Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision*: 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Lithuania
Period covered in this report	2018-2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Lithuania
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) The Customs Department under the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Lithuania, Nature Research Centre Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No X If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes X No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	X		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	X		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	X		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries			
	If 'Yes', please list countries	X		
	EU Member States			
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?	X		

	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?	X		
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		X	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹? If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please expla	X nin what r	X miaht help	you to do so:
	Common European approach			,
Indicator	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro	ocedures	provided f	or in <u>Resolution</u>
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the f	_	Fick all app	olicable
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.	X		
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.	X		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	X		
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	X		
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:		X	
Objectiv Indicator	by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, T	arget 14 a	and Target	18. Resolutions and
1.3.1a				
1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requithe period covered in this report, including those in the Resolution Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendation the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where listed])?	ns and De s, and No	cisions of tifications	the issued by
	Responses provided to ALL re		-	
	Responses provided to SOME of the re	elevant rep	orting rec	uirements 🗌
	No special repo	ortina real	irements	annlicable 🔲

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period cover implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted of the Parties? If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or I were / are being encountered?	by the Co	nference	e Yes [] No <mark>X</mark> ficulties			
Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 14 and Target 19.								
1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have be Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes proposals.							
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species we on the CITES Appendices?	ould benefi	t from lis] No <mark>X</mark>			
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the r (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is n			:				
Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14. Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.								
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?			
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	X						
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		X					
	the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	X						
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		X					
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?		X					

1.3.1b

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:							
		or other analy stable / increa	ary of the results of rsis (e.g. population ase, off-take levels hed reference mate	n status, dec etc), or prov	cline /			
		ountry=LT https://nature art17.eionet.e	europa.eu/article12	/species/rep				
	Species name (scientific)							
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies of findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply		ses used in makinç	g non-detrim	nent			
	indings (NDI 3): Thease tick all that apply	<i>'</i>	Revised harves	t or export o	quotas 🗌			
			Strictor o	_	export			
			Stricter o Changed manager	lomestic me nent of the s				
			ussion with Manag					
			Discussion with oth		lders? 🗌			
4 = 4			ovide a short summ	ary):				
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed		Yes No		× X			
			Not Applicable No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including impact:	ding, if possib		f their				
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment finding If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the	-		o <mark>X</mark>				
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) do you use in making non-detriment findings?	,	ion Conf. 16.7)	Yes	No			
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning spe distribution and population trends.	cies biology, l	ife history,	X				
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments	conducted.		X				
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest local from harvest and other impacts.	ations and at	sites protected	X				
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local a	•		X				
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional a		•	X				
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UNE Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicatio on trade and investigations of sales at market example.	EP World Cor ns on trade, l	nservation ocal knowledge	X				

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
1.0.24			Yes	No	information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?		X		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the internet:			-	found
	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/srg/guidelines.pdf http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/srg_en.htm				
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follow	wing			
	guidance been used?		Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual C	College		[
	IUCN Ch	necklist			X
	Resolution Con	nf. 16.7			X
	2008 NDF wo	rkshop		ſ	_
	Species specific gu	•			_
		Other			X
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:				
	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/srg/guidelines.pdf				
	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/srg_en.htm				
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by	case		
	detriment findings?	Annually	/		
		Every tw	o year	s	
		Less fre		•	
		A mix of <mark>X</mark>	f the a	bove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/srg/guidelines.pdf	findings	would	be cha	anged:

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes			
		No	X		
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:	C	Other,		
	Species Name (scientific)	i opalation	ease ecify		
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?	Yes No	X		
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment finding process:				
	Lithuania do not set specific export quotas, The use (taking non-protected) species is limited or restricted by national leg		<mark>me</mark>		

