#### Implementation report

#### The Macao Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

Parties are strongly encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form and to answer at a minimum all questions in bold. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

Party	Macao SAR, People's Republic of China					
Period covered in this report	2021 to 2023					
Department or agency preparing this report	Economic and Technological Development Bureau					
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	<ol> <li>Economic and Technological Development Bureau</li> <li>The Municipal Affairs Bureau (replied to items 1.5.1a, 1.5.1b, 1.5.2, 2.1.1a, 2.1.1b, 2.1.1c, 2.2.1a, 2.2.1e, 2.5.1a, 2.5.2, 4.1.1 and 4.2.1a, and items 5.1.4, R1b, R1d, R2b, R2c, A2a and 3.2.1a., with questions addressed to the Scientific Authority.)</li> </ol>					

# GOAL 1 TRADE IN CITES-LISTED SPECIES IS CONDUCTED IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONVENTION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THEIR CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through the adoption and implementation of appropriate legislation, policies, and procedures.

SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15

GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10

Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Parties that are in category 1 under the regional legislation project. (Data source: Regional Legislation Project)

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?  Yes No In the Secretariat of the Secreta
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your Regional law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?Yes No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

- Indicator 1.1.2: Number of Parties subject to CITES recommendations to suspend trade.

  (Data source: Notifications to the Parties and reference list of regions subject to a recommendation to suspend trade)
- Objective 1.2 Parties have established CITES Management and Scientific Authorities and enforcement focal points that effectively carry out the duties required of them under the Convention and relevant Resolutions.

Indicator 1.2.1:	icator 1.2.1: Number of Parties that have designated at least one Management Authority, independence Scientific Authority and enforcement focal points in place.  (Data source: CITES online directory)					
Objective 1.3	Implementation of the Convention at the regional level Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9, 10 & 15	el is cons	istent wit	h Resolutions and		
Indicator 1.3.1:	Number of Parties that have implemented relevant Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Stand					
during of the issued require	our region responded to all relevant special reporting the period covered in this report, including those in Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recol by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES ements are listed])?	the Resommendat Swebsite	olutions a	and Decisions d Notifications		
Re Re	sponses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirer sponses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting sponses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting special reporting requirements applicable	requiren	· <del></del>			
1.3.1b Were a implem	any difficulties encountered during the period covered in the nenting specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Parties?		ce	Yes ☐ No ⊠		
difficult	, please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decisionies are being encountered?	n(s), and,	for each,	what		
Objective 1.4	The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation status SDG Goal 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4 & 5	s and nee	ds of spe	cies.		
Indicator 1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species listed in Append criteria for each Appendix contained in Resolution Contast part of the Periodic Review process or of amendment	f. 9.24 (Re	ev. CoP17			
Objective 1.5	Parties improve the conservation status of CITES-list conservation actions, support their sustainable use at shared wildlife resources.  SDG Goals 2, 12, 14 & 15  GBF Goals A & B & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10					
Indicator 1.5.1:	The conservation status of species listed on the Cimproved. (Data source: IUCN Red List conservations status cate)		pendices	has stabilized o		
(previously 3.4.1a) conse	your region have data which shows that the ervation status of naturally occurring species in region listed on the CITES Appendices has					
stabil	ized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable		
	Appendix I			$\boxtimes$		
	Appendix II					
	Appendix III			$\boxtimes$		
If there	e are such studies that you are willing to share, please p	rovide:				

1.5.1b (previously 3.4.1b)	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	Yes No No information	$A \square \square$
	If 'Yes', please provide details:		_

Indicator 1.5.2: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have put in place actions that support sustainable use.

<b>1.5.2</b> (previously 1.6.2a)		v cooperative management plans, including recovery plans of CITES-listed species?  Yes	ns, in ∐ No ⊠
	If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each	es for which these plans are in place and provide a link or refesspecies.	erence
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan	

### GOAL 2 PARTIES' DECISIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE AND INFORMATION

Objective 2.1 Parties' non-detriment findings are based on best available scientific information and their determination of legal acquisition is based on the best available technical and legal information.

SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Targets 4, 5, 9 & 20

Indicator 2.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings (NDFs).

2.1.1a (previously 1.5.2a)		Yes	No	No information
	Does your region have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17)?			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detrime or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the informal on the internet:		_	found
	Procedures for making non-detriment findings:			
	<ol> <li>Review specimen identification;</li> <li>Review purpose of the transaction and source;</li> </ol>			
	3. Review relevant exclusions and previously-made NDFs;			
	4. Non-detriment finding and related advice.			
2.1.1b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following			
(previously 1.5.2b)	guidance been used?	Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual College		[	
	IUCN Checklist		[	
	Resolution Conf. 16.7		[	$\boxtimes$
	2008 NDF workshop		[	
	Species specific guidance		[	
	Other		[	

