Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	SLOVENIA
Period covered in this report	2018-2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning, Environment Directorate, Nature Conservation Division
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Ministry of the Interior, Criminal Police Directorate;
	Ministry of Finance, Customs office of the Financial Administration of the Republic of Slovenia;
	Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning, Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia and Environmental Agency of the Republic of Slovenia
	Institute of the Republic of Slovenia for Nature Conservation

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes □ No ☑ If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes □ No □ Not Applicable □ If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Slovenia is an EU Member State. CITES is implemented through the EU legislation. The legislative process for adoption of changes of the CITES Appendices usually takes more than 90 days.

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		
1.2.1b	Do you have:		_	

Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?			
Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		\boxtimes	
Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes	
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes	
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes	
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challeng		or issues	overcome:
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?	\boxtimes		
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain would be beneficial to have exchange information with our nation Customs Single Window portal (CERTEX). Activities for the integERTEX has started in late 2020.	nal custom	s offices v	ia the EU

Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?			
		Tick all applicable		olicable
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		\boxtimes	
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.		\boxtimes	
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes	
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	\boxtimes		
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:			\boxtimes

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Indicator 1.3.1: Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority - Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

	the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES webs listed])?	ite where	he repo	rting requireme	nts are	
	Responses provided Responses provided to SOMI Responses provided to NONI	E of the re E of the re	levant re levant re	eporting require	ments ments	
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes \sum No \sum If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?					
Objective	1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation in Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.	needs of sp	ecies.			
	The number and proportion of species that have been foun Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the period					
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species won the CITES Appendices?	ould benef	it from li] No ⊠	
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the r (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is n			»):		
Objective	1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target				t 14.	
Indicator 1	 .5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses the sources of information cited in Resolution Cora) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. 	nf. 16.7 on ix-II specie	Non-de	etriment findings	related to:	
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?	
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	\boxtimes			8	
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		\boxtimes			
	the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	\boxtimes			1	
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		\boxtimes			
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?		\boxtimes			

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:			
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results of or other analysis (e.g. population stable / increase, off-take levels links to published reference mate	status, dec etc), or prov	line /
	Ursus arctos	increasing		
	Canis lupus	increasing		
	Lynx lynx	Decreasing/ facing extincti level/reintroductions from Romar		national ⁄akia
	Tursiops truncatus	Stable		
	Bubo bubo	Stable		
	Otus scops	Decreasing		
	Parnassius apollo	High fluctuations in population nu	umbers	
	Liparis loeselii	decreasing		
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies		non-detrim	ent
	findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app	Revised harvest	or export o	uotas 🕅
		rtovised narvest	Banning e	
		Stricter do	mestic mea	
		Changed manageme	ent of the sp	ecies 🛚
		Discussion with Manag		
		Discussion with oth		lders? ⊠
		ther (please provide a short summ	ary):	
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste			
	, 3 11	Not Applicable		
		No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact:	uding, if possible, an evaluation of	their	
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi	ngs that can be shared? Yes 🗌 N	o 🛛	
	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	the Secretariat within this report:		
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings	a) x) of <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u>) s?	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	pecies biology, life history,		
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	ocations and at sites protected	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.	\boxtimes	
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UI Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicat on trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No	
1.J.Za			Yes	No	informa	
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?					
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non- or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the internet:				found	
	Initially gather all available information from the SRG (CIRCABO UNEP-WCMC trade database, Species+ site, searching throug other information regarding that species and its management, customs and management authorities from exporting countries, information gathered, etc., than deciding on NDF. If data is insufered before making the NDF.	h www. consultin , contact	for scie g scier ing the	entific p ntific au e breed	papers an uthorities, ler to veri	fy
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following	wing				
	guidance been used?		Plea	se tick	all that a	pply
	Virtual C	College		[
	IUCN Ch	ecklist		[\boxtimes	
	Resolution Con	f. 16.7		[\boxtimes	
	2008 NDF wor	rkshop		[\boxtimes	
	Species specific gui	idance		[
		Other		[
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:					
1.5.2c		Case by	case			\boxtimes
		Annually				
		Every tw	•			
		Less fre				Ш
		A mix of				
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment SRG decision, detriment species management, trade level wou species	_			-	

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	Other, please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption? If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	Yes No finding process:	

Objective 1.6	Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.	
Indicator 1.6.1:	The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for comanagement of shared CITES listed species by range States.	

