Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

| Party | Fiji |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Period covered in this report | January 1 2019 to december 31 2019 |
| Department or agency preparing this report | Ministry of Environment |
| Contributing departments, agencies and organizations | FIJI REVENUE & CUSTOMS SERVICE |

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

| 1.1.1a | Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report: |
|--------|---|
| 1.1.1b | Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: |

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

| | | Yes | No | No information |
|--------|---|---|-------------|--|
| 1.2.1a | Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits? | \boxtimes | | |
| | Are the procedures publicly available? | \boxtimes | | |
| 1.2.1b | Do you have: | | | |
| | Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system? | \boxtimes | | |
| | Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries | \boxtimes | | |
| | Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries? | 779-73-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1- | \boxtimes | ************************************** |
| | Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs? | \boxtimes | П | |
| | Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs? | \boxtimes | | |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challeng | ges faced | or issues | overcome: |

| I | The database is used internally by the Ministry of Environment ar | nd Custom | s to keep | a record of all | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | CITES Permit processed and issued each year If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹? | | | П | | | |
| | If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: Resources and expertise required. | | | | | | |
| Indicator | | ocedures | provided f | or in <u>Resolutio</u> | | | |
| 1.2.2a | Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the | | Γick all app | nlicable | | | |
| | | Yes | No | No information | | | |
| | Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required. | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2. | | | | | | |
| | For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5. | | | | | | |
| | For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4. | | | | | | |
| | Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| Objectiv Indicator | by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, 7 | Target 14 a | and Target | 18. Resolutions ar | | | |
| 1.3.1a | .1a Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])? Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements | | | | | | |
| | Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable | | | | | | |
| 1.3.1b | Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes □ No ☑ | | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(were / are being encountered? | (s), and, fo | or each, wl | nat difficulties | | | |

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19. The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in 1.4.1: Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals. Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing 1.4.1a on the CITES Appendices? Yes ☐ No ☒ If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14. The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based Indicator 1.5.1: on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans. 1.5.1a Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been Not If Yes. undertaken in your country in relation to: Yes Applicable How No many? - the population status of Appendix II species? \Box \boxtimes П - the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II X species? - the status of and trend in naturally-occurring \boxtimes П Appendix I species? - the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I \boxtimes П species?

 \boxtimes

Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and

indigenous communities?

The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species.

Objective 1.4

| | If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide: | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Species name (scientific) | A brief summary of the results of or other analysis (e.g. population stable / increase, off-take levels links to published reference mat | n status, de etc), or pro | cline / | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1.5.1b | How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app | ly | | _ | | |
| | | Revised harves | | | | |
| | | | _ | export 🔲 | | |
| | | | omestic me | | | |
| | | Changed managem Discussion with Manag | | | | |
| | | Discussion with ot | | | | |
| | Other (please provide a short summary): Co | | | | | |
| | Scientific Council on status of species and it | s abundance. | | | | |
| 1.5.1c | Do you have specific conservation measure | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste | | | 닏 | | |
| | | Not Applicable No information | | 님 | | |
| | | | f the ein | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, inclimpact: Fiji Crested Iguana Brachylophus vi (https://portals.jucn.org/library/sites/library/fil The goal of the plan is to prioritize the consessurvival of the species. | tiensis – Species recovery plan les/documents/2008-012.pdf) | | -term | | |
| 1.5.1d | Have you published any non-detriment findi | ngs that can be shared? Yes 🗌 N | lo ⊠ | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to | the Secretariat within this report: | | | | |
| 1.5.1e | Which of the following (A to F of paragraph do you use in making non-detriment finding | | Yes | No | | |
| | A. relevant scientific literature concerning spatistribution and population trends. | pecies biology, life history, | | | | |
| | B. details of any ecological risk assessment | s conducted. | \boxtimes | | | |
| | C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts. | ocations and at sites protected | \boxtimes | | | |
| | D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca | al and indigenous communities. | \boxtimes | | | |
| | E. consultations with relevant local, regiona | and international experts. | \boxtimes | | | |
| | F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by U Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at mark example. | NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge | | | | |

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

| 4.50 | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1.5.2a | | \/ | N.E. | No information | | | |
| | | Yes | No | illionnation | | | |
| | Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7? | | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for r or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to whe on the NDF are referred to the Fiji CITES Scientific Council to facil across relevant authorities and experts including academics. ND NGOs to facilitate for the Ministry of Environment. | re the information | mation h wide | can be found internet: | | | |
| 1.5.2b | When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follow | ving | | | | | |
| | guidance been used? | - | ase tick | all that apply | | | |
| | Virtual Co | | | | | | |
| | IUCN Che | cklist | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Resolution Conf. | Resolution Conf. 16.7 | | | | | |
| | 2008 NDF work | Resolution Conf. 16.7 | | | | | |
| | Species specific guid | lance | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | | Other | | | | | |
| | If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details: | | | | | | |
| 1.5.2c | detriment findings? Events to the second of | ase by case nnually very two yea ess frequentl mix of the al | У | | | | |
| | Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment fir | ndings would | l be cha | anged: | | | |

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

| 1.5.3a | Do you set annual export quotas? | Yes | | | | |
|--------|--|------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | No | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how | | | | | |
| | quotas are set: | | Other, | | | |
| | Spacios Namo (aciontifia) | Population | please | | | |
| | Species Name (scientific) | Survey? | specify | | | |
| | Please see the attached Listing | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1.5.3b | Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will | Yes | \boxtimes | | | |
| | ensure sustainable production and consumption? | No | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment | finding process: | | | | |
| | There is a Scientific Council that verifies and puts together the quota based on sectoral advice, scientific evidence (including NDFs) and regulatory monitoring database. Reference is also mad to Resolution Conf. 16.7. | | | | | |

| Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing s Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6 | | | | | | | 12 an | d Target 19. | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------------|---|
| Indicator ' | 1.6.1: | The number of bi management of sha | | | | | | | pecifically provide for co- |
| 1.6.1a | 1.6.1a Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ⊠ No ☐ If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: Convention on Migratory Species, Convention on Biological Diversity, International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, International Plant Protection Convention and Ramsar Wetlands of International of Importance | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator ' | 1.6.2: | The number of coop populations of CITE | | | nt plans | , includi | ng re | cover | y plans, in place for shared |
| 1.6.2a | Do you in plac | u have any cooperati e for shared populati | ve manager ons of CITE | nent pla S-listed | ns, inclu species | iding red ? | cover | y plan | s, Yes ⊠ No □ |
| Indicator | If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species. Species Name (scientific) Brachylophus vitiensis https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/2008-012.pdf Fiji also has the following polices: Policy on the conservation and management of Fiji's Coral Reefs and associated Ecosystems Iguana Conservation Policy Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6.3a | 1 | e the CITES author | | | enefited | from ar | ny of | the fo | ollowing capacity-building |
| | targ | ase tick boxes to indice to group and which a | | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | What were the external sources ¹ ? |
| | Staf | f of Management Aut f of Scientific Authorit f of enforcement auth | ty | | | | | | sources : |
| | Trac NG(| ders · | | | | | | | |

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

| 1.6.3b | Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States? | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| | Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | | |
| | and the second section of the second | ğ Ö | as — | as Ei | Ë | ð | | Details |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | | | | | | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | | | | | | | |
| | Staff of enforcement authorities | | | | | | t general terrores por constituir por transport species propries species speci | |
| | Traders | | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | | |
| | Public | | | | | | inatori ilino e dilino e etto pipo e e ene pipo e e eliminatori il | |
| | Other Parties/International meetings | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Other (please specify) | | | | | | | |
| 1.6.3c | In what ways do you collaborate with | other C | ITES P | arties? | *************************************** | | 7 | |
| | | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Very Often | Always | 1 | her detail / kamples |
| | Information exchange | | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring / survey | | | | | | - | |
| | Habitat management | | | | | | | |
| | Species management | | | | | | 1 | |
| | Law enforcement | | | | | | e commente de la comm | |
| | Capacity building | | | | | | Andreas described | |
| | Other (please provide details) | THE PERSONNEL PROPERTY OF THE PERSONNEL PROP | | L | eccept pann god but been op an | | Transcription and an advanced an account of the annihology of the | |
| Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with: - an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; - formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; - a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and - formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7.1a | Yes No | | | | | | No Information | |
| | an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan? | | | | | $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | | |
| | formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network? | | | | 104 110313967; | V | 47.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.4 | HE-77AHAN-SEEREE SHIIIRII HAN YEVO SHEKA |
| | – a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan? | | | | | $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | | elektrografi izatron armalaktri anasi izana gamalaktilishiri, sari izatri filisi sak |
| | formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? | n, such a | as a nati | onal | | V | | and the deleta green, green, then the construment and the classification green and |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please speci details: Strategies and action plans are in | fy the le | vel of er at borde | ngageme r level b | ent an | d prov en part | ide additio | nal |