	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify	details:					
2.1.1c (previously 1.5.2c)	How often does your region review and/or change your non-detriment findings?	C Al E: Le	Case by case  Annually  Every two years  Less frequently  A mix of the above				
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-de	triment fi	ndings w	ould be chang	ged: 		
Indicator 2	.1.2: Number of written NDFs submitted and number of CITES online database.  (Data source: NDF webpage on the CITES website)		submittir	ng NDFs for po	osting in the		
Indicator 2	.1.3: Number of Parties that have included the legal a regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution				heir regiona		
2.1.3			`	'es No i	No nformation		
	Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in regional regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?						
	If 'Yes', please briefly include the name of the regulatory instrument, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:  Specifically answers to questions 19 and 20 which could be found in the following						
	website: <a href="https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en_US/web/public/pg_cit">https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en_US/web/public/pg_cit</a>	es_faq?	refresh	=true			
Objective 2.2 Parties cooperate in sharing information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES.  SDG Goal 12							
Objective 2		s relevant	to the ir	nplementation	of CITES.		
Objective 2	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species;	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti	rting regions b on <i>Non-detrin</i> rends and imp	pased on the ment findings pact of trade		
·	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses unsources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species;	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti	rting regions b on <i>Non-detrin</i> rends and imp	pased on the nent findings pact of trade Appendix  If Yes,  How		
Indicator 2  2.2.1a (previously	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses unsources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a species and the impact of any recovery plans.  Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species; and trend	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti in natu	rting regions b on <i>Non-detrin</i> rends and imp rally occurring	pased on the nent findings pact of trade Appendix If Yes,		
Indicator 2  2.2.1a (previously	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses un sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a species and the impact of any recovery plans.  Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your region in relation to:	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species; and trend	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti in natu	rting regions b on <i>Non-detrin</i> rends and imp rally occurring Not Applicable	pased on the ment findings pact of trade Appendix If Yes, How		
Indicator 2  2.2.1a (previously	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses unsources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a species and the impact of any recovery plans.  Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your region in relation to:  - the population status of Appendix II species?  - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species; and trend	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti in natu	rting regions boon Non-detring rends and imprally occurring  Not Applicable	pased on the ment findings pact of trade Appendix If Yes, How		
Indicator 2  2.2.1a (previously	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses unsources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a species and the impact of any recovery plans.  Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your region in relation to:  - the population status of Appendix II species?  - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?  - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species; and trend	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti in natu	rting regions book on Non-detring rends and imprally occurring  Not Applicable	pased on the ment findings pact of trade Appendix If Yes, How		
Indicator 2  2.2.1a (previously	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses unsources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a species and the impact of any recovery plans.  Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your region in relation to:  - the population status of Appendix II species?  - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?  - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?  - the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species; and trend  Yes	by expo CoP17) ; - the ti in natu	rting regions book on Non-detring regions and imprally occurring  Not Applicable	pased on the ment findings pact of trade Appendix If Yes, How		
Indicator 2  2.2.1a (previously	SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21  2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses unsources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 1 related to: - the population status of Appendix-I upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of a species and the impact of any recovery plans.  Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your region in relation to:  - the population status of Appendix II species?  - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?  - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?  - the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?  Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?  If there are such studies that you are willing to share, pl	dertaken 6.7 (Rev. I species; and trend  Yes	by expo CoP17) ; - the tr in natu  No  □ □ □ vide:	rting regions book on Non-detring regions and impression occurring Not Applicable	lased on the ment findings pact of trade Appendix  If Yes, How many?		

2.2.1b (previously 1.5.1b)	How are the results of such surveys, studies or other analy findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply			
1.0.16,		Revised harves		
		Stricter de	Banning e omestic mea	•
	C	Stricter di Changed managem		
		cussion with Manag		
		Discussion with of		
	Other (please pro	ovide a short sumn	nary):	
2.2.1c (previously	Does your region have specific conservation measures	Yes		
1.5.1c	or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed species?	No		
	эрейез:	Not Applicable		
	1504 2 alean arriving a bailet augenomy including if possib	No information	f 41= - in	Ш
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possib impact:			
2.2.1d	Has your region published any non-detriment findings that		es 🗌 No 🖂	
(previously 1.5.1d)	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat	within this report:		
2.2.1e (previously	Which of the following [A to F of paragraph 1 a) x) of Resol (Rev. CoP17)] does your region use in making non-detrime		Yes	No
1.5.1e)		_		INO
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, I distribution and population trends.	life history,		
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.		$\boxtimes$	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at from harvest and other impacts.	sites protected		
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenou	us communities.	$\boxtimes$	
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and internation	nal experts.	$\boxtimes$	
	F. regional and international trade information such as that	•		
	the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Cor	nservation	<u>—</u>	_
	Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, loon trade and investigations of sales at markets or through t			
	on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through t example.	ine miemerioi		
Indicator 2	.2.2: Number and proportion of annual export qu (Data source: Quotas webpage on the CITES websit		population	surveys.
2.2.2a	Does your region set annual export quotas?	Yes		
(previously 1.5.3a)	Bood your rogion out announce or passed questions	No		$\boxtimes$
	If 'Yes', does your region set quotas based on population			
	survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each			
	species, how quotas are set:			Other,
	Chasina Nama (asiantifia)	Population		please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey? □		specify

(previously 1.5.3b)	ensure sustainable production and co	nsumpt	ion?		No		
,	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits i	nto you	r non-de	etriment	findin	g prod	cess:
Indicator 2	.2.3: Number of workshops and other together to address the cons species.  Have the CITES authorities received.	ervation	and r	managei	ment	need	s of shared CITES listed
(previously 1.6.3a)	building activities provided by ext				iii aii	y Oi	the following capacity-
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources <sup>1</sup> ?
	Staff of Management Authority				П	П	
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						
<b>2.2.3b</b> (previously 1.6.3b)	Have the CITES authorities been activities to other range States?	the pro	oviders	of any	of th	e foll	owing capacity-building
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						Details
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						