1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes No If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:
	Slovenia implements the common EU environmental legislation including the EU Habitats and Birds Directives according to which protection measures are implemented. There are several ongoing transboundary projects co-funded by EU funds (Life, for example for Caretta caretta involving Croatia, Cyprus, Greece, Italy, Slovenia http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&n_proj_id=5867).

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperativ in place for shared population	e management plans, including recovery plans, ons of CITES-listed species? Yes ⊠ No ☐
	If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each	es for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference species.
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan
	Caretta caretta	(see above 1.6.1.a)
	Lynx lynx	https://www.lifelynx.eu/about-the-project/ (time frame 2017-2024)
	Ursus arctos	http://dinalpbear.eu/project/ (time frame 2014- 2019)
	Canis lupus	http://www.lifewolfalps.eu/en/wolves-in-the-alps/ (2019-2024)
	3	(2010 202 I)

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\boxtimes					SRG, EC
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						

p. 7

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

ı		T —								
	Other (please specify):									
1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	provide	rs of any	of the f	ollowi	ng capa	capacity-building activities to			
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	De	etails		
	Staff of Management Authority									
	Staff of Scientific Authority						SRG, EC			
	Staff of enforcement authorities						•			
	Traders									
	NGOs									
	Public									
	Other Parties/International meetings									
	Other (please specify)									
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?						
				S	_					
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always		ner detail / amples		
	Information exchange						Confirmi issuance permits a certificate	of CITES and		
	Monitoring / survey	\boxtimes								
	Habitat management	\boxtimes								
	Species management						Internation conservation NDF find	ation projects,		
	Law enforcement			\boxtimes			Internation operation	onal joint ns		
	Capacity building	\boxtimes								
	Other (please provide details)	i				i	i			
Objective Indicator 1	Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6	6, Targer e, are co nt strate ation, su ategy an	t 7, Targ overed b gy and/o ich as a d/or acti	et 9, Tar y, or eno or actior n interna on plan;	get 10 gaged plan; ational and), Targe with: I enforc	ement net	work;		
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co	overed b	by:			Yes	No	No Information		
	– an international enforcement strategy	and/or a	action pl	an?		\boxtimes				
	 formal international cooperation, such 	as an ir	nternatio	nal						

a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?			
formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?	\boxtimes		
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engage details: There is the EU Action Plan against Wildlife Trafficking (adopted in Resolution on the National Plan of the Prevention and Combating adopted. Since 2002, a special inter-sectoral Committee for the Prevention of the inter-sectoral Committee take place at least once expecting of the inter-sectoral Committee take place at least once expecting of the inter-sectoral Committee take place at least once expecting of the inter-sectoral Committee take place at least once expecting of the Republic of Slovenia and Inspectorate of the Environment and Spatial Planning, Environmental Agency of the Ministry responsible for the environment. As a result of these meeting in 2020 a meeting of the group to be held in the second half of the year.	2016) a g of Crir evention en rele very six Custon ne Reput g Reput	nd at the inne 2019-2 n of Illegal vant auth months. The ns office of ublic of Sloperate action	national level 2023 has be Wildlife Treorities regular from the Finant lovenia for venia and take plate.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do? We are comparing and analysing the statistics of the cases under reporting is required on the implementation of the NP.	consideration. Annual	
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	r
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used an equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou		
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to me tools useful to you:	nake the toolkit or equivalen	t

