Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, and Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Fiji					
Period covered in this report	1st January 2020 – 31st December 2021					
Department or agency preparing this report	Department of Environment					
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Ministry of Forestry, Fiji Revenue and Custom Services, Ministry of Fisheries, Bio Security Authority of Fiji					

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes ☑ No □
	If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes ☐ No ☐Not Applicable ☒
	If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
	In 2021 the Endangered and Protected Species (Amendment of Schedules) Regulation 2021 came into force.
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		П
1.2.1b	Do you have:			_
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?			
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries			
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?			
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?			
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?	\boxtimes		

	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome: Issues overcome - Reduction of processing time to 48 hours from 7 working days.						
	The database is used by DoE and customs to keep record of all CITES permit processed and ssued each year						
	The verification of the legitimacy of permits issued by relevant authorities.						
	Currently using paper based permits, applicants need to physica and increase in carbon footprint.	lly present	, and it is	a long process			
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting? Biosecurity authority of Fiji is currently utilising the ePhyto (electronic phytosanitary) system which issues phytosanitary export certificates for plant products.						
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: Resource and expertise required - system in place and awaiting field testing.						
	Funding support, training and capacity building, equipment (software) services	are and c	omputer),	internet			
icator .2.2a	The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures for any of the simplified procedures for any of the simplified procedures for any of the simplified procedures.	following?	rovided f				
		Yes	No	No information			
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.						
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2.						

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial

Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to

propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.

For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in

Article VII, paragraph 4.

merit the use of simplified procedures?

If 'Yes', please provide details:

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements 🗵

	Responses provided to NON	1E of the r	elevant	reporting requir	ements 🗌					
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable									
1.3.1b Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes \sum N If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?										
Objective	e 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation and Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.	needs of s	species.							
1.4.1;	The number and proportion of species that have been four Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the perior	nd to meet dic review	the crite and am	eria contained in endment propo	n Resolutior esals.					
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species won the CITES Appendices?	ould bene	fit from		☐ No 🖾					
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is r	report of to not availab	he work de online	») :						
Objective Indicator 1	Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Targe	t 7, Target undertak nf. 16.7 or ; fix-II spec	9, Targe en by ex Non-de ies; and	et 12 and Targe porting countrie etriment finding	es based on s related to:					
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?					
	- The population status of Appendix II species?	\boxtimes								
	- The trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	\boxtimes								
	The status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	\boxtimes								
	- The impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?	\boxtimes								
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	\boxtimes								

ı	1	if there are such studies that you are willing	i to share, plea	ase provide:	
		Species name (scientific) Hard Live Coral Giant Clams Sea Turtles	other analysis / increase, of		or able
		The Fiji Islands CITES Scientific Council (FICSC) had reviewed the Schedules 1 and 2 of the Endangered and Protected Species (EPS) Act 2002 and the EPS (Amendment) Act 2017 and have proposed changes in the Schedules listings as detailed. The changes include new additions to Schedules 1 and 2, the deletion of species listing from Schedules 1 and 2, the shifting of species from Schedule 1 to 2 and vice versa from 2 to 1.	harvesting diameter lir Regulation, forests above of logged a logging licent commercial, species und initiative by the diameter of the species of the specie	the Ministry of Forestry is managed all native species through species through species as per the Native Land For strictly prohibiting logging in nate 30 degrees slope, enforcing replantates through replanting conditions uses and replanting degraded areas we native, exotic and livelihood to der the 30 million trees in 15 yes the Government of Fiji.	cific rest tive ting in vith ree ars
		A draft Non-Detrimental Finding (NDF) was undertaken for 4 species. The results of these once endorsed by the Fiji Islands CITES Scientific Council (FICSC), will be used to provide quotas for recommended Schedule 2 species trade	Species (Ar 2021 https://www.	e No.14; Endangered and Protect nendment of Schedules) Regulation laws.gov.fj/LawsAsMade#	ted ons
	1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app	or other analy	yses used in making non-detriment	
			(Disc	Revised harvest or export quotase Banning export Stricter domestic measures Changed management of the species cussion with Management Authorities Discussion with other stakeholders? povide a short summary):	
	1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed	s or recovery		
		If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incluimpact: National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Species Recovery Plan for the Fijian Cres	Plan for Fiii 20		
		Fiji Sea Turtle Recovery Plan: some recoverecorded after 3 different moratorium had been Recovery Plan had been implemented from commitment in 2017 for the Management and Waters, ensure that sea turtle conservation effectively. The proposed regulation would also marine pollution (especially plastic) and the proposed to be established for harvest purposes for indigenous communities.	en placed on se 2010 and ex d Conservation regulations a so take into ac rotection of ne for each of the	ea turtles since 1985. Fiji Sea Turtle tended to 2030. National voluntary of Sea Turtles within Fiji Fisheries are promulgated and implemented ecount key factors such as reducing sting beaches and foraging ground	