Yes

Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will

Parties/International

Other

meetings

Other (please specify)

2.2.2b

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

2.2.3c (previously 1.6.3c)	In what ways does your region collaborate with other CITES Parties?						
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange						For ascertaining validity of permits.
	Monitoring / survey						
	Habitat management						
	Species management						
	Law enforcement						
	Capacity building						
	Other (please provide details)						
2.2.3d (previously 2.3.1a)	How many training and capacity build your region run during the period cove		nis repo	rt? fro Se	ithout om the ecretar	iat	ance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
				lone 1 2-5 6-10			
			1 <sup>r</sup> More tha	1-20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decision						
2.2.3e (previously 2.3.1b)	What sorts of capacity building activiti	es have	taken p	lace?			
2.2.3f (previously 2.3.1c)	What capacity building needs does yo	ur regio	n have?	)			
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

\_

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

Indicator 2	.2.4:	Number of reports shared by the Parties in com Convention. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)	pliance with the Resolutions of th
Indicator 2	2.5:	Number of Parties sharing information relevant to the databases, data visualization/software, information-shared	
2.2.5	impl visu	your region shared information relevant to the ementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data alization/software, information-sharing focused s, etc.)?	Yes  No
Indicator 2	.2.6:	Number of CoP side-events where Parties present info implementation of CITES (Data source: CoP side-event schedule and description	
Objective 2	2.3	Parties have sufficient information to enforce the Conve SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal D & Targets 15 & 21	ention.
Indicator 2	.3.1:	Proportion of Parties that are making use of the available at Google Analytics for the number of site visits to the Compact Species of the number of downloads from the CITES of shared tools.  (Data source: CITES Secretariat – Number of visits to the CITES Checklist and Species +; number of downloads.)	CITES website, CITES Checklist, or Trade Database as a proxy for usage the CITES website; number of visits to
Indicator 2	.3.2:	Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient inform	nation to enforce the Convention.
2.3.2		ou consider that your region has sufficient rmation to enforce the Convention?	Yes 🖂
Objective 2		ties have sufficient information to make listing decisions	that are reflective of species
		SDG Goal 12 GBF Goals A & D & Targets 5, 20 & 21	
Indicator 2	:.4.1:	Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient info are reflective of species conservation needs.	rmation to make listing decisions tha
2.4.1	info	rou consider that your region has sufficient rmation to make listing decisions that are ective of species conservation needs?	Yes 🖂 No
Objective 2	2.5	Information gaps and needs for key species are identified SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21	ied and addressed.
Indicator 2	.5.1:	Number of Parties that have undertaken research (in their identified key species most relevant to the implem	
2.5.1a	identi	esearch (including for non-detriment findings) on your ified key species most relevant to the implementation convention been undertaken in your region?	
	If 'Yes	s', please indicate how you identify key species:	

2.5.1b (previousl y 1.4.1a)	Has your region undertaken any reviews of whether species wou on the CITES Appendices?	uld benefit		ng Yes				
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):							
Indicator	2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their to the implementation of the Convention and need assista							
2.5.2	Do you consider that your region currently lacks information most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and needs assistance to address them?	n on your		d key species Yes				
	If 'Yes', please specify for which key species and the type of	assistan	ce neede	ed:				
CAPACIT CONSER WILDLIF	PARTIES (INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY) HAVE THE TO TY TO EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CONVERVATION, SUSTAINABLE USE AND THE REDUCTION OF ILLECTION OF I	ENTION, ( GAL TRA	CONTRIB DE IN CIT	UTING TO ES-LISTED				
Objective	3.1 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative bure SDG Goal 16 GBF Goal D		nt, practio	cal, coherent an				
Indicator	3.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard transissuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Co			s for the time				
		Yes	No	No information				
<b>3.1.1</b> (previously 1.2.1a)	Does your region have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	$\boxtimes$						
	Are the procedures publicly available?	$\boxtimes$						
Indicator	3.1.2: Number of Parties making use of the simplified proc Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19).	edures p	rovided f	or in Resolutio				
<b>3.1.2</b> (previously 1.2.2a)	Has your region developed simplified procedures for any of							
		<u> </u>	ick all ap	No				
		Yes	No	information				
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) are urgently required.		$\boxtimes$					
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2.		$\boxtimes$					
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		$\boxtimes$					
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates		$\boxtimes$					

in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		
Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures?  If 'Yes', please provide details:	$\boxtimes$	

Indicator 3.1.3: Number of Parties that have adopted an electronic system for the issuance of permits.

		Yes	No	No information				
<b>3.1.3</b> (previously 1.2.1b)	Does your region have:							
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	$\boxtimes$						
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some regions If 'Yes', please list regions/regions		$\boxtimes$					
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all regions?		$\boxtimes$					
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		$\boxtimes$					
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		$\boxtimes$					
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on chovercome:	allenges	faced or	issues				
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e- permitting <sup>1</sup> ?			$\boxtimes$				
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so:							

Objective 3.2 Parties and the Secretariat develop, adopt and implement adequate capacity-building programmes.

