Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision*: 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	CITES Management Authority - Namibia
Period covered in this report	2019/2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No x If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report: Controlled Wildlife Products and Trade Act, 2008 as amended.
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes x No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	□ x		
	Are the procedures publicly available?			
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	□ x		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		□x	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		□х	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		□х	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		Пх	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:

I	If 'Ne', do you have any plane to may a towards a permitting 12									
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹? If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	what migh	t help you	to do so:						
	Namibia is in the processes of developing an online permitting system, and this process is expected to be finalised soonest depend of fund availability.									
Indicator	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro	ocedures p	provided f	or in <u>Resolutio</u>						
1.2.2a	2.2a Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following? Tick all applicable									
		Yes	No	No information						
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.			□ x						
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.	□х								
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.			□ x						
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	□х								
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:			□ x						
Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18. Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.										
1.3.1a	1.3.1a Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?									
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements x Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable									
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in the implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the of the Parties?		е	s 🗌 No 🗌 🗴						
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?									

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Aichi Target 1, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution

1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution
	Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes No x
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

- Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to:
 - a) the population status of Appendix-II species;

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species.

- b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
- c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	□ x			□ 3
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	□ x			
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	□ x			
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?			□ x	
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	□ x			

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:							
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results or other analysis (e.g. populatio stable / increase, off-take levels links to published reference ma	n status, de etc), or pro	cline /				
	Loxodonta africana	Reports to be shared with the S	Secretariat					
	Panthera leo	Lion NDF Report Namibia.docx						
	Panthera pardus	National Leopard Census - Oct	ober 2019.p	<u>df</u>				
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app	ply						
		Revised harves	•	quotas ∐ export ☐				
		Stricter dor	وااااااا nestic meas					
		Changed manageme						
		Discussion with Mana	-					
		Discussion with other		lers? 🗌 🗴				
		ther (please provide a short sumn	nary):					
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste			X				
	plane for matarany occurring / appendix / note	Not Applicable						
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summa impact:	ary, including, if possible, an	evaluation	of their				
	Diceros bicornis and Ceratotherium simum and conservation of the two species. Implemented the re-establishment of a viable population of	entation of these plans has contr						
	Namibia is also in the process of developin management and conservation of pangolin in		n which aim	s for the				
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment finding If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to NDF report will be shared with the Secretarian	the Secretariat within this report:] No 🗌					
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		Yes	No				
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	pecies biology, life history,	x 🗌					
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.						
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	ocations and at sites protected	x					
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.	x					
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.	x					
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge						

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
			Yes	No	information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?				
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non- or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the internet: Namibia follows the guideline as provided by Resolution Conf. detrimental findings.	he inform	ation	can be	
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	wina			
1.0.25	guidance been used?	9	Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual C	College			
	IUCN Ch	necklist		[
	Resolution Con	ıf. 16.7		X	
	2008 NDF wo	rkshop		1	
	Species specific gui	idance		ĺ	
		Other		1	
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:				
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by	case		x 🗌
	detriment findings?	Annually			
	!	Every tw	o year	S	
		Less fred			
		A mix of	the ab	ove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings	would	be cha	anged:
Indicator 1.	5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based	d on pop	ulatior	n surve	VS.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	
		No	x _
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other,
	·	Population	please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	x 🗌
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	t finding process:	

Objective '	Parties cooperate in managing Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target					12 an	d Target 19.
Indicator 1.	6.1: The number of bilateral and management of shared CITES						pecifically provide for co-
1.6.1a	1.6.1a Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes x ☐ No ☐ If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: KAZA, member countries include: Angola, Botswana, Namibia, Zambia and Zimbabwe.						
Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.							
1.6.2a Do you have any cooperative managin place for shared populations of CIT					ecove	ery pla	ans, Yes x ☐ No ☐
	, , , ,		nce to a	publishe		·	ovide a link or reference
Indicator 1.	6.3: The number of workshops and o to address the conservation and						
1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities receivant activities provided by external source		enefited	from ar	ny of	the fo	ollowing capacity-building
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Do	ماده
		_ a	a Ţ	Па		0	De	etails
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
100-	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	JITES P	arties?	T			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always		er detail / amples
	Information exchange			χ□				
	Monitoring / survey							
	Habitat management							
	Species management							
	Law enforcement			x 🗌				
	Capacity building							
	Other (please provide details)		<u>.</u>		I			
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with: — an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; — formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; — a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and — formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.								
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co					Yes	No	No Information
	- an international enforcement strategy					Ш		
	– formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?			onal		x 🗆		
	 a national enforcement strategy and/o 					x 🗌		
	 formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? 	on, such	ı as a na	ıtional				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details: The Namibia Police has been working closely together with international law enforcements agencies (INTERPOL) on matter relates to illegal wildlife trades.							