Objective	1.6	Parties cooperate in managing Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target					12 ar	nd Target	19.
Indicator 1	.6.1:	The number of bilateral and management of shared CITES						pecifically	provide for co
1.6.1a	1.6.1a Is your country a signatory to any bila agreements for co-management of si If 'Yes', please provide brief details, in countries are involved:			ecies?\	∕es 🗌 N	10 <mark>X</mark>	eeme	ents, and v	vhich other
Indicator 1	.6.2:	The number of cooperative ma populations of CITES-listed spe		ent plans	s, includ	ing re	cover	y plans, ir	n place for shared
1.6.2a		u have any cooperative manage ce for shared populations of CITE				ecove	ry pla	ns,	Yes ☐ No <mark>X</mark>
		', please list the species for whic ublished plan for each species.	h these	plans a	re in pla	ice an	d pro	vide a link	or reference
	Species Name (scientific) Link or reference to a published plan								
Indicator 1	Hav	The number of workshops and ogether to address the consempecies. e the CITES authorities received.	rvation ed or be	and ma	anagem	ent r	eeds	of share	ed, CITES listed
	Hav	ogether to address the conse species.	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	anagem	ent r	eeds	of share	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ	ogether to address the consespecies. e the CITES authorities receives	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source use tick boxes to indicate which	ed or bees?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ Plea targ	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source use tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity.	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source ase tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity.	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source asset tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity.	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source asset tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity. The of Management Authority of Scientific Authority of enforcement authorities	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed
	Hav activ Plea targ Targ Staf Staf	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source ase tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity. The determinant of the second of the se	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed
	Hav active Pleastarg Targ Staf Staf Staf Trac	e the CITES authorities received vities provided by external source asset ick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity. The of Management Authority of Scientific Authority of enforcement authorities ders	rvation ed or be es?	and ma	from a	ent r	the f	of share ollowing of what w	ed, CITES listed

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)			<u> </u>			
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	CITES P	arties?			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange					×	Information exchange among EU Member States
	Monitoring / survey			X			Submitting requested information
	Habitat management			X			Consultations, meetings, workshops
	Species management			X			Consultations, meetings, workshops
	Law enforcement			X			Consultations
	Capacity building			X			Consultations, meetings
	Other (please provide details) Most of common workshops, formal meetings species protection						
Objective	Parties are enforcing the Conve Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target						et 12 and Target 19.
Indicator	 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have an international enforceme formal international cooper a national enforcement strate formal national interagence committee. 	nt strate ation, so ategy ar	egy and/ uch as a nd/or act	or action in intern ion plan	n plan: ationa ; and	; I enford	
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co	overed b	oy:			Yes	No No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy	and/or a	action pl	an?		X	
	– formal international cooperation, such	as an ir	nternatio	nal		X	

Have the CITES authorities been the *providers* of any of the following capacity-building activities

1.6.3b

to other range States?

enforcement network?			
– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?		X	
 formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? 		X	
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement a details: EU Action Plan against wildlife trafficking	and prov	ide additio	onal

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	r
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used an equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that coulf 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to n tools useful to you:	ıld be made:	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes	X
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available	No information	
	Administrative Code sets fines from 30 to 600 Eur and confiscation for violation of the Rules on Trade in Wild		
	fauna or for other illegal use of protected animal species. In paragraph 3(272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and		
	penalty for maximum of 4 years of imprisonment for illegal use of large quantity of protected animal species		
	and if this action caused big damage to the conservation status of this species or this illegal action is committed with commercial purpose.		
	with commercial purpose.		
	In paragraph 1(272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and		
	penalty for maximum of 2 years of imprisonment for poaching when this action caused big damage to the		
	species.		

	Administrative Code sets fines from 30 to 580 confiscation for illegal use of protected plant speci. In paragraph 1(274), the Criminal Code sets a penalty for maximum of 3 years of imprisonn illegal use of large quantity of protected plant and when this action caused big damage conservation status of this species or this illegal a committed with commercial purpose.	ies. a fine ment t spec to	or for cies the				
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife traffirecognized as serious crime ¹ in your country? If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poa	_		Yes No No informatio		D co be	
	treated as serious crimes: See point 1.7.3a.	-		_	•		
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to sup investigation of CITES offences?	pport 1	the	Yes No No information	n	X	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: DNA analysis for						
	Hybrid of <i>Prionailurus bengalensis</i> and <i>Felis catus</i> Cania lunus (hybrid of Cania lunus and Cania lunus familiaria)						
	Canis lupus (hybrid of <i>Canis lupus</i> and <i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>) Anguilla anguilla						
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic an please indicate which species it applies to:	nalysis	s facili	ty for CITES-lis	sted specie	es,	
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-dis law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed speduring the period covered in this report?		ary ³	Yes No No informatio	n	X	
	2018 – Thunderstorm 2019 – Thunderball 2020 - Thunder						
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any le other Parties:	esson	s lear	ned which mig	ht be helpf	ul for	
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among relagencies for submitting information related to CITES off INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?			Yes No No information	n	<mark>X</mark> □ □	
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, ho times was used dur period c	as this ing the	

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

³ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

					by this report?		
	General crime ¹	X					
	Predicate offences ²	X					
	Asset forfeiture ³	X					
	Corruption ⁴	X					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁵	X					
	Organized crime ⁶	X					
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁷	X					
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provibrief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:						
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences? No No inform			1	on X		
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:					