SDG Goal 17
GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 3.2.1: Number of Parties with training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES, including the making of non-detriment and legal acquisition findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

<b>3.2.1a</b> (previously 1.8.1a)	Does your region have information resources or training in place The making of non-detriment findings? ☑ ☐ Permit officers? ☑ ☐ Enforcement officers?☑ ☐	to support: YesNo	
3.2.1b (previously 1.8.1b)	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?  What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	$\boxtimes \Box$
3.2.1c (previously 1.8.1c)	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?	Yes No	

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for No information capacity building?		
--	--	--

Indicator 3.2.2: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

See questions for indicator 2.2.3

Objective 3.3 Sufficient resources are available at the regional and international levels to support necessary capacity-building programmes and ensure compliance with and full implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

SDG Goals 15 & 17

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 3.3.1: Number of Parties meeting their obligations with regard to their assessed contributions to the Trust Fund.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Indicator 3.3.2: Percentage of the total funds required to implement the work programme agreed by the Conference of the Parties that is fully funded.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Objective 3.4 Parties recognize illegal trade in wildlife as serious crime and have adequate systems in place to detect and deter it.

SDG Goal 15

Indicator 3.4.1: Number of Parties where criminal offences relating to illegal trade in wildlife (such as illegal hunting/harvest and wildlife trafficking) are recognized as a serious crime.

<b>3.4.1a</b> (previously 1.7.3b)	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime <sup>1</sup> in your region?	Yes
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	ildlife trafficking offences to be
3.4.1b (previously 1.7.3a)	Does your region have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?  If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available  Legislation: Law no.2/2017, the Enforcement Law of CITES.  Penalties: A penalty of 200,000 to 500,000 patacas and the confiscation of specimens for violations concerning Appendix I specimens.	Yes 🖂 No 🖂 No information
3.4.1c (previously 1.7.3c)	Does your region have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>2</sup> to support the investigation of CITES offences?  If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another region) during the period covered in this report:	
	If 'Yes', and your region has an appropriate forensic analysis facili please indicate which species it applies to:	ty for CITES-listed species,

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your region or in another region(s).

3.4.1d (previously 1.7.3d)	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?	Yes No No informatio	  n						
	f 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:								
3.4.1e (previously 1.7.3e)	Does your region have a standard operating procedu relevant agencies for submitting information related to offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Or	CITE	S	Yes No No informatio	n				
3.4.1f (previously 1.7.3f)	Does your region have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?				
	General crime <sup>2</sup>	$\boxtimes$							
	Predicate offences <sup>3</sup>								
	Asset forfeiture <sup>4</sup>	$\boxtimes$			4				
	Corruption <sup>5</sup>								
	International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>6</sup>								
	Organized crime <sup>7</sup>								
	Specialized investigation techniques8								
	brief summary, including any lessons learned which r For trading of CITES species without CITES certif	blease explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a please learned which might be helpful for other Parties:  ies without CITES certificates and import, export or re-export seized and confiscated in addition to the pecuniary penalties							
3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g)	Does your region have institutional capacity to impler legislative provisions listed in the question above aga offences?	inst Cl	TES	Yes No No information	on $\square$				
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:								

Objective 3.5

Parties work collaboratively across range, transit and destination states, to address entire illegal trade chains, including through strategies to reduce both the supply of and demand for illegal products, in order for trade to be legal and sustainable.

SDG Goals 15 & 17

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either regional, sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another region.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

GBF Targets 5, 16, 20 & 21

Indicator	3.5.1:	Number of seizures made through Parties collaboration acr States/ Regions, to address entire illegal trade chains.	oss range, transit and destina	ation
3.5.1	Partie	authorities in your region made seizures through es collaboration across range, transit and destination s/Regions, to address entire illegal trade chains?	Yes □ No □ No information □	
	If 'Yes	s', please indicate the number of seizures made:	'	
Objective	: 3.6Par	ties take measures to prohibit, prevent, detect and sanction c	orruption.	
Indicator	3.6.1:	Number of Parties reporting in implementation reports corruption.	of activities taken to add	lress
3.6.1	in par	our region undertaken activities to address corruption, ticular with regard to regional agencies responsible for fe law enforcement and protected areas management?	Yes □ No □ No information □	
	If 'Yes	s', please elaborate on the types of activities taken:		
Objective	3.7	Investments in building capacity of CITES are prioritized monitored to ensure stepwise improvement through time. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20	, coordinated, and their succ	cess
Indicator	3.7.1:	Number of capacity-building activities delivered to Parties. (Data source: See questions for indicator 2.2.3)		
Indicator	3.7.2:	Number of Parties who report improvements in their in capacity-building efforts.	nplementation following targ	eted
3.7.2		ou report improvements in the implementation of CITES ur region following targeted capacity-building efforts?	Yes  No  No capacity- building	
		<ul> <li>please elaborate on the reasons why targeted capacity- evements in your implementation:</li> </ul>	į	
Indicator	3.7.3:	Total investments into capacity-building efforts. (Data source: Reports from capacity-building activities)		
Objective	3.8	Parties take full advantage of emerging technological effective implementation and enforcement of the Convention SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 &21		the
Indicator	3.8.1:	Number of CITES Parties using the CITES Checklist API. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)		
GOAL 4		CITES POLICY DEVELOPMENT ALSO CONTRIBUTION INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE SUSTAINABLE		ROM
Objective	4.1	Parties support sustainable wildlife trade policies, especially of Indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue live SDG Goals 8, 12, 14, 15 & 17		acity

#### GBF Goals B & C & Targets 5 & 22

Indicator 4.1.1:	Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have designed/implemented relevant	ant
	sustainable wildlife management policies.	

