illegally acquired specimens confiscated If poaching was deliberate, the offender must reimburse government up to 120 minimum wage (currently up to EUR 51 000).	
Lithuania	Administrative Code (2015), (Articles 284, 285, 303) specifying penalties relating to Acts on the Ratification of CITES No. IX-337, supplemented by various other laws	Individuals	30	600 (i)					Negligence					Yes, confiscation	Customs (seizure) Environmental Protection Department	No*	(i) Up to EUR 580 for illegally traded flora; up to EUR 600 for illegally traded fauna *According to the Criminal code and the Administrative code there is only a maximum limit of fines or penalties, in every case a decision on the size of fine is taken with respect to circumstances. For protected species fines are higher.	
	Criminal Code	Individuals							Negligence					Yes	NA	No*	In paragraph 1 (272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and penalty for maximum of 2 years of imprisonment for poaching when this action caused big damage to the species. In paragraph 2 (272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and penalty for maximum of 3 years of imprisonment for illegal use of strictly protected fauna species when this action did not caused big damage to the conservation status of this species. In paragraph 3 (272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and penalty for maximum of 4 years of imprisonment for illegal use of large quantity of protected animal species and if this action caused big damage to the conservation status of this species or this illegal action is committed with commercial purpose. In paragraph 1 (274), the Criminal Code sets a fine or penalty for maximum of 3 years of imprisonment for illegal use of large quantity of protected plant species and when this action caused big damage to the conservation status of this species or this illegal action is committed with commercial purpose. *According to the Criminal code and the Administrative code there is only a maximum limit of fines, in every case a decision on the size of fine is taken with respect to circumstances. For protected species fines are higher.	
Luxembourg	Article 6 de la loi du 9 juillet 2018 concernant certaines modalités d'application et les sanctions du règlement	Individuals			50,000	500,000	50,000	500,000	Negligence	8 days	6 months			Yes, confiscation	Police, Customs, veterinary and agricultural authorities (followed by confirmation by judge)	NA		

	(CE) 338/97 du Conseil du 9 décembre 1996 relatif à la protection des espèces de faune et de flore sauvages par le contrôle de leur commerce	Organised group ^a																		
Malta	Trade in Species of Fauna and Flora Regulations (S.L.549.38)	Individuals	466	4 659		466	4 659		Negligence	1 month	2 years			Yes	Seizure: CITES Enforcement Authority, Customs, Police Confiscation: Court of law	Yes ^a	* Penalties from Customs are worked out on the estimated market value of the specimen. However within the parameters of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 as implemented locally through the Trade in Species of Fauna and Flora Regulations (S.L.549.38), penalties are at the discretion of the presiding magistrate.			
		Organised group ^a	466	4 659		466	4 659		Intent	1 month	2 years									
The Netherlands	Crimes Against the Environment Act. (Chapter 522 of the Laws of Malta; Act XI of The Nature Conservation Act (Wet natuurbescherming) and underlying regulations; Nature Conservation Decree (Besluit natuurbescherming); Nature Conservation Regulation (Regeling natuurbescherming)	Individuals	12 000 (i)	1 000		12 000 (i)	1 000		Negligence		6 months									(i) Fines imposed when serious damage is caused to the environment
		Organised group ^a	12 000 (i)	2 500 000 (i)		12 000 (i)	2 500 000 (i)		Intent	8 months	7 years									
Poland	(Minor) offences: Nature Conservation Act (2004) Articles 127-131, supplemented by Regulation of the Minister of the Environment on Protected Indigenous Animals (2004), Animal Protection Act (1997), Act on Penal Liability of the Collective Persons (2002) and Penal Code (1997). Offences described in art. 127 and 131	Individuals		90 000(i)			90 000		Offence		1 year			Yes, confiscation	Ministry for Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality	Yes	(i) Community service or a fine Closing down corporate bodies, publish court decisions in media, prohibit a penalised individual to trade in live animals or keep live animals as pets; disfranchising; confiscation. In addition to seizure of the specimens, all associated costs are passed on to the offender, but usually not more than the value of the seized specimens. Pursuant to the Penal Code, legal persons can be subject to higher fines, i.e. one category higher than for natural persons. Currently, these levels of the six categories vary from 300 (Category 1) to 780 000 (Category 6).			
		Organised group ^a							Intent		6 years									
Poland	Crimes: Nature Conservation Act (2004) Articles 127-131, supplemented by Regulation of the Minister of the Environment on Protected Indigenous Animals (2004), Animal Protection Act (1997), Act on Penal Liability of the Collective Persons (2002) and Penal Code (1997). Crimes described in art. 128	Individuals							Negligence		Up to 2 years			Yes, seizure	Customs and Police (seizure, court confirmation required for confiscation)	Yes	Offender can be ordered to also pay costs for return to country of origin; additional compensation payment towards conservation also possible.			