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often (Customs) Sometimes (EPD) Rarely Never No information	X X
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Yes <mark>(Customs)</mark> No <mark>(EPD)</mark> No information	X

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

² Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

³ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes (Customs) Rarely Never No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	×
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	X

-

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information				
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	X						
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: No CITES permits, no legal acquisition documents, animal welfare. Information on offences is provided in Annual Illegal Trade Reports for 2018-2020.							
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?							
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach details: 1 criminal prsecution in 2020.							
	Meat (772000 kg) of Anguilla anguilla at the Customs was decla	red as <i>∆n</i>	<mark>guilla ianon</mark>	ica				
	West (112000 kg) 017 thgama angama at the oustome was design	1100 00717	guma japon	10 0.				
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES- X related offences?							
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:				
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply				
	Return to country of export							
	Public zoos or botanical gardens			X				
	Designated rescue centres			×				
	Approved private facilities			×				
	– Euthanasia							
	 Other (please specify): Museums, Customs training center, destruction, storage 							
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca		mens?					
	Difficulties are encountered when seized specimens species s temporarily kept until the final court decision or when are confis		<mark>me</mark>					
	species (e.g. bears) which are not accepted (not needed) by z							
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Parties	s?					

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? X Permit officers? X Enforcement officers? X	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	X
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the	Yes	

development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?	No No information	X
What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	NO IIIIOITIIAIIOIT	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? ISO 9001		Yes No	X
	Service standarts are set in Article 8 of Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006 of 4 May 2006:			
	"3. Management authorities shall decide on the issue of permits and certificates within one month of the date of submission of a complete application.			
	However, where the issuing management authority consults third parties, such a decision may be taken only after the satisfactory completion of such consultation. Applicants shall be notified of significant delays in processing their applications.			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	100 %	Yes No	X
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	X
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:	<mark>95 %</mark>		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	- availability of funding?	X		
	number of staff?a shortage of skills?	X X		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?	-		

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

2.2.1b	Do you have a	an approved service standa?	ard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific		Yes No	X
	• , ,	go to Question 2.2.1d.			140	
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stand	dards, and what are those			
		to Management authority o	r Customs department			
		<mark>vided within 30 days</mark> u have performance targets	for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	X
	-	are your performance targe:			No	^
	,			100 %		
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th	•	<mark>95 %</mark>		
	If you did not a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
	availability	of funding?		X		
	- number of staff? - schortage of skills?			X X		
	a shortage			X		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? Training in identification of species and especially in identification of					
			age of experts in particular			
	groups of taxa.					
2.2.1c	Do you have a	an approved service standa	ard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes	X
	enforcement a	authority(ies)?			No	
	· ·	go to Question 2.2.1d.	Looks and took of our floors			
	standards?	ich services are there stand				
		for a time to impose a pen				_
	-	u have performance targets			Yes	X
	if Yes', what a	are your performance targe	IS?	<mark>100 %</mark>	No	
	If nossible nie	ease provide your performa	nce against service	100 /0		
		ring the period covered in the				
		meet your performance tarç	gets then was this shortfall			
	a result of:			Yes		No
	,	of funding?		X		
	number of			X		
	– a shortage			X		Ш
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1d	Please only c	omplete this question if you	r answered 'No' to the first pa	art of guestion	2.2.1a.	
	,		e of approved service standa	•		s:
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following for	your authorities to function	effectively?		
		Management	Scientific Authority(ies)		ement	
		Authority(ies)	Vee C Ne C		rity(ies)	
	Funding?	Yes No	Yes No No	Yes _	No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes No	Yes No No	Yes L	No 🗌	
1	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes No No	Yes L	No 🗌	

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
- hired more staff;
- developed implementation tools; purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level?							
	Hiring of more staff							
	Development of implementation tools							
	Purchase of technical equipment for im	plementati	on, monitorin	g or enforcement				
	Other (please specify): Reduced of staff of Management Authority (Environmental Protection Agency)							
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report budget for your:	g the period covered in this report, was the et for your:		Stable	Decreased			
	Management Authority(ies)				X			
	Scientific Authority(ies)			X				
	Enforcement authorities			X				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase of implementation of your	velopment funding assistance to increase the		No	Not applicable			
	Management Authority(ies)?			X				
	Scientific Authority(ies)?	entific Authority(ies)?		X				
	Enforcement authorities?			X				
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	veness of CITES	implementation at			
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority			
	Hiring of more staff	X						
	Development of implementation tools		X					
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement			X				
	e-permitting				X			
	Other (please specify): Training	X						
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No			
	Species information				X			
	Trade information				X			
	Non-detriment findings				X			