4.1.1	Has your region designed or implemente sustainable wildlife management policies species?	Yes No No information		
	If 'Yes', please indicate the names of the			
	Taxon (scientific name)	TES-listed species	covered	

Indicator 4.1.2: Percentage of Parties that co-developed or otherwise supported the capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods.

4.1.2	Has your region co-developed or otherwise supported the	Yes	
	capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to	No	$\bowtie$
	pursue livelihoods?	No information	

Objective 4.2 The importance of achieving CITES' aim as a contribution to achieving the relevant Sustainable Development Goals, as well as the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, is recognized.

SDG Goals 12, 15 & 17 GBF Targets 4 & 5

Indicator 4.2.1: Number of Parties incorporating CITES into their Regional Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP), also included in the global and Regional Strategies for Plant Conservation under CBD programme.

<b>4.2.1a</b> (previously 3.4.2a)	Has CITES been incorporated into your region's regional Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) or any revision of the NBSAP?	Yes No No information	$\square$
4.2.1b (previously 3.4.2b)	Has your region been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

Objective 4.3 Awareness of the role, purpose and achievements of CITES is increased globally. SDG Goals 12 & 17

GBF Targets 4, 5 & 21

Indicator 4.3.1: Number of new, unique visits to the CITES website.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of site visits to the CITES website)

- Indicator 4.3.2: Number of Parties with information on CITES and its requirements on their official websites. (Data source: CITES Secretariat number of Management Authorities with a website)
- Indicator 4.3.3: Number of followers on CITES social media platforms.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of followers of CITES and WWD on social media, i.e., Instagram, LinkedIn, Facebook, Youtube, Wechat and Weibo)

Indicator 4.3.4: Number of key identified hashtags (e.g. #cites, #citescop19 #worldwildlifeday, etc.) on CITES social media. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) Indicator 4.3.5: Number of events submitted to the World Wildlife Day website. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) Objective 4.4 CITES Parties are informed of international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. SDG Goal 17 **GBF Target 21** Indicator 4.4.1: Number of meetings/CoP where representatives of other international bodies report on relevant activities to CITES Parties. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) Indicator 4.4.2: Events, documents and presentations, etc. delivered by other intergovernmental bodies and fora in meetings convened by the CITES Secretariat. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) Indicator 4.4.3: Number of Notifications to the Parties issued by the CITES Secretariat relating to international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) DELIVERY OF THE CITES **GOAL 5** STRATEGIC VISION IS IMPROVED **THROUGH COLLABORATION** Objective 5.1 Parties and the Secretariat support and enhance existing cooperative partnerships in order to achieve their identified objectives. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20 Indicator 5.1.1: Number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental. trade and development agreements. 5.1.1 Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce Yes (previously duplication of activities between the regional CITES authorities No 3.3.1a) and regional focal points for other multilateral environmental  $\boxtimes$ No information agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)<sup>1</sup> to which your region is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description: Indicator 5.1.2: Number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacitybuilding activities. 5.1.2 Has funding been provided or received to facilitate (previously CITES workshops, training or other capacity building Tick if Which 3.3.3a) activities to / from: applicable organizations? Inter-governmental organizations? Non-governmental organizations? 

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

<b>5.1.3</b> (previously 3.5.1a)	mul fron	your region taken action unde tilateral agreements other than n being unsustainably exploite es', please provide details:	Yes No No information						
Indicator 5.	1.4:	Number of times other relevan natural resources are consulted							
5.1.4 (previously 3.5.2a)	year orga have	rage number of times per that international anizations or agreements be been consulted by CITES norities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comm about which organizations a issues consulte	n and
		agement Authority(ies)							
		ntific Authority(ies) rcement Authority(ies)							
Indicator 5.1.5:  Number of implemented cooperation agreements between the Secretariat and Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAS), including the Biodiversity Liaison Group (BLG) and other biodiversity-related Conventions.  (Data source: CITES Secretariat)  Parties encourage the formation of new, innovative and mutually sustainable alliance between CITES and relevant international partners, where appropriate to advance CITES.					nd Iliances				
Indicator 5.	2.1:	objective and mainstream const SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20 Number of alliances between C objective and mainstream const (Data source: CITES Secretaria	ITES ar ervation	nd releva	ant inte	ernatior	nal pa	rtners to advance Cl	ITES
Objective 5	i.3	Cooperation between CITES institutions is enhanced in order and enforcement.  SDG Goals 15 & 17  GBF Goal D							
Indicator 5.	3.1:	Number of Parties funded by into develop activities that include elements.							
<b>5.3.1a</b> (previously 3.1.1a)	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details:				Yes No Not applicable No information				
5.3.1b (previously 3.1.1a)	Durir	ng the period covered in this repo international funding mechanism						Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements

to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

Indicator 5.1.3:

Indicator 5.3.2: Number of regions and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another region or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

<b>5.3.2</b> (previously 3.1.2a)	Has your region provided technical or financial assistance to another region or regions in relation to CITES?							information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided  Region(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