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes x No, but review is under consideration No No information					
	If 'Yes', what do you do? Namibia use a living enforcement strateg as new ideas and thinking regarding prevention of illegal wildlife tra		nent				
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?					
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	er				
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit or equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made:						
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to matools useful to you:	nake the toolkit or equivalen	t				

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences.

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes	
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available		
	Any person who convicted for poaching, illegal trade in or illegal possession of the Controlled Wildlife Products can be liable to a fine of not exceeding N\$15 000 000.00 or to imprisonment for a period not exceeding 15 years or to both such fine and such imprisonment.		
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes	
	recognized as serious crime¹ in your country?	No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: All wildlife offences are treated as serious the Court of law.		
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the	Yes	
	investigation of CITES offences?	No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (locate country) during the period covered in this report:		

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

	At the moment, Namibia does not have facility where wildlife forensic analysis is conducted. However, samples are sent to South Africa for analysis.					
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic please indicate which species it applies to:	analys	is facil	ity for CITES-lis	sted species,	
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?			Yes No No information	x	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: Various road block operations were conducted throughout the country in conjunction with the ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism and the Namibia Police to intercept illegal movement of wildlife products. During these operations, expertise was shared among staff members of the Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism and the Namibian Police on about wildlife law enforcement related matters.					
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	Yes No No information	n			
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?	
	General crime ²	Пх				
	Predicate offences ³					
	Asset forfeiture ⁴	Пх				
	Corruption ⁵					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶					
	Organized crime ⁷					
	Specialized investigation techniques8					
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which r					
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer		re	Yes No No information	x	

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

If 'No'	nlogeo	provide a	briof o	umman	of v	our mai	or co	nacity	, building	noode:	
II INO ,	piease	provide a	DHEL 2	ummary	OI Y	your maj	oi ca	pacity	/-bulluli iç	i neeus.	

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	
		Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	x
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	x
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into	Always	□ x
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	x
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	x

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the period covered in this report: Yes No								
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	Пх						
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offence	s. If availa	ble, please a	attach details:				
	The number if fines imposed for CITES related offences (determined at the moment. However, several fines were issuacquired through illegal wildlife trades were seized by the Name	ued and p	properties b					
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	x 🗆						
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details:	N/A				
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?			□ x				
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the resu wildlife cases in Namibia are treated the same whether in resp							
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply				
	Return to country of export							
	Public zoos or botanical gardens							
	Designated rescue centres							
	Approved private facilities							
	– Euthanasia							
	Other (please specify):			□x				
	Confiscated live animals are released in designated National specimens are brought to the central storages for safe keeping		hile dead					
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca $N\!/\!A$	ted specir	mens?					
_	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s? <i>N/A</i>					

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? <i>N/A</i>	Yes No No information	x
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	x

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		Yes No	□x □
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		Yes No	□ x
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	□ □ x
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

2.2.1c	Do you have a	an approved service standa	ırd(s) ⁴⁷	for your			Yes	
		go to Question 2.2.1d.					No	Ш
	If 'Yes', for wh	hose						
		u have performance targets	for the	ee etandarde ⁴	18 2	,	/es	
	_	are your performance target		se standards	•		No	H
		y p g -						
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th						
		meet your performance targ			ortfall			
	a result of:					Yes		No
	availability	of funding?						
	number of	staff?						
	a shortage	of skills?						
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you	need more o	f?			
2.2.1d		omplete this question if you 1c, relating to the existence						:
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following for	your a	uthorities to fo	unction effectiv	ely?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scier	ntific Authority	(ies)	Enforcen Authority		
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌 🗴	Υ	′es 🗌 No 📄	(Yes ☐ No ☐x		
	Staff?	Yes x □ No □	Υ	es x 🗌 No 🗌] ,	x Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
	Skills?	Yes x 🗌 No 🗌	Y	es x 🗌 No 🗀] ,	x Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
					i			
Indicator 2	– cha – hire – dev – puro	nber of Parties that have un nged the budget for activitie d more staff; eloped implementation tool chased technical equipmen	es; s; t for imp	olementation,	monitoring or			
2.2.2a		e following activities been un report to enhance the effect			period			
		at the national level?				Tick	if appli	cable
	Hiring of more s	staff					□ No	
	Development of	f implementation tools					☐ No)
	Purchase of tec	chnical equipment for imple	mentati	on, monitorin	g or enforceme	nt		
	Other (please s	pecify):						
2.2.2b	During the period budget for your	od covered in this report, wa :	as the	Increased	Stable	De	crease	∍d
	Management A	uthority(ies)						
	Scientific Autho	rity(ies)					Пх	
	Enforcement au	uthorities					Пх	
2.2.2c		able to use international						
	development fu level of impleme	nding assistance to increas entation of your	se the	Yes	No x	Not :	applica	able
	Management A	uthority(ies)?			■ x			
1	Scientific Autho	rity/ioc\2						