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

| 1.7.2a | Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)? | Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | If 'Yes', what do you do? Any review of the listed species would go Solicitor General for vetting and tabled in Cabinet for endorsemen with relevant agencies. | | d | | | | |
| | If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo | ou find of value? | | | | | |
| 1.7.2b | Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools? | Yes | r | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used ar equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou | uld be made: | | | | | |
| | If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent tools useful to you: | | | | | | |

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences.

| 1.7.3a | Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime? If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available Endangered and Protected Species Act (2002) and EPS (Amendment) 2017 have penalities for illegal activities for not having proper documentation for cites listed species | Yes [No [No information [| |
|--------|--|---|----------------|
| 1.7.3b | Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country? If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: It is an offence under the Endangered a and EPS (Amendment) 2017. | No [No information [Idlife trafficking offences to | be 2002) |
| 1.7.3c | Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the investigation of CITES offences? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report: | Yes No No information S-listed species that were (located in your country and | □ ☑ d/or |

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

| | If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to: | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.7.3d | Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report? | Yes No No informatio | n 🗆 | | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: The Ministry of Environment works with the line ministries and other enforcement agencies to carry out law enforcement operations or prosecutions for CITES offences. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7.3e | Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization? | offence | nt es to | Yes No No information | n 🗆 | | | | |
| 1.7.3f | Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate? | Yes | No | No information | If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report? | | | | |
| | General crime ² | \boxtimes | | | т түүлөгтүү үерүү дөбү байдагы алмааттай тама тараай бүчүлүйүн картуу айын айынга араа далада | | | | |
| | Predicate offences ³ | | | | | | | | |
| | Asset forfeiture ⁴ | | | | de Maria de Maria de a el de Sentido de Consellado en de Consellado de Consellado de Adria de Adria de Adria d | | | | |
| | Corruption ⁵ | \boxtimes | | | ant the state of the first of the state of the | | | | |
| | International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶ | \boxtimes | | | | | | | |
| | Organized crime ⁷ | \boxtimes | | | manishinanda (ilisto oo maaanaa kaasaa k | | | | |
| | Specialized investigation techniques ⁸ | \boxtimes | | | Andrew Control of the | | | | |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: The Ministry of Environment works with the line government ministries and other enforcement agencies to carry out law enforcement operations or prosecutions for CITES offences. Some of the offenses are covered under other national laws. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7.3g | Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offe | Yes No No information | | | | | | | |
| | If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major of under different agencies. For the Ministry of Environmenthis. | capacit ient, a | y-build: needs | ing needs: Enfo assessment wo | orcement sits ould ascertain | | | | |

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

| 1.7.4a | Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort? | Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 1.7.4b | Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species? | Yes No No information | |
| 1.7.4c | Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species? | Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information | |
| 1.74d | Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? | Yes No, but activities are under development No No information | |
| 1.7.4e | Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? | Yes No, but activities are under development No No information | |

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

| During th | e period covered in this report: | Yes | No | No Information | | | |
|-----------|--|---|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 1.7.5a | Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences? | | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details: Required EIAs for corals. | es. If avai | lable, pleas | e attach | | | |
| 1.7.5b | Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences? | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, | please at | tach details. | | | | |
| 1.7.5c | Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences? | | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result | s? Please | attach detail | s: | | | |
| 1.7.5d | How were any confiscated specimens disposed of? | | Tick | all that apply | | | |
| | Return to country of export | TOTAL | Action Control | | | | |
| | Public zoos or botanical gardens | | | | | | |
| | Designated rescue centres | | | | | | |
| | Approved private facilities | | | | | | |
| | - Euthanasia | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): Confiscated items are put in customs warehouse for confiscated items. | | | | | | |
| | Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? Disposal of certain items such as the Giant Clams remains a challenge. | | | | | | |
| | Do you have good practice that you would like to share with oth | ner Parties | s? | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place.

Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

| 1.8.1a | Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? ☑ ☐ Permit officers? ☑ ☐ ☐ Enforcement officers? ☑ ☐ | No | |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 1.8.1b | Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? | Yes No No information | |
| 1.8.1c | Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building? | Yes No No information | |

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

| 2.2.1a | Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? | | Yes No | |
|--------|--|-----|-----------|-------------|
| | If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. | | | |
| | If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? Different Permitting Standard Operating Procedures in place | | | |
| | If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? Yes | | Yes No | |
| | If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? | | | |
| | For pre-CITES Certificates, timeframe is 5 working days; for CITES Certificate it is 12 working days. | | | |
| | Do you publish your performance against service standard targets? | | Yes No | |
| | If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report: | | | |
| | If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of: | Yes | | No |
| | – availability of funding? | | | \boxtimes |
| | - number of staff? | | | \boxtimes |
| | - a shortage of skills? | | | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? | | | |
| 2.2.1b | Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? | | Yes No | |
| | If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. | | | |
| | If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? Non- Detrimental Finding Guidelines | | | |
| | If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? Quota Setting and Monitoring of CITES at national level | | Yes No | |
| | If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report: | | | |
| | If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of: | Yes | | No |
| | - availability of funding? | | | \boxtimes |
| | - number of staff? | | | \boxtimes |
| | - a shortage of skills? | | | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? | | | |
| u | | | | |

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

| 2.2.1c | enforcement a | • · · / | ndard(s) ⁴⁷ for your | Yes No | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | go to Question 2.2.1d. | | | | | | | |
| | standards? Er registration of | ndangered and Protected | andards, and what are those d Species Act permitting and | | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', do you | ı have performance targe | ets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? | Yes | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', what a | are your performance targ | gets? | No | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | If possible, ple | ease provide your performing the period covered in | nance against service this report: | | | | | | |
| | If you did not r a result of: | meet your performance to | argets then was this shortfall | Yes | No | | | | |
| | - availability | of funding? | | П | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | - number of | staff? | | | | | | | |
| | - a shortage | of skills? | | $\overline{\Box}$ | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes' to a she | ortage of skills, which ski | lls do you need more of? | | | | | | |
| 2.2.1d | Please only co | emplete this question if your control of the control of the control of the existen the control of the control o | our answered 'No' to the first par ice of approved service standard | rt of question 2.2.1a ds for your authoritie | l, ∋s: | | | | |
| | | Do you have sufficient of the following for your authorities to function effectively? | | | | | | | |
| | | Management Authority(ies) | Scientific Authority(ies) | Enforcement Authority(ies) | 1 | | | | |
| | Funding? | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | Yes No Yes | | · | | | | |
| | Staff? | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | Yes No No | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | The Charlestone | | | | |
| | Skills? | Yes 🗌 No 🔲 | Yes No No | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | *************************************** | | | | |

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
- hired more staff;
- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

| F | | | | | | |
|--------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 2.2.2a | Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level? Tick if a | | | | | |
| | Hiring of more staff | | | | | |
| | Development of implementation tools | | | | | |
| | Purchase of technical equipment for implementati | ion, monitorin | g or enforcemen | t 🔲 | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| 2.2.2b | During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your: | Increased | Stable | Decreased | | |
| | Management Authority(ies) | | | | | |
| | Scientific Authority(ies) | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Enforcement authorities | | \boxtimes | | | |
| 2.2.2c | Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your | Yes | No | Not applicable | | |
| | Management Authority(ies)? | | \boxtimes | | | |

| | Scientific Authority(ies)? | | \boxtimes | | |
|--------|---|--|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Enforcement authorities? | | | \boxtimes | |
| 2.2.2d | What is the respective level of priority f the national level through the following | or enhancii activities? | ng the effectiv | veness of CITES | implementation at |
| | Activity | High | Medium | Low | Not a Priority |
| | Hiring of more staff | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Development of implementation tools | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement | | \boxtimes | | |
| | e-permitting | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | |
| 2.2.2e | Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing | J. | Yes | Under development | No |
| | Species information | ······································ | \boxtimes | | |
| | Trade information | | | | |
| | Non-detriment findings | | \boxtimes | | |