## Questions that are not directly linked to the CITES Strategic Vision indicators but provide useful information about the implementation of the Convention

#### COOPERATION AND SYNERGIES

	COOPERATION AND STNERGIES							
C1 (previously 1.6.1a)	Is your region a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species? Yes \sum No \subseteq If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other							
	regions are involved:							
				-				
C2a (previously 3.3.2a)	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your region contributed towards?							
C2b (previously 3.3.2b)	In addition to C2a, how many regional level projects has your region implemented which integrate CITES issues?							
C2c (previously 3.3.2c)	Have there been any efforts at a regional scale for your CITES  Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:  Yes							
	Agencies for development?							
	Agencies for trade?							
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?							
	Local authorities or communities?							
	Indigenous or local peoples?							
	Trade or other private sector associations?							
	NGOs?							
	Other (please specify)							
C2d (previously 3.3.2d)	Are CITES requirements integrated into?		Yes	No				
·	Regional and local development strategies?							
	Regional and local poverty reduction strategies?							
	Planning processes?							
	Regional accounting?							
<b>-</b>	ENFORCEMENT							
E1 (previously 1.7.1a)	Does your region have, is your region engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information				
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?							
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?							
	– a regional enforcement strategy and/or action plan?							
	– formal regional interagency cooperation, such as a regional interagency enforcement committee?							
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagemendetails:	t and pro	ovide add	itional				
E2a (previously 1.7.2a)	Does your region have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?		ıt review eration	is under				

		No	mfa mr = #1 · · ·					
		No I	No information					
	If 'Yes', what do you do?	_						
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do you find of value?							
E2b (previously 1.7.2b)	Has your region used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	cons	No, but toolkit use is un consideration					
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit use equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that			toolkit o	r			
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed tools useful to you:	to make t	the toolkit o	r equival	ent			
E3a (previously	Does your region use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Alway						
1.7.4a)	emorcement enort?	Very	oπen etimes					
		Rarel						
			Never					
			No information					
E3b	Does your region have capacity to analyse information gathere	d Yes			$\boxtimes$			
(previously 1.7.4b)	on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	No						
1.7.40)		No in	formation					
E3c	Does your region use criminal intelligence <sup>1</sup> to inform	Alway	/S					
(previously 1.7.4c)	investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very						
,		Some	etimes					
		Rarel	У					
		Neve	-					
			formation					
E3d (previously	Has your region implemented any supply-side activities to	Yes						
1.7.4d)	address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?		out activitie r developme		Ш			
		No	acvelopitie	21 IL				
			formation					
E3e	Has your region implemented any demand-side activities to	Yes		·	$\square$			
(previously 1.7.4e)	address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period	No, l	out activitie					
	covered in this report?		r developme	ent				
		No	<b>.</b>					
		No in	formation		Ш			
	-							
During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Inform				
E4a (previously 1.7.5a)	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?							

<sup>1</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, p	lease attach	า
	Total 66 cases (29 cases in 2021, 15 cases in 2022 and 22 cases in 2023) re CITES Permit", fines under 200,000 patacas imposed and goods appropria Macao SAR.		No
E4b (previously 1.7.5b)	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?		
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach de	etails:	
	2021: 1 case (Orchidaceae, live plants, Appendix I) related to "No CITES P the amount of 200,000 patacas imposed and goods appropriated to the Ma		es in
	2022: 2 cases (Orchidaceae, live plants and Panthera Tigris, medicine, bot relates to "No CITES Permit", fines in the amount of 200,000 patacas imporpriated to the Macao SAR;		
	2023: 1 case (Psittacus erithacus, egg, Appendix I), fines in the amount of patacas imposed and goods kept by the designated rescue centre.	300,000	
E4c (previously 1.7.5c)	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?		
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the results? Please attach of	details:	
	2021: 1 case (Orchidaceae, live plants, Appendix I) related to "No CITES F		nes in
	the amount of 200,000 patacas imposed and goods appropriated to the Ma 2022: 2 cases (Orchidaceae, live plants and Panthera Tigris, medicine, b		dix I)
	relates to "No CITES Permit", fines in the amount of 200,000 patacas impo		
	appropriated to the Macao SAR; 2023: 1 case (Psittacus erithacus, egg, Appendix I), fines in the amo	ount of 30	0.000
	patacas imposed and goods kept by the designated rescue centre.		-,
	patacas imposed and goods kept by the designated rescue centre.		
E4d (previously 1.7.5d)		Tick all that	apply
(previously		Tick all that	apply
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	Tick all that	apply
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export	Tick all that	
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export  - Public zoos or botanical gardens		
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export  - Public zoos or botanical gardens  - Designated rescue centres		
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export - Public zoos or botanical gardens - Designated rescue centres - Approved private facilities		
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export - Public zoos or botanical gardens - Designated rescue centres - Approved private facilities - Euthanasia		
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export  - Public zoos or botanical gardens  - Designated rescue centres  - Approved private facilities  - Euthanasia  - Other (please specify): Appropriated to the Macao SAR		
(previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  - Return to region of export - Public zoos or botanical gardens - Designated rescue centres - Approved private facilities - Euthanasia - Other (please specify): Appropriated to the Macao SAR Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens?		
(previously 1.7.5d)	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  Return to region of export  Public zoos or botanical gardens  Designated rescue centres  Approved private facilities  Euthanasia  Other (please specify): Appropriated to the Macao SAR  Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens?  Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?  RESOURCES  Does your region have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your	Yes	
(previously 1.7.5d)	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  Return to region of export  Public zoos or botanical gardens  Designated rescue centres  Approved private facilities  Euthanasia  Other (please specify): Appropriated to the Macao SAR  Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens?  Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?  RESOURCES  Does your region have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?		
(previously 1.7.5d)  R1a (previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  Return to region of export  Public zoos or botanical gardens  Designated rescue centres  Approved private facilities  Euthanasia  Other (please specify): Appropriated to the Macao SAR  Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens?  Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?  RESOURCES  Does your region have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your	Yes	
(previously 1.7.5d)  R1a (previously	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  Return to region of export  Public zoos or botanical gardens  Designated rescue centres  Approved private facilities  Euthanasia  Other (please specify): Appropriated to the Macao SAR  Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens?  Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?  RESOURCES  Does your region have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?  If 'No', please go to Question R1d.  If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those	Yes	