		Organised group ^a							Intent		3 months	5 years								
Portugal	Law Decree 121/2017, September 20, Article 32/1, Very serious environmental offense	Individuals (Just negligence); Individuals (with deceit)	10000	100000	* Define by court	24000	114000	* Define by court	Negligence		Up to 5 years (define by court and if only related with environment wildlife crime)		Decided by court only	Yes	Seizure by Management Authority, Customs, environment police, judiciary police and other police, Security and Food Authority, Confiscation by the Management Authority.	Yes (sometimes, define by Process instructor)	Penalties can be built for very serious offences			
		Organised group ^a							Intent											
		Individuals (Just negligence); Individuals (with deceit)	2000	20000	* Define by court	12000	72000	* Define by court	Negligence		No			Decided by court only	Yes	Seizure by Management Authority, Customs, environment police, judiciary police and other police, Security and Food Authority, Confiscation by the Management Authority.	Yes (sometimes, define by Process instructor)	Penalties for serious offences		
		Organised group ^a							Intent											
Portugal	Decree-Law 121/2017, September 20, Article 32/2, Serious environmental offense	Individuals (Just negligence); Individuals (with deceit)	200	2000	* Define by court	2000	18000	* Define by court	Negligence		No		Decided by court only	Yes	Seizure by Management Authority, Customs, environment police, judiciary police and other police, Security and Food Authority, Confiscation by the Management Authority.	Yes (sometimes, define by Process instructor)	Penalties for minor offences			
		Organised group ^a							Intent											
Portugal	Penal Code Law (Law 56/2011 of 03.08.2015), Article 278	Individuals							Negligence				Defined by court only	Yes	Confiscation by a Court decision	Yes (sometimes, defined by court)	(i) Up to 1 year for illegal possession; up to 2 years for illegal trade; up to 5 years for illegal killing and capture			
		Organised group ^a							Intent		5 years (i)									
Romania	Governmental Ordinance No. 57/2007 regarding protected areas, conservation of natural habitats and wild flora and fauna (art. 53 (2) i)	Individuals	1050 (RON 500)	2100 (RON 10 000)		5200 (RON 2500)	10 500 (RON 50 000)		Negligence		No			Yes	Customs in cooperation with National Environmental Guard	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 laid down in the Article 16 f), g) and h)			
		Organised group ^a							Intent											

	Governmental Ordinance No. 57/2007 regarding protected areas, conservation of natural habitats and wild flora and fauna (art. 53 (3) k)	Individuals	1500 (RON 7500)	3150 (RON 1500)		10 500 (RON 50 000)	21 000 (RON 100 000)		Negligence	No		Yes	Customs in cooperation with National Environmental Guard	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 laid down in the Article 16 a), b), e), i), j) and k)
		Organised group ^a							Intent						
	Penal Code	Individuals							Negligence			Yes	Customs in cooperation with National Environmental Guard, Police	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 laid down in the Article 16 c) and d)
		Organised group ^a							Intent						
Slovakia	Act No. 15/2005 on the Protection of Species of Wild Fauna and Flora by Regulating Trade Therein as amended (Art. 22 - 25)	Individuals	0	19 916		80	66 000		Negligence	No	No	Yes	Environmental Inspectorate	No	
		Organised group ^a							Intent						
	Act No. 199/2004 on Customs Law as amended (Art. 70 - 84)	Individuals	0	3 319 *		0	99 582 *		Negligence			Yes	Customs	Yes	*According to Act No 199/2004 only administrative offences are punished (not criminal offences)
		Organised group ^a							Intent						
	Criminal Code No. 300/2005 as amended (Art. 56, 305), Act No. 91/2016 on criminal	Individuals	**	**	160	331 930	**	**	1 500	1 600 000	No	Yes	Police (court confirmation required for confiscation or forfeiture)	Yes	**According to the Criminal Code, only criminal offences are punished (not administrative offences)
		Organised group ^a							6 months	8 years					
		Individuals							6 months	8 years					
		Organised group ^a							3 years	8 years					
Slovenia	Nature Conservation Act Uradni list RS, št. 96/04 – uradno prečiščeno besedilo, 61/06 – ZDna-1, 8/10 – ZSKZ-B, 46/14, 21/18 – ZNOrg, 31/18, 82/20 in 3/22 – ZDeb, Art. 160, 161	Individuals	100	300	100	300	200	10 000	200	10 000		Yes	Customs and inspectorate have authority to seizures. Confiscations are under authority of court.	Yes	Also seizure of equipment. A fine from 400 euros to 1000 euros shall also be imposed on the responsible person of a legal person. A fine from EUR 1000 to EUR 4000 can be imposed on an individual entrepreneur.
		Organised group ^a													
	Decree on the Rules of Conduct and Protection Measures in the Trade in Animal and Plant Species, (U. l. RS, No. 39/2008, 106/10, 78/12 in 58/17) Art. 45	Individuals	85 (630)	580 (16690)		2600	33300		Negligence	NA		Yes	Customs and inspectorate have authority to seizures.	NA	A fine from 170 euros to 1660 euros shall also be imposed on the responsible person of a legal person. A fine from EUR 630 to EUR 16690 can be imposed on an individual entrepreneur.