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

| 2.2.3a | Does the Management Authority charge fees for: | ck all that are appli | - 1 |
|--------|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| | Administrative procedures | | |
| | Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or in the sea) | | |
| | Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction of CITES-listed species) | on from the sea | |
| | Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species | | \boxtimes |
| | Harvesting of CITES-listed species | | |
| | Use of CITES-listed species | | |
| | Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species | | |
| 3 | Other (please specify): | | |
| 2.2.3b | Is a fee schedule publicly available? | Yes 🛛 N | lo 🗌 |
| | If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Se | cretariat: | |
| | to the land and the CITES on w | ildlife cancer otion | 2 |
| 2.2.3c | Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or w | Entirely | '' |
| | | Partly | |
| | | Not at all | |
| | | Not relevant | |
| | | Yes | No No |
| 2.2.3d | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| | Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? | | \boxtimes |
| | Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits? | | |
| | Do you have case studies on charging or using fees? | | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: The cost for proceed permits is recovered. | essing | |
| | Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? If 'Yes', please provide brief details: | | \boxtimes |
| | iii 165, piedse provide brief details. | | |

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

| 2.2.4a | Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? |
|--------|---|
| | Due diligence |
| | Compensatory mechanisms |
| | Certification 🖂 🗌 |
| | Communal property rights |
| | Auctioning of quotas |
| | Cost recovery or environmental charges⊠ □ |
| | Enforcement incentives |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information: we have a set timeframe for the processing of permit applications that are met to ensure minimum delay in processing. As for certifications, pre-CITES certificates are issued is all paperwork is in order. |
| 2.2.4b | Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all |
| | Very little |
| | Somewhat |
| | Completely |

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

| 2.3.1a | you run during the period covered in this report? | | | | Without from the Secreta | Э | ance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat |
|--------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | N | one | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | 2-5 | | | |
| | | | | 6-10 | | \boxtimes | |
| | | | - | 1-20 | | | 닏 |
| | | - | /lore tha | | | Ш | |
| | Please list the Resolutions or Decisions protected species listing. | involve | d: It incl | udes d | lecision | s to re | view endangered and |
| 2.3.1b | What sorts of capacity building activities | have ta | ken pla | ce? | | | |
| 2.3.1c | What capacity building needs do you ha | ve? | | | ······ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | Details |
| | Target group | | | | | | Details |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | | | | | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | | | | | | |
| | Staff of enforcement authorities | | | | | Щ | |
| | Traders / other user groups | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Public | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify) | | | | | | |

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

| 3.1.1a | Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements? | Yes No Not applicable No information | |
|--------|--|---|---|
| | If 'Yes', please provide brief details: External funding received from SP studies. | REP to carry out NDI | = |
| 3.1.1b | During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions: | Increased Remained stable Decreased | |

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

| 2 1 20 | O Hove you provide discharged as for the discharge of the | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 3.1.2a | 3.1.2a Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES? | | | | | | Yes | |
| | | | | | | | No | |
| | | | | | | | Nο | information 🔲 |
| | | | | | e constant | | - 110 | |
| | If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies) | Species Management ¹ | Habitat Management² | Sustainable use | Law Enforcement | Livelihoods | Other (specify) | Details (provide more information in an Appendix if |
| | | ഗ≥ | 12 | S | <u> </u> | | 0 | necessary) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | The state of the s |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | anara da an an sa |

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

| 3.2.1a | Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following | | Relevant User |
|--------|---|--------------|------------------|
| | activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups? | Wider public | Groups |
| | | | |
| | Press conferences | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| | Press releases | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| | Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| | - Television appearances | \boxtimes | |
| | - Radio appearances | \boxtimes | |
| | - Presentations | \boxtimes | |
| | Public consultations / meetings | \boxtimes | |
| | Market surveys | | \boxtimes |
| | - Displays | \boxtimes | |
| | Information at border crossing points | \boxtimes | |
| | Telephone hotline | \boxtimes | |
| | Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) | | |
| | Other (specify): | | |
| | Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: | | |

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

| 3.2.2a | How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website? | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------|-------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group | Daily | Weekly | Monthly | Less frequently | Not known |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | | | | | |
| | Staff of enforcement authorities | | | | | \boxtimes |
| 3.2.2b | What has been your experience with using the | CITES v | vebsite? | Exc | ellent | \boxtimes |
| | | | | Goo | | |
| | | | | Ave | rage | |
| | | | | Poo | r | |
| | | | | Very | /Poor | |
| | | | | No i | nformation | |
| | Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc): No further comments | | | | | untered, |