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	3 working days (2021) and 2 working days (2022 and 2023) to issue the CITES certificate, and import or export/re-export licence when all required documents are submitted.			
	Does your region publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your region's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:	100% achiev	∕ed.	
	If your region did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	<ul><li>availability of funding?</li></ul>			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your region need more of?			
R1b (previously 2.2.1b)	Does your region have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
,	If 'No', please go to Question R1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			_
	If 'Yes', does your region have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes No	
	If 'Yes', what are your region's performance targets?			
	If possible, please provide your region's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If your region did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your region need more of?			
R1c (previously 2.2.1c)	Does your region have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your enforcement authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question R1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			_
	If 'Yes', does your region have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes No	
	If 'Yes', what are your region's performance targets?			
	If possible, please provide your region's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If your region did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your region need more of?			
R1d (previously 2.2.1d)	Please only complete this question if your answered 'No' to the first por R1c, relating to the existence of approved service standards for your		R1a, F	₹1b,
2.2.10)	Does your region have sufficient of the following for your authorities t	to function effe	ctively?	1
	Management Scientific Authority(ies)	1	cement	

		Authority(ies)			ļ <i>A</i>	Authority(ies)
	Funding?	Yes ⊠ No □		Yes ⊠ No □	]	∕es ⊠ No □
	Staff?	Yes ⊠ No □		Yes ⊠ No □	]	∕es ⊠ No □
	Skills?	Yes ⊠ No 🗌		Yes ⊠ No □	] \	∕es ⊠ No □
			<u>i</u>		<u>i</u>	
	Į.					
R2a (previously 2.2.2a)	covered in this	e following activities b report to enhance the n at the regional level?	effectivene		e period	Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more	staff				
	Development of	of implementation tool	S			
	Purchase of te enforcement	chnical equipment for	implementa	ation, monitori	ng or	
	Other (please	specify):				
R2b (previously 2.2.2b)	During the per the budget for	iod covered in this rep your:	ort, was	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management A	Authority(ies)			$\boxtimes$	
	Scientific Auth	ority(ies)			$\boxtimes$	
	Enforcement a	uthorities			$\boxtimes$	
R2c (previously 2.2.2c)	Has your region been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable	
	Management A	Authority(ies)?			$\boxtimes$	
	Scientific Auth	ority(ies)?				
	Enforcement a	uthorities?			$\boxtimes$	
R2d (previously 2.2.2d)		spective level of priorit vel through the following			iveness of CITE	S implementation at
		Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more	staff				
	Development of tools	of implementation				
	Purchase of ne equipment for monitoring or e	implementation,				
	e-permitting					
	Other (please	specify):				
R2e (previously 2.2.2e)		ion have an operationa c database) for manag		Yes	Under development	No
	Species inform	nation		$\boxtimes$		
	Trade informat	ion		$\boxtimes$		
	Non-detriment	findings		$\boxtimes$		
R3a	Does the Man	agement Authority cha	irge fees for		i	
(previously 2.2.3a)			iigo ioes ioi		Tick a	Il that are applicable
		tive procedures				
	<ul><li>Issuance of the sea)</li></ul>	of CITES documents (	e.g. tor impo	ort, exports, re	e-export, or introd	luction from

- Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species) - Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species - Licensing or Registration of operations that produce CITES species - Licensing or Registration of operations that produce CITES species - Harvesting of CITES-listed species - Use of CITES-listed species - Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species - Other (please specify):  R3b   Is a fee schedule publicly available?   Yes   No				
- Harvesting of CITES-listed species - Use of CITES-listed species - Other (please specify): - If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en_US/web/public/pg_apg?slug=ps-1319c  R3c (previously 2.2.3d) - Has your region used revenues from fees for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?  R3d (previously 2.2.3d) - Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? - Do your region have case studies on charging or using fees? - If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: - Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? - If 'Yes', please provide brief details:  Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? - If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4d) - Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? - Not at all			he sea	
- Harvesting of CITES-listed species - Use of CITES-listed species - Other (please specify): - If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en_US/web/public/pg_apg?slug=ps-1319c  R3c (previously 2.2.3d) - Has your region used revenues from fees for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?  R3d (previously 2.2.3d) - Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? - Do your region have case studies on charging or using fees? - If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: - Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? - If 'Yes', please provide brief details:  Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? - If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4d) - Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? - Not at all		Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species		
Other (please specify):				$\Box$
Other (please specify):		·		$\Box$
Other (please specify):		·		$\Box$
Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes \( \) No \( \)				$\Box$
If "Yes", please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en_US/web/public/pg_apg?slug=ps-1319c   R3c	D2h		Voc M N	
https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en_US/web/public/pg_apg?slug=ps-1319c	(previously		ies 🖂 i	ю 🗀
Conservation   Cons	2.2.3b)			
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)  Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	(previously		e	
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)  Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	2.2.00)		Entirely	
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)  Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?			•	
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)  Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?			•	
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)  Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?				
Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? Do your region's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits? Does your region have case studies on charging or using fees? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:    R4a	D34	NOL		
Do your region's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Does your region have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details:  R4a (previously 2.2.4a)  Does your region use incentive measures¹ such as those described in document CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo  Due diligence	(previously		165	INO
Does your region have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details:  R4a (previously 2.2.4a)  Does your region use incentive measures¹ such as those described in document CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo  Due diligence  Compensatory mechanisms  Certification  Communal property rights  Auctioning of quotas  Cost recovery or environmental charges  If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4b)  Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?  Not at all  Very little  Somewhat		Does your region raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		$\boxtimes$
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:  Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details:    R4a		Do your region's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		$\boxtimes$
Does your region use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details:    R4a		Does your region have case studies on charging or using fees?		$\boxtimes$
implementation?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details:  R4a (previously 2.2.4a)  Does your region use incentive measures¹ such as those described in document CoP14 Doc  14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo Due diligence Compensatory mechanisms Certification Communal property rights Auctioning of quotas Cost recovery or environmental charges  If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4b)  Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?  Not at all Very little Somewhat		If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
R4a (previously 2.2.4a)  Does your region use incentive measures¹ such as those described in document CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo Due diligence				$\square$
R4a (previously 2.2.4a)  Does your region use incentive measures¹ such as those described in document CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo  Due diligence		·	Ш	
(previously 2.2.4a)    14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo   Due diligence	<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
(previously 2.2.4a)    14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo   Due diligence           Compensatory mechanisms         Certification         Communal property rights         Auctioning of quotas         Enforcement incentives         If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:    R4b (previously 2.2.4b)   Not at all       Very little       Somewhat	n			
Due diligence			P14 Doc	
Compensatory mechanisms				
Certification				
Communal property rights				
Auctioning of quotas  Cost recovery or environmental charges  Enforcement incentives  If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4b)  Not at all Very little Somewhat				
Cost recovery or environmental charges   Enforcement incentives    If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your region uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4b)  Not at all   Very little   Somewhat   Somewhat   Somewhat   Somewhat   Somewhat   Cost recovery or environmental charges   Cost recovery or enviro				
Enforcement incentives				
Iink to further information:  R4b (previously 2.2.4b)  Not at all Very little Somewhat		,		
R4b (previously 2.2.4b) Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?  Not at all Very little Somewhat			summary	or or
(previously 2.2.4b)  Not at all  Very little  Somewhat	R/h			
Very little Somewhat	(previously	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Somewhat	2.2.4b)			
		· —		
		Completely		

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

#### **AWARENESS**

A1 (previously 3.2.1a)	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of activities to bring about better awareness of the	Conver	ntion's	\A.C. I		Relevant User
,	requirements by the wider public and relevant u	iser grou	ıps?	VVidei	public	Groups
	- Press conferences			L		
	- Press releases			_	$\leq$	
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets				$\leq$	
	Television appearances			_	$\leq$	
	Radio appearances				$\leq$	
	<ul><li>Presentations</li></ul>				$\boxtimes$	
	Public consultations / meetings			L		
	<ul><li>Market surveys</li></ul>			L	_	
	– Displays			_	$\leq$	
	<ul> <li>Information at border crossing points</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$	
	Telephone hotline				$\leq$	
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)  https://www.docdf.gov.go/pg.goides				$\boxtimes$	
	https://www.dsedt.gov.mo/en/pg_cites					
	Other (specify):			Γ	7	
	Please attach copies of any items or describe e	xamples	S:	_	_	
	All electronic files of the brochures, leaflets	-				
	videos are available at the aforementioned w	vebsite.				
A2a (previously 3.2.2a)	How regularly do your region's Authorities consu	ult the C	TES website	?		
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).		Kly	thly	Less frequently	Not known
	Torget group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	ess	lot k
	Target group		>			
	Staff of Management Authority					
	Staff of Scientific Authority					
4.01	Staff of enforcement authorities	OITEO				
A2b (previously	What has been your experience with using the	CHESV	vebsite'?	Goo	ellent .d	
3.2.2b)					rage	
				Poo	•	ă
				Very	/ Poor	
				No i	nformatio	n 🗌
	Any further comments on the CITES Website?					ountered,
	which authorities find which functions/tools mos	t useful,	what is miss	sing, etc)		

#### **General feedback**

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	
Web link(s)	Not available	
	Previously provided	$\boxtimes$
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising materi	als, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes	
your region requiring attention or assistance?	No	$\boxtimes$
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or	assistance that is required.	
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	ther Yes	
Parties?	No	$\boxtimes$
	No Information	
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.