		Organised group ^a							Intent						
	Act implementing the Customs regulations of the European Community (Uradni list RS, št. 32/16)	Individuals	300	1200		2000	125000		Negligence	No		Yes	Customs authorities	NA	A fine from 400 euros to 4000 euros shall also be imposed on the responsible person of a legal person. A fine from EUR 1000 to EUR 75000 can be imposed on an individual entrepreneur.
		Organised group ^a							Intent						
	Criminal Code (U. l. RS, No. 55/2008, last updated in 2012 (U. l. RS, No. 50/2012) (Uradni list RS, št. 50/12 – uradno prečiščeno besedilo, 6/16 popr., 54/15, 38/16, 27/17, 23/20, 91/20, 95/21 in 186/21)	Individuals							Negligence			Yes	Police	NA	Fines are higher for individual traders or the responsible legal person. According to Article 344 of the Criminal Code, (1) Whoever illegally possesses, takes away, damages, kills, exports, imports or trades in protected wild animal and plant species or their parts or products made from them, shall be punished by imprisonment for up to three years. (2) If the thing referred to in the previous paragraph is of great or exceptional nature conservation importance, or if the act referred to in the previous paragraph is committed in a criminal organisation to carry out such acts, the perpetrator shall be punished with imprisonment from six months to five years.
		Organised group ^a							Intent		3 years				
		Individuals							6 months	5 years					
Spain	Organic Law 10/1995 of 23 November 1995 on Criminal Code, Arts. 332 and 334 and 339	Individuals	4 months (i) (Negligence)	8 months (i) (Negligence)					Negligence	3 months	1 year	Yes, it is possible	Judicial Authority (permanent confiscation), Police Agencies (preventive seizure)	Conservation value is taken into consideration in the penalty	Fines based on day rates. (i) In addition, disqualification from profession or trade for a period of 3 months to 2 years. (ii) In addition, disqualification from profession or trade for a period of 6 years to 2 years (Art. 332), or 2 years to 4 years (Art. 334) (iii) Disqualification from profession or trade for a period of 3 months to two years. In every case, the environmental harm must be repaired by the author of the infraction, by the adoption of the needed measures ruled by the Court to restore the disturbed biological balance (Art. 339 Criminal Code).
		Organised group ^a							Intent	6 months (iii)	2 years				
	Law 34/2015 of 21 September that modifies the Organic Law 12/1995 of 12 December 1995 to deter smuggling, Organic Law 12/1995.	Individuals			Double to four times the value of the goods, merchandise, goods or effects (i)			6 times the value of the goods, merchandise, goods or effects	Negligence			Yes	Judicial Authority (permanent confiscation) Customs, Police Agencies (preventive seizure)	Penalties take into account the market and the conservation value of the species (they depend on the case)	(1) In addition, suspension for 6 months and 2 years of import, export or trade activities in the category of goods, merchandise, goods or effects.
		Organised group ^a							Intent	1 year	5 years				
	Royal Decree 1649/1998 of 24 July 1998, that develops the Organic Law 12/1995 concerning administrative infractions	Individuals	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Yes	Customs (Dpto. De Aduanas e IIEE) (permanent confiscation), Customs Vigilance Service, Police Agencies and others collaborator relevant Authorities (preventive seizure)	Penalties take into account the market and the conservation value of the species	For the amount of the sanction see the line above related to the Law 34/2015
		Organised group ^a							Intent	No					

		Organised group ^a																	
	Law 42/2007, of 13 of December, of Natural Heritage and Biodiversity (Title VI. Offences and penalties)	Individuals	3001	2000000		3001	2000000						Yes	Judicial Authority (permanent confiscation) Customs, Police Agencies (preventive seizure)	Penalties take into account the market and the conservation value of the species				
		Organised group ^a																	
Sweden	Environmental Code, chapter 29, 29 §, detailing sanctions regarding Regulations on Trade and other Activities Exemplary of Wild Living Species or Animals or Plants Needing Protection	Individuals	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	ca 470 EUR	ca 915.000 EUR	Negligence	6 months	4 years		Yes	Police, public prosecutors, customs	Yes	(i) Day fines or 2 years imprisonment Violations of the CITES regulations or the environmental act may result in penalties or up to two years prison. In severe cases 6 months prison up to four years prison are foreseen.		
		Organised group ^a								Intent		6 years							
	Act of Penalties in Connection with Smuggling, paragraph 3, 5, 7 and 14	Individuals								Negligence		2 years		Yes	Customs	NA	Maximum sentence up to 6 years imprisonment in case of severe smuggling; fines for lesser offences given as day fines (30-150); also confiscation of gains possible. Attempt, preparation and conspiracy are criminalised.		
		Organised group ^a								Intent	6 months	6 years							