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

| 3.3.1a | Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party? | Yes No No information | |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| | If 'Yes', please give a brief description: | | |

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

| 3.3.2a | How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards? | None | |
|--------|--|-------------|------------------|
| 3.3.2b | In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues? | | |
| 3.3.2c | Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with: | Yes | programmes No |
| | Agencies for development? | | Т |
| | Agencies for trade? | | 一一一 |
| | Provincial, state or territorial authorities? | | |
| | Local authorities or communities? | | |
| | Indigenous or local peoples? | | П |
| | Trade or other private sector associations? | | |
| | NGOs? | \boxtimes | П |
| | Other (please specify) | | П |
| 3.3.2d | Are CITES requirements integrated into? | Yes | No |
| | National and local development strategies? | | П |
| | National and local poverty reduction strategies? | | |
| | Planning processes? | \boxtimes | |
| | National accounting? | | |

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

| Indicator 3 | .3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES and capacity-building activities. | intergover S workshop | nmental and non- s and other training |
|-------------|---|--|--|
| 3.3.3a | Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from: | applicable | Which organizations? |
| | Inter-governmental organizations? | | |
| | Non-governmental organizations? | | |
| Objective | development goals set at WSSD, the Strategic Plan for B relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and the relevant outcomerence on Sustainable Development is strengthened by a in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels. This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolution Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19. | comes of the comes | 2011-2020 and the he United Nations at international trade ne reporting format, ecisions. arget 7, Target 12, |
| 3.4.1a | Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Appendix I Appendix II Appendix III If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide: Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary | | Not Applicable |
| 3.4.1b | Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species? If 'Yes', please provide details: | Yes No No inform | ation |
| Indicator | | ional Biodiv | versity Strategy and |
| 3.4.2a | Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)? | Yes No No infe | ormation |
| 3.4.2b | Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAF implementation? | | ormation Ormation |

No information

| Objective | 3.5 | Parties and the Secretariat of agreements dealing with natural and collaborative approach to including those which are communication Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 19. | ral reso specie merciall | urces, a s which y exploit | s app can l ed. | ropriate be end | e, in c anger | order to achieve a red by unsustaina | coheren |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|
| Indicator 3 | 5.5.1: | The number of cooperative agreements to prevent specie trade. | actions es from | s taken being | unde unsus | er esta tainably | blishe exp | ed bilateral or n loited through int | nultilatera ernationa |
| 3.5.1a | mult bein If 'Y agre | s your country taken action under established bilateral or Itilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from ng unsustainably exploited through international trade? Yes', please provide details: Fiji is also a party to multilateral eements including CBD and CMS which is for the protection and nagement of certain species. | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | .5.2: | The number of times other release natural resources are consulted | evant int d on issu | ernation ues relev | al orga ant to | anizatio specie | ons ar s sub | nd agreements de ject to unsustainal | aling with ole trade. |
| 3.5.2a | that in agreed by CI | age number of times per year nternational organizations or ements have been consulted ITES Authorities gement Authority(ies) | Once | 2-5 times | ⊠ 6-20 times | More than 20 times | ☐ No consultation | Optional comme which organizati issues consult | ons and |
| | | tific Authority(ies) | | | | | | | *************************************** |
| | Enford | cement Authority(ies) | | | | \boxtimes | | farrungstadet zwei spylge fûget a sûde na ene egeng genepûle û edem merremele e, enisekê s, enisekê sû | *************************************** |
| Please prov | vide an | ny additional comments you wou | | edback make, i | ncludi | ng com | ment | s on this format. | |
| | | ltem | | | | | | | |
| | | f CITES-relevant legislation if ch :://www.mowe.gov.fj/legislation-a | | ! | Not | osed availab riously _l | | ⊠ □ led □ | |
| Please list | any m | aterials annexed to the report, e. | g. fee s | chedules | s, awa | reness | raisin | g materials, etc: | |
| Have any o | constra ry requ | ints to implementation of the Co iring attention or assistance? | nventior | n arisen | in | Yes No No In | ıforma | ation | |
| If 'Yes', ple expertise. | ase de | scribe the constraint and the typ | e of atte | ention or | assist | | | | 1 |
| Are there e Parties? | | es of good practice you would lik | e to sha | are with (| other | Yes No No In | forma | ution | |
| | | vide details / links: | | | | | | | |
| r low could | n 112 16 | port format be improved? | | | | | | | - |