1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findings that can be shared? Yes [] If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:	No 🛛	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) x) of Resolution Conf. 16.7) do you use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.	\boxtimes	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.	\boxtimes	
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	\boxtimes	$\overline{\Box}$
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.		

Indicator 1.5.2:	The number	of Parties	that	have	adopted	standard	procedures	for	making	non-detrime	'n
	findings.				•					dod	

1.5.2a					No			
			Yes	No	inform	ation		
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> ?	\boxtimes]			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:							
	NDF are referred to the Fiji Islands CITES Scientific Council (FICSC) to facilitate through wide consultations across relevant authorities and experts including academia for the Department of Environment.							
	Conduct NDF for live coral using the methodology approved by the CITES Scientific Committee request the MOF to conduct the NDF f Committee and report is submitted to the committee	CITES Sc. or coral, fi	ientific inding	Comn is prov	nittee. The	e Fiji he		
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follow	owina						
	guidance been used?	·····g	Pleas	se tick	all that a	pply		
	Virtual (College		[
	IUCN C	hecklist		1	\boxtimes			
	Resolution Col	nf. 16.7			\boxtimes			
	2008 NDF wo	orkshop			\boxtimes			
	Species specific gu	uidance			_ 			
		Other		_	_ 			
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details: CITES ITTO programme							
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by	case			\boxtimes		
	detriment findings?	Annually						
		Every two	•					
	Less frequently							
	A mix of the above							
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment			be cha	nged:			
	As per their availability, abundance and distribution in the natur	al forests.						

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	\boxtimes
	No	
If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
quotas are set:		Other,
Species Name (asigntifie)	Population	please
Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
	님	
Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Voc	
ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific) Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific) Population Survey? Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will Pes

If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment finding process:

There is a Scientific Council that verifies and puts together the quota based on sectoral advice, scientific evidence and regulatory monitoring database.

Population count undertaken and the export volume assessed, finding is reported back to CITES Scientific Committee

Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resource Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target							12 a	nd Target 19.
Indicator 1	.6.1:	The number of bilateral and management of shared CITES	d multil listed s	ateral a pecies b	igreeme y range	nts t State	hat s	specifically provide for co
1.6.1a	agree If 'Ye cour - () - () - () - () - () - () - () - (pur country a signatory to any bil sements for co-management of s es', please provide brief details, in tries are involved: Convention on Migratory Species Convention on Biological Diversit International treaty on Plant Generational Plant Protection Cor The Ramsar Convention on Wetle Inter into the Agreement on the Agreement) for trading animal an Organization and World Organiz	chared sincluding y etic Reservention ands of Application	pecies? g the nar ources f Internati on of Sa al produ	Yes I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	No he ag and portar nd Pr	reem Agricu	ulture anitary Measures (SPS
Indicator 1.	6.2:	The number of cooperative ma populations of CITES-listed spe	nageme ecies.	ent plans	s, includi	ing re	cove	ry plans, in place for shared
1.6.2a	Do yo	ou have any cooperative manag ace for shared populations of CIT	ement p	lans, ind	cluding r	ecove	ery pl	ans, Yes ⊠ No □
	Spec (scie						nd pre	
Indicator 1.6	to	The number of workshops and other address the conservation and report the CITES authorities received	nanage	ment ne	eds of s	hared	I, CIT	ES listed, species.
110.00	activ	ities provided by external source	es?	T	nom a	iy Oi	uie i	onowing capacity-building
	targe	se tick boxes to indicate which at group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external
					т ю	_		sources ¹ ?
	2	of Management Authority of Scientific Authority						
		of enforcement authorities						
	Trad	No Note that the part of the p				1	님	
	NGC		\boxtimes					WWF