The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences.. Indicator 1.7.3:

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available: Criminal Code http://pisrs.si/Pis.web/pregledPredpisa?id=ZAKO5050		_
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes No	

	recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?		No information	n 🔲			
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or wildlife trafficking offences to be treated as serious crimes:						
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to sinvestigation of CITES offences?	Yes No No information	n 🗆				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report:						
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:						
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?	s) targeting CITES-listed species No					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:						
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		Yes No No information	⊠ □ □		
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?		
	General crime ⁴	\boxtimes			0		
	Predicate offences ⁵	\boxtimes			0		
	Asset forfeiture ⁶	\boxtimes			0		
	Corruption ⁷	\square			0		
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁸	\square			0		
	Organized crime ⁹	\boxtimes			0		
	Specialized investigation techniques ¹⁰	\boxtimes			0		

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

³ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

⁵ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁶ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: Those offences are often committed by organized criminal groups, therefore we usually use secret observation and phone tapping, in order to trace the perpetrators and to identify their role in the group.					
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?	Yes No No information				
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-build	ing needs:				

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	
		Very often	
		Sometimes	\boxtimes
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	\boxtimes
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	\bowtie
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	\bowtie
		No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During th	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information			
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?		\boxtimes				
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details:	es. If avail	able, pleas	e attach			
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?		\boxtimes				
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	S :			
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?		\boxtimes				
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach deta	ils:			
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	·	Ticl	k all that appl			
	Return to country of export						
	Public zoos or botanical gardens			\boxtimes			
	Designated rescue centres						
	Approved private facilities						
	– Euthanasia						
	Other (please specify): confiscated parts and derivatives						
	 by keeping them at MA for educational or research purposes, by giving them to other enforcement authorities for educational or public awareness purposes. 						
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other in most cases, parts or derivatives (dead specimens) were seize were temporarily stored by Customs. After the case is concluded Authority, Customs or other authorities can use the goods of (exhibitions etc.) or educational purposes (training of enforcements)	her Parties zed. Such led, the Ma or public	s? specimens anagement information	t			

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Y	es No	
	The making of non-detriment findings?	\boxtimes	
	Permit officers?	$\boxtimes \square$	
	Enforcement officers?	$\boxtimes \square$	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building	Yes	
	work?	No	\boxtimes
		No information	
	What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?		

1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for	Yes No No information	
	capacity building?		

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? General Administrative Procedure Act lays down the provisions		
regarding administrative cases meaning deciding on a right, obligation or legal benefit of a natural or legal person or other party in the field of administrative law. The Nature Conservation Act and Decree on the rules of conduct and protection methods in trade in animal and plant species regulate issuing of the documents in more detail, including the deadlines for issuing a decision (permit, certificate, refusal of the decision).		
If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? Share of resolved cases compared within a deadline to the number of all resolved cases in the current year.	Yes No	
Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes No	\square
If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report: 2018: 96% 2019: 96% 2020: 92%		
If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of: Yes		No
availability of funding?number of staff?		
a shortage of skills?If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

2.2.1b	Authority(ies)	e an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific s)? se go to Question 2.2.1d.						
		ich services are there stand						
	-	u have performance targets are your performance targe			Yes No			
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th						
	If you did not a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No		
		of funding?						
	number of	staff?						
	a shortage	of skills?						
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?					
0.0.4	D							
2.2.1c	enforcement	an approved service standa authoritv(ies)?	ard(s)*' for your		Yes No			
		go to Question 2.2.1d.			140			
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stan	dards, and what are those					
	If 'Yes', do yo	u have performance targets	s for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes			
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targe	ts?		No			
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th						
	If you did not a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No		
	– availability	of funding?						
	number of	staff?						
	a shortage	e of skills?						
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?					
2.2.1d			ır answered 'No' to the first p e of approved service standa					
	Do you have	sufficient of the following fo	r your authorities to function	effectively?				
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	1	cement rity(ies)			
	Funding?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes [] No 🖂			
	Staff?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No ⊠	Yes [] No 🖂			
	Skills?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes [] No 🖂			