	Enforcement authorities?							
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?							
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority			
	Hiring of more staff				□ x			
	Development of implementation tools				□ x			
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement		□х					
	e-permitting		□ x					
	Other (please specify):							
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	Yes	Under development	No				
	Species information			□ x				
	Trade information	□ x						
	Non-detriment findings		Пх					

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are appl	icable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or the sea) 	introduction from	□ x
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduct of CITES-listed species) 	tion from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		$\square x$
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		$\square x$
	Other (please specify):		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🗌 N	lo 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the S	ecretariat:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or	wildlife conservation	1?
		Entirely	
		Partlyx	
		Not at all	
		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		X
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		X
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		×
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		

If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		ı
---	--	---	--	---

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures ¹ such as Convention?	those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the YesNo
	Due diligence	x□ □
	Compensatory mechanisms	
	Certification	x 🗆 🗆
	Communal property rights	
	Auctioning of quotas	
	Cost recovery or environmenta	l charges□ □
	Enforcement incentives	x 🗌 🗎
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
	further information:	
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity b	een eliminated? Not at all⊡
	Very little□	
	Somewhat⊡	
	Completely ☐	

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this	is report?			Withou from th Secreta		e Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
				lone 1 2-5 6-10		x 🗌	x 🗆
			11-20				
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place?						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?	7	·		T1	
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO
ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND
OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY
SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	□ □ <i>N/A</i>

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes	
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)	
								-	

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	Press conferences		
	- Press releases		
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	□ x	
	Television appearances		
	Radio appearances	□ x	
	- Presentations		
	Public consultations / meetings		
	Market surveys		
	– Displays	□ x	
	Information at border crossing points		
	Telephone hotline	□ x	
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)		
	Other (specify):		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities					□ x	
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	vebsite?	Exce	ellent		
				Goo	d		
				Ave	rage x		
				Poo	r		
				Very	Poor		
				No i	nformation		
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					untered,	

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information x	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description:		

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?				
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?				
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No		
	Agencies for development?				
	Agencies for trade?				
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?				
	Local authorities or communities?				
	Indigenous or local peoples?	x 🗆			
	Trade or other private sector associations?	x 🗆			
	NGOs?				
	Other (please specify)				
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No		
	National and local development strategies?				
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?				
	Planning processes?				
	National accounting?				

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

	governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund and capacity-building activities.	I CITES works	hops and other	training
3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicab	Which	
	Inter-governmental organizations?			
	Non-governmental organizations?			
Objective	development goals set at WSSD, the <i>Strategic Plan</i> relevant <i>Aichi Biodiversity Targets</i> , and the relevant Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthene in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels. This objective may also be assessed by a variety of including action taken to implement many of the CITES Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Ta Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.	for Biodiversate outcomes of ed by ensuring s. means beyond resolutions and rget 6, Target 7	of the United I that international decisions. 7, Target 12, Targe	and the Nations al trade format, rget 14,
Indicator 3	4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES A	ppendices has	stabilized or im	proved.
3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Appendix I Appendix II Appendix III If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please properties of the state of the shared with Secretary Panthera pardus Panthera leo	x	No Not Appl	<u> </u>
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success storie emerging problems with any CITES listed species? If 'Yes', please provide details:	No	formation	□ □ □ x
Indicator 3		tional Biodivers	sity Strategy and	d Action
3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No	information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environm Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of Nimplementation?	IBSAP No	information x	

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-

Objective	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat coagreements dealing with natura collaborative approach to specie those which are commercially e Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 19.	l resourd es which xploited	ces, as a can be	approp endan	riate, in gered l	orde by un	er to achieve a coherent and sustainable trade, including
Indicator 3	5.1:	The number of cooperative action to prevent species from being under the cooperative action.						
3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species fi being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:							Yes x No No information	
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted						
3.5.2a	that agre	rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
		agement Authority(ies)						This depend on the need,
		ntific Authority(ies)						
	Enfo	rcement Authority(ies)						This depend on the need,
Please pro	vide a	Ge ny additional comments you wou	neral fee		includii	ng com	ıment	s on this format.
		Item			_			
Copy of fu		of CITES-relevant legislation if cl	nanged		Not	losed availab viously		ded
Please lis	t any r	materials annexed to the report, e	g. fee s	schedule	es, awa	areness	raisi	ng materials, etc:
your coun	try rec	raints to implementation of the Co quiring attention or assistance? describe the constraint and the ty						nation
Are there Parties?	examı	ples of good practice you would li				Yes No	nform	
I it ites ple	ase pi	rovide details / links:						

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

How could this report format be improved?