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

		Public	Ш						
		Other (please specify):							100
1.0	6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	provide	rs of any	y of the	followi	ing ca	apacity-build	ling activities to
		Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	D	retails
		Staff of Management Authority Staff of Scientific Authority Staff of enforcement authorities							
		Traders						Monitoring Surveilland	and
		NGOs Public						Awareness Education	
		Other Parties/International meetings Other (please specify)							1
1.6	6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?				
			Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Alwavs	Furth	ner detail / amples
		Information exchange]	
		Monitoring / survey]	
1		Habitat management]	
		Species management]	
		Law enforcement]	
		Capacity building]	
		Other (please provide details)							
Objec Indicat		Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6	, Target , are co nt strate ation, su egy and	7, Targe vered by gy and/o ich as a il/or actio	et 9, Targ	get 10 aged plan; ationa and	, Targ with: I enfo	get 12 and Ti	twork;
1.7.1	la D	o you have, are you engaged in, or co	vered by	y:			Yes	No	No Information
	-	An international enforcement strategy a	and/or a	ction pla	an?				Information
	_	Formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?	Unenuseu						
	-	A national enforcement strategy and/or	action	plan?			\boxtimes		
	frances	A STATE OF THE STA	The about 18th about 18th Colonians and the						

	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagemen details:	it and prov	ide additio	nal	
	Strategies and Action Plans are in place at border level between p	arties			
	Have national level working groups that look after the managemer	nt of certair	ı species iı	n CITES	
	National Enforcement strategy and/or action plan: National Fisheri National Operational Compliance Strategy.	es Enforce	ment Polic	y and	
	Formal national interagency cooperation: MOUs with the Fiji Navy Collaboration with FRCS.	and Fiji Po	olice Force		
Indicator 1	.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewand the activities taken to implement their strategies.	ewing their	enforceme	ent strate	gies,
1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your	Yes			
	enforcement strategy (ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy (ies)?	conside	t review is eration	under	
		No No info	rmation		
	If 'Yes', what do you do?	140 11110	mation		
	Any review of the listed species would go through the Office of the and tabled in cabinet for endorsement.	e Solicitor	General fo	r vetting	
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do	you find of	value?		
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes No, but conside No No infor		is under	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used a equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that co	and how us	eful the too de:	olkit or	
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to tools useful to you:	make the t	oolkit or eq	juivalent	
ndicator 1.	7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and protechnology, and capacity to use specialized investigation prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences	ocedures, o	capacity to ues, for i	use fore	nsic ting,
1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No			
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available	No informa	ation		
	Endangered and Protected Species Act 2002 and EPS Amendment 2017 has penalties for illegal activities for not having proper documentation for CITES listed species				
	Under the Ministry of Fisheries:				
	 Offshore Fisheries Management Regulations – Schedule 11: Offences to which an Offshore Fixed Penalty Notice Applies - \$10 000 for a natural person \$20 000 for corporation or other 				

	 entity. Fisheries Act Cap 158 – Section 10(2)© impriso three months or to a fine of five hundred dollars such penalties Biosecurity Act 2008 – offenses related to the imand exportation of animal, plants, and their products. 	or to b	oth		
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	trafficki	ng	Yes No No information	⊠ □ on □
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for treated as serious crimes:				
	It is an offence under the Endangered and Protected	l Speci	es Act	2002 and EPS	Amendment 2017
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to investigation of CITES offences?	suppo	rt the	Yes No No information	on 🗆
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samp collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic another country) during the period covered in this re	nalysis	n CITE facility	ES-listed specie (located in you	es that were ur country and/or
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic please indicate which species it applies to:	analys	sis faci	lity for CITES-I	isted species,
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?	specie	s	Yes No No information	The same of the sa
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties:	y lesso	ns lea	rned which mig	ght be helpful for
	The Ministry of Forestry works with the line Ministry a law enforcement operations and prosecution.	and oth	er enfo	orcement agen	cies to carry out
	The Ministry of Fisheries has multiagency enforcement Customs and he Fisheries Enforcement Officers, need and the use of the latest technology to monitor CITES A total of 68 inspections conducted and 66 resulted in Regulation 5 of the Offshore Fisheries Management Regulation 20(1).	ed supp S listed In the id	oort on specie lentifica	funding, trainir es. ation of infringi	ng and equipment
	Of the 68 inspections, 14 were conducted by multi-di	sciplina	ary ope	eration teams.	
1:7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	releva	nt	Yes No No informatio	
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime⁴	\square			

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country (ies).

³ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

	Predicate offences ¹					
	Asset forfeiture ²					
	Corruption ³					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁴				771777777777777777777777777777777777777	
	Organized crime ⁵					
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁶				- Townson	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which the Ministry of Forestry works with the line Ministry a law enforcement operations and prosecution.	might b	e helpf	ul for other Par	ties:	
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the leprovisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer.	egislativ ences?	e	Yes No No information	on 🗆	
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs: Enforcement sits under different agencies					
	Forensic/DNA analysis training/Genetic Assessment, training.	enforce	ement	training, e-mon	itoring set up and	

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	\boxtimes
		Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	

Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During t	he period covered	d in this r	eport:			Yes	No	No Information					
1.7.5a	Have any adm suspensions)	ninistrativ been imp	e meas	ures (e.g. fines, b	ans, offences?	\boxtimes							
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details:												
	Enforcement of Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) by DoE												
	The FRCS detains and refer CITES related goods to relevant authority.												
	7 offshore Fixed Penalty Notices have been issued for offending against Regulation 5 of the Offshore Fisheries Management Regulation 2014.												
	6 served to co	Offshore Fisheries Management Regulation 2014. 6 served to corporations and 1 was served to a natural person. Additional information is provided in the table below.											
	Date of Offence	Date F		Individual/ Corporation	FPN Amount	Тур	e of Offenc	e					
	20/06/2019	20/07/	2019	Individual	\$20,000.00	Pos	session of H	lumphead					
	05/11/2019	05/12/2	2019	Corporation	\$10,000.00	Poss	session of G	iant					
	18/12/2019	17/01/2	2020	Corporation	\$20,000.00	00 Possession of F Wrasse		lumphead					
	18/12/2019	17/01/2	7/01/2020 Corporati		\$20,000.00		Possession of Giant Clams						
	27/07/2020 26/0		8/2020 Corporation \$20,000.00		\$20,000.00		Possession of Giant Clams						
	27/07/2020	26/08/2	020 Corporation \$20,000.00		Poss Wras	Possession of Humphead Wrasse							
	30/01/2020	02/02/2	2020	Corporation	\$20,000.00		Possession of Humphea Wrasse						
	2 cases had for Fisheries Act C	2 cases had formal charges were filed in court for offending against Regulation 20(1) of the											
	Date of of			Formal Jes were filed	Individual/ Corporation			nce					
	25/11/2019		30/04/	2020	Individual	Р	ossession o	of turtle					
	30/10/2019	9	25/09/	2020	Individual	Р	ossession o	f turtle					
1.7.5b	Have there bee offences?	n any cri	minal pi	osecutions of CI	ΓES-related								
	If 'Yes', how ma	iny and fo	or what	types of offences	? If available, p	lease att	ach details:						
	Under the Ministr	rv of Fish	eries:										
	1. When ar	Offshore	e Fixed	Penalty Notice is	not paid with 2	21 days fr	om the date	of issue,					
	detected Court as	I. All 7 FF none we	'N ment ere paid	the nearest Mag ioned in the table within the 21 day	above, were c	hallenged 2 cases h	d in the Mag	istrate after					
	sentence	es were g	iven by	the court and 1 v	vas dismissed.	4 cases	are currently	active; 2					