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
- hired more staff;
- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level? Tick if applical							
	Hiring of more staff				Ποκ II αμγι	ไปสมเธ		
	Development of implementation tools							
	Purchase of technical equipment for im	nlementati	on monitorin	a or enforcement				
	Other (please specify):	piomonas	OH, HIOHKOII.,	g or ornorocino	Decreased Decreased Not applicate Not a Priori A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report							
	budget for your:	.,	Increased	Stable	Decreas	ed		
	Management Authority(ies)			\boxtimes				
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\boxtimes				
	Enforcement authorities			\boxtimes				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applic	able		
	Management Authority(ies)?							
	Scientific Authority(ies)?							
	Enforcement authorities?							
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	eness of CITES i	mplementation	on at		
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Pric	ority		
	Hiring of more staff							
	Development of implementation tools							
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement				\boxtimes			
	e-permitting							
	Other (please specify):							
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No			
	Species information		\boxtimes					
	Trade information		\boxtimes					
	Non-detriment findings		\boxtimes					
Indicator 2	2.2.3: The number of Parties raising fumechanisms. Does the Management Authority charge		·					
2.2.04	Administrative procedures	ge iecs ioi.		HOR GII	liiai aio app			
	Issuance of CITES documents (e. the sea)	g. for impo	rt, exports, re	-export, or introdu	action from			
	Shipment clearance (e.g. for the ir of CITES-listed species)	mport, expo	ort, re-export,	or introduction fro	om the sea			
	Licensing or registration of operati	ions that pr	oduce CITES	species		\boxtimes		
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species	s						
	 Use of CITES-listed species 							

Yes ⊠ No [

Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species

- Other (please specify):

2.2.3b

Is a fee schedule publicly available?

	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretaria Administrative Fees Act :	t:	
	http://pisrs.si/Pis.web/pregledPredpisa?id=ZAKO2146		
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife of	onservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	\boxtimes
		Not at all	
	N	ot relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	\boxtimes	
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	The revenues from fees are transmitted to core State budget. Wildlife conservation is mainly financed through core State budget.	on	
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:	_	_

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention? YesNo
	Due diligence
	Compensatory mechanisms
	Certification
	Communal property rights
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges
	Enforcement incentives
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to
	further information:
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all ☐
	Very little⊠
	Somewhat
	Completely

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	you run during the period covered in this report?					t ass e ariat	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone 1			
				2-5			
				6-10 1-20			
		ľ	More tha	_			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	have ta	aken pla	ce?			
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ive?	T.	T		T	Ţ!
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group		Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\boxtimes					
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						Dublic overences events
	Public						Public awareness events including permanent exhibitions of seized and confiscated specimens, information on Wildlife day on internet.
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	a Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No	information	
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Detail (provide r informatior Append necessa	more n in an ix if

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

								official devaid in the financial sevarious projects the focus on environments of the financial sevarious projects the financial sevarious on the focus on the focus on the focus on the financial sevarious of the financial sevarious projects the fi	upport to ogrammes ots in g countries.
Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18. Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.									
3.2.1a						,] [] [] [] []	r public	Relevant User Groups
Indicator 3.		vebsite	e.						
3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult Please tick boxes to indicate the most free usage (decide on an average amongst sta necessary). Target group Staff of Management Authority Staff of Scientific Authority Staff of enforcement authorities	quent	F	vebsite			Monthly	⊠ □ □ trequently	□ □ Not known

3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the CITES website?	Excellent	
		Good	\boxtimes
		Average	
		Poor	
		Very Poor	
		No information	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, ar which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missin		red,
	Trade database, documents prepared for AC, PC, SC and CoPs are veEA.	ery useful for SA, MA	and