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

Convention on International Trade of Endangered Species in wild fauna and flora- (CITES) Fiji Export Quota 2019 Hard Corals, Live Rocks (farmed only) and Physeter macrocephalus teeth (Tabua)

| Hard Coral Species | Total Quota (pcs) |
|---|-------------------|
| Acropora spp (up to 30 plus species). | 40,000 |
| Acanthastrea spp | 2500 |
| Archelia spp. | 600 |
| Astreopora sp | 2100 |
| Caulastrea spp. | 4500 |
| Catalaphyllia jardinei | 880 |
| Cyphastrea sp. | 1200 |
| Distichopora spp | 600 |
| Diploastrea heliopora | 1950 |
| Echinopora sp. | 1900 |
| Echinophyllia sp. | 2500 |
| Echinomorpha nishihirai | 900 |
| Euphyllia ancora | 1600 |
| Euphyllia cristata | 700 |
| Euphyllia glabrescens | 4500 |
| Euphyillia parancora (hammer coral) | 1500 |
| Eupyllia yaeyamaensis | 700 |
| Favia spp | 5600 |
| Favites spp | 5900 |
| Fungia sp | 7800 |
| Galaxea astreata | 600 |
| Galaxea fascicularis | 2250 |
| Galaxea horrescens | 700 |
| Goniastrea sp | 3500 |
| Goniopora spp | 2300 |
| Hydnophora exesa | 700 |
| Hydnophora rigida | 3500 |
| Leptoseris spp. | 650 |
| Leptoseris spp. Leptastrea sp (purpurea) | 200 |
| Lobophyllia spp | 7300 |
| Merulina ampliata | 2200 |
| Merulina scabricula | 650 |
| Millepora sp (fire corals) | 4700 |
| Montastraea spp. (annularis/cavernosa). | 1500 |
| Montipora spp (digitata). | 8800 |
| Mycedium elephantotus | 3900 |
| Oulophyllia spp | 1900 |
| Oxypora spp | 1100 |
| Pachyseris rugosa | 1500 |
| Pachyseris rugusu Pachyseris speciosa | 900 |
| | 4300 |
| Pavona spp | 1700 |
| Pletraying ann | 6500 |
| Platygyra spp | 2100 |
| Plerogyra simplex | 750 |
| Plerogyra sinuosa | 15,600 |
| Pocillopora spp | 1000 |
| Polyphyllia talpina | 5870 |
| Porites spp | 1270 |
| Psammacora spp | 4400 |
| Seriatopora hystrix | |
| Stylaster spp | 5000 |
| Stylophora spp | 5000 |
| Symphyllia sp | 2300 |
| Trachyphyllia sp | 7500 |

| Tubastrea faulkneri | 870 |
|---------------------|------|
| Tubastrea micrantha | 1770 |
| Tubipora musica | 5000 |
| Turbinaria spp | 7000 |

| Species | Total Quota |
|--|----------------|
| Live Rock (farmed only) | 340,125. 00 kg |
| Physeter macrocephalus (Sperm whale) teeth | 225 pc |
| | |





PUBLIC NOTICE

AMMENDED SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT

This is to inform the general public and relevant stakeholders of the decrease in the following listed fees as of 1^{st} January, 2016.

This is due to the decrease in VAT.

| ITEM | OLD FEE | NEW FEE |
|--|------------|----------|
| CITES FEES | | |
| Application for a Cities import permit/certificate | \$15.38 | \$14.58 |
| Application for a Cities export permit/certificate | \$30.75 | \$29.15 |
| Application for re-export certificate | \$15.38 | \$14.58 |
| Application for certificate of introduction from the sea | \$15.38 | \$14.58 |
| Application for registration to trade in species | \$15.38 | \$14.58 |
| Certificate of registration to trade | \$1,025.00 | \$971.52 |
| Application for captive breeders/artificial propagators for | | \$14.58 |
| commercial purpose | \$15.38 | |
| Certificate of Registration for commercial purpose | \$1,025.00 | \$971.52 |
| Application for captive breeders/artificial propagators for non- | | \$9.72 |
| commercial purpose | \$10.25 | |
| Certificate of registration for non-commercial purposes | \$10.25 | \$9.72 |
| Notice of appeals | \$15.38 | \$14.58 |

| | | • |
|--|--|---|