		2.	are awaiting their ruling and 2 an Of the 2 Formal charges laid, on accused and 1 is scheduled for p	e is closed after senter	ncing and	payment of fin	e by the
1.7.5	ic	Have t	nere been any other court actions offences?	against CITES-			
		If 'Yes'	what were the offences involved a	nd what were the result	s? Please	attach details:	
1.7.5	id	How w	ere any confiscated specimens d	isposed of?		Tick a	I that apply
		any pr	to country of export. If any anima or permission (import permits) w ntry of export	al, plant or their produc ill subject to detained	cts importe and re-sh	ed without ip back to	
		- Put	lic zoos or botanical gardens				
1		- Des	ignated rescue centres				
		– App	roved private facilities				
		- Eut	anasia				
		- Oth	er (please specify):				
		With FI	ou encountered any challenges in RCS there are challenges in hand lge on identifying the different sp	lling species at the bor	ted specir der and h	mens? aving the	
		Do you	have good practice that you wou	ld like to share with oth	ner Parties	s?	
Objecti		Ai .1: Ti re	orties and the Secretariat have ac chi Target 1, Target 12 and Targe e number of Parties with nation cources in place to implement uance of permits and enforceme	t 19. onal and regional trai CITES including the	nina proc	rammes and	information
1.8.1a	P	he maki ermit ofl	ve information resources or training of non-detriment findings? cers? in the first training of the cert of the	ing in place to support ☑	: YesNo		
1.8.1b	V	vork? Vhat im;	ES Virtual College used as part rovements could be made in usinulding?		No No	-	
1.8.1c	O. A	evelopn f the cui Vhat imp	WC Wildlife and Forest Crime To ent of capacity-building program riculum of such programmes? rovements could be made in usin building?	mes, or does it form pa	Me		

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your		Yes	\boxtimes
	Management Authority (ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		No	
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those			
	standards?			
	Different Standard Operating Procedures in Place			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?		Yes	\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	CITES Certificate is 12 working days			
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	Availability of funding?			\boxtimes
	Number of staff?			\boxtimes
	A shortage of skills?			\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?	_		_
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		140	
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	Non – Detrimental Finding Guidelines			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	Quota Setting and Monitoring of CITES at National Level If possible, please provide your performance against service			
	standards during the period covered in this report: If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:			
	Availability of funding?	Yes		No
	Number of staff?			
	- A shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			\boxtimes
.2.1c	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes	\boxtimes
	enforcement authority(ies)?		No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			_
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	Endangered and Protected Species Act permitting and registration of companies by DoE			
1	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targ	ets?	No	\boxtimes
		ease provide your performing the period covered in			
	If you did not i a result of:	meet your performance ta	rgets then was this shortfall	Yes	No
	 Availability 	of funding?			\boxtimes
	 Number of 	staff?			\boxtimes
	A shortage	of skills?			\boxtimes
	Identifying CIT	ortage of skills, which skill ES specimens, handling, evant agencies	ls do you need more of? treatment and handing		
2.2.1d	Please only co 2.2.1b, or 2.2.	omplete this question if yo 1c, relating to the existend	our answered 'No' to the first pace of approved service standa	art of question 2.2.1a, rds for your authorities	:
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following fo	or your authorities to function e	effectively?	
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcement Authority(ies)	
	Funding?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No 🛛	
	Staff?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Yes ☐ No 🏻	1.00 (0.1)
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Yes ☐ No 🛛	Yes ☐ No 🛛	,
			basis gr-p grownings filings		

The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:
- changed the budget for activities;
- hired more staff; Indicator 2.2.2:

- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectivenes implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable		
	Hiring of more staff			
	Development of implementation tools	Han Average		$\overline{\boxtimes}$
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	on, monitoring	or enforcement	
	Other (please specify):			_
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)			
	Scientific Authority(ies)		\boxtimes	
	Enforcement authorities			
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority (ies)?		\boxtimes	
	Scientific Authority (ies)?		\boxtimes	
	Enforcement authorities?		\boxtimes	

2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for the national level through the following	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?									
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority						
	Hiring of more staff										
	Development of implementation tools	\boxtimes									
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	\boxtimes									
	e-permitting	\boxtimes									
	Other (please specify):										
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing	Yes	Under development	No							
	Species information	\boxtimes									
	Trade information										
	Non-detriment findings	Markata Markata da Mar									

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	ick all that are app	licable
	Administrative procedures	ion an triat are app	
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or in the sea) 	ntroduction from	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introducti of CITES-listed species) 	ion from the sea	\boxtimes
	Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species		\boxtimes
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species		\boxtimes
	- Use of CITES-listed species		\boxtimes
	Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species		
	Other (please specify):		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🛛 N	10 🗆
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Se	cretariat:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or w	vildlife conservation	1?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	\boxtimes
		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	The cost of processing permits is recovered by DoE		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