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: Regular exchange of information between Focal Points of biodiversity with ITPDR	related conventions,	less

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?					
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?					
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No			
	Agencies for development?		\boxtimes			
	Agencies for trade?	\boxtimes				
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\boxtimes				
	Local authorities or communities?	\boxtimes				
	Indigenous or local peoples?		\boxtimes			
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\boxtimes				
	NGOs?	\boxtimes				
	Other (please specify)					
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No			
	National and local development strategies?	\boxtimes				
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?					
	Planning processes?	\boxtimes				
	National accounting?		\boxtimes			

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3	governi	umber of Parties cooperating mental organizations to participa pacity-building activities.					
3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from: Tick if applications 2				licable	Which organizatio	
Inter-governmental organizations?							
	Non-governm	nental organizations?					
Objective	develop relevan Confere in wild f This ob includin Aichi Ta	ntribution of CITES to the relevement goals set at WSSD, the transfer Aichi Biodiversity Targets, as ence on Sustainable Developme auna and flora is conducted at subjective may also be assessed graction taken to implement marget 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.	e Strategic Pla and the relevant ent is strengthe sustainable levant by a variety ony of the CITE	an for Biodi ant outcomened by ensi- els. of means be S resolution	iversity 20 ies of the uring that in eyond the s and deci	11-2020 a United Maternations reporting fisions.	nd the Nations al trade format,
Indicator 3	3.4.1: The cor	nservation status of species lister	d on the CITES	Appendices	s has stabi	ized or imp	oroved.
3.4.1a	of naturally of CITES Appen If there are sur Species name (scientific) Ursus arctos Canis lupus	data which shows that the consecurring species in your country dices has stabilized or improved the studies that you are willing to Link to the data, or a brief sum https://dinalpbear.eu/aktivnost https://www.volkovi.si/wp-content/uploads/Spremljanje 20 kon%C4%8Dno ver2.pdf	listed on the I? Appendix I Appendix III Appendix IIII share, please nmary i/c-varstvene-a varstvenega s (available only	akcije/c-5-sp o <u>tanja volko</u> in Slovenia	v v Slove	niji v letih	
3.4.1b	success storie CITES listed s	examples of specific examples of specific examples of species? expecies? exprovide details:	ny No		n []	
Indicator 3	3.4.2: The nui Plan (N	mber of Parties incorporating Cl ⁻ BSAP).	ΓES into their N	National Biod	diversity St	rategy and	Action
3.4.2a		een incorporated into your coun Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAI			Yes No No inform	nation	
3.4.2b							

No information

Ohio ativo 2 5		Dutin and the Orante in				1			
Objective 3	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat co- agreements dealing with natural collaborative approach to specie those which are commercially e. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	l resourd es which xploited.	ces, as a can be	ippropi endan	riate, in gered l	orde by un	r to achieve a coherent sustainable trade, includ	and ding
Indicator 3.5	5.1:	The number of cooperative actio to prevent species from being u							ents
3.5.1a	3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:							Yes [No [No information [
Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.									
3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities		Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment ab which organizations a issues consulted or	ons and
	Mana	agement Authority(ies)							
	Scier	ntific Authority(ies)		\boxtimes					
	Enfo	rcement Authority(ies)							
Please prov	vide ar	Ger ny additional comments you woul	neral fee		ncludir	ng com	ment	s on this format.	
		ltem							
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed						losed	.1.		
Web link(s): see also 1.1.1a https://www.uradni-list.si/glasilo-uradni-list-rs/vsebina/2017-01- 2695?sop=2017-01-2695 Not availa Previousl								ded 🖂	
Please list	any n	naterials annexed to the report, e	g. fee s	chedule	s, awa	reness	raisi	ng materials, etc:	
your country requiring attention or assistance?							nform hat is		
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties?						Yes No	nform		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

If 'Yes' please provide details / links:

How could this report format be improved?