P	
2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo
	Due diligence ⊠ □
	Compensatory mechanisms
	Certification 🖂 🗌
	Communal property rights
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges⊠ □
	Enforcement incentives
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:
	There is a timeframe set for the processing of permit application by DoE
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all⊠
	Very little□
	Somewhat□
	Completely⊠

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacitybuilding programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are Indicator 2.3.1: fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity buildin you run during the period covered in thi				Withou from th Secret	ne .	stance	Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			1	lone				\boxtimes
				1				
				2-5				
				6-10				
		,		1-20				
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions		More tha	an 20		Ш		
	It includes decisions to review Endange			od Sp	ooioo I	iotina		
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities				ecies L	isting		
2.3.1c			aken pia	ice?		_		
2.5.10	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?	1		_	-		
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		Details
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes		Ø			Nist-1011	
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\boxtimes						
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\boxtimes			\boxtimes			
	Traders / other user groups		\boxtimes					
	NGOs	\boxtimes	\boxtimes					
	Public							
	Other (please specify)				Ī	F		

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:	Yes No Not applicable No information	
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?					Yes Solution No Information Solution		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	The state of the s							

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2	Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.
	Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

2245	Here OITEO authorities I. J.		
3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant
1	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Widow mobile	User
	• .	Wider public	Groups
	- Press conferences	\bowtie	
	- Press releases	\boxtimes	
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 	\boxtimes	
	 Radio appearances 	\boxtimes	
	 Presentations 	\boxtimes	
	 Public consultations / meetings 	\boxtimes	
	 Market surveys 		\boxtimes
	- Displays	\boxtimes	
l i	 Information at border crossing points 	\boxtimes	
	 Telephone hotline 		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) 		
	- Other (specify):		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		_

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority					\boxtimes
	Staff of Scientific Authority					\boxtimes
	Staff of enforcement authorities					\boxtimes
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	vebsite?	Exce	ellent	
				Goo	d	
				Aver	age	
				Pool	r	
				Very	Poor	
					nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountere which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):					ntered,
	No further comments					

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?		
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?		
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		
	Agencies for trade?	\boxtimes	
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?		
	Local authorities or communities?	\boxtimes	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		
	Trade or other private sector associations?		
	NGOs?		
	Other (please specify)		
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?		
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		
	Planning processes?		
	National accounting?		П

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3:	The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-
	governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training
	and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		Fiji Government
	Non-governmental organizations?		

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the						
	CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable			
	Appendix I	\boxtimes					
	Appendix II	\boxtimes					
	Appendix III	\boxtimes					
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary						
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories	or	Yes				
	emerging problems with any CITES listed species?		No	\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes', please provide details:		No informatio	n 🔲			

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National	Yes	\boxtimes
	Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	No	
		No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment	Yes	\boxtimes
	Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP	No	
	implementation?	No information	

Objective	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat or agreements dealing with natural collaborative approach to speci- those which are commercially et Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	I resour es whicl exploited	ces, as a h can be l.	approp endar	oriate, in ngered	orde by un	er to achieve a cohe esustainable trade, in	rent and ncluding
Indicator 3	.5.1:	The number of cooperative actic to prevent species from being u	ns take nsustaii	n under nably ex	establi ploited	shed b	ilatera jh inte	al or multilateral agre ernational trade.	ements
3.5.1a	3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:							Yes No No information	
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele- natural resources are consulted	vant inte on issu	ernation es relev	al orga ant to	anizatio specie:	ns ar s subj	nd agreements deal ject to unsustainable	ing with trade.
3.5.2a	that i	age number of times per year nternational organizations or ements have been consulted TES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment which organization issues consulte	ns and
	Mana	gement Authority(ies)							
	Scien	tific Authority(ies)	\boxtimes						
	Enforc	cement Authority(ies)							
Please prov	vide an	Gen y additional comments you would	eral fee		ncludir	ng com	ments	s on this format.	
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed Web link(s) Rocinged Not available Previously providents						ded 🖂			
Please list	any m	aterials annexed to the report, e.	g. fee s	chedule	s, awa	reness	raisir	ng materials, etc:	
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance? No No Information									
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required. Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties? No									

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

If 'Yes' please provide details / links:

How could this report format be improved?