The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other Indicator 2.2.3: mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are app	olicable
	Administrative procedures		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, of the sea) 	or introduction from	X
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduced of CITES-listed species) 	uction from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		

	Harvesting of CITES-listed species		
	Use of CITES-listed species		X
	Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species		
	Other (please specify):		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes X N	o 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:		
	Fees are approved by Governmental Decree No.1458 of 15-12-2000		
	(point 4.152)Issuance of CITES documents – 65 euros, duplicate – 8,6 euros		
	(point 4.151) Permit for trade in wild animals – 34 euros, duplicate – 2,9 euros		
	(point 4.147²) Permit for keeping wild animals in captivity - 66 euros		
	https://e-		-0.01
	seimas.lrs.lt/portal/legalAct/lt/TAD/TAIS.116101/asr?positionInSearchResults=12&s ID=ecd14b5e-8234-4838-83ba-1753ef4c65d9	<u>earchiviou</u>	<u>eiuu</u>
	1D-ecu 14b3e-0234-4030-03ba-17 33e14c03u3		
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife cor	 servation	?
	•	Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	X
		relevant	
2.2.3d	Not	Yes	No.
2.2.3u	Do you raign funds for CITES management through sharping upor food?		
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		X
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?	×	Ш
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		X
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: Fees for issuance of perm		
	were counted taking into account time (hours) for permit issuance and average salary of the officer who issues permits.	OI	
	edicity of the officer who located permits.		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES		
	implementation?		X
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention?
	Due diligence X
	Compensatory mechanisms X
	Certification X 🗌
	Communal property rights 🔲 🔀
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges <mark>X</mark>
	Enforcement incentives X
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further
	information: Service standarts are set in Article 8 of Commission Regulation (EC) No
	865/2006 of 4 May 2006, in Rules on Trade in Wild Animals (Order No.658/831/743), Rules
	on Trade in Protected Plant and Fungi species approved by Ministerial Order No. D1-260. In

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

	the Rules there is also an obligatory requirement always have the documents proving the legal acquisition of specimens of protected species.
	Compensations are paid by the State for damage caused by wolves (Canis lupus) to farm animals.
	Cost recovery and environmental charges includes fees for issuance of CITES documents, Permits for trade in wild animals, Permit for keeping wild animals in captivity and charges for use of resources of game animals.
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this	e period covered in this report?				t assi e ariat	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			IN	lone 1			
				2-5		X	
				6-10			
			1 [.] More tha	1-20		Ш	
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions			III 20			
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	have ta	aken pla	ce?	Train	ing s	eminars and awareness
	raising amongst customs officers and	d enviro	onment	al pro	tection	offic	<mark>cers.</mark>
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ve?		·•			7
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	
	Target group			三品			Details
	Staff of Management Authority	X	<u> </u>		X		11 (16)
	Staff of Scientific Authority	X	X	X	X		Identification manuals
	Staff of enforcement authorities	X	X	×] <mark>X</mark>		Difficulties with disposal of seized and confiscated fauna species.
	Traders / other user groups	X					
	NGOs						
	Public	X				X	Public information on consequences of illegal trade on species protection (survival); information how to detect illegal trade or commercial use.
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	X
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: EU funds are used for habitats and situ	l species conservation	<mark>n in-</mark>
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	X □

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or finance or countries in relation to CITES?	you provided technical or financial assistance to another country intries in relation to CITES?						information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

h			
3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following		Relevant
	activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's	\^/; al a v va la li a	User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	- Press conferences	<u> </u>	
	Press releases	×	
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	×	Ш
	Television appearances	X	
	Radio appearances	×	
	Presentations	X	
	Public consultations / meetings		
	Market surveys		
	- Displays	X	
	Information at border crossing points	× ×	
	Telephone hotline	$\bar{\Box}$	$\overline{\Box}$
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)	×	$\overline{\Box}$
	https://am.lrv.lt/lt/veiklos-sritys-1/gamtos-apsauga/biologines-		_
	ivairoves-apsauga-1/bioivairoves-tarpt-susitarimai		
	Other (specify):		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		
	With the aim to prevent wildlife trafficking Environmental Protection		
	Agency (EPA) provided to media information on legal regulations, detected illegal cases of trade in wild flora and fauna and on		
	interinstitutional cooperation. When performing other environmental		
	protection functions EPA preventatively informs about CITES		
	requirements.		
	Customs Department on a regular basis informs public about detected violations of CITES requirements and requirements of EU		
	regulations.		
	Customs Department provides leaflets with CITES information for		
	travelling passengers.		
	In 2019-12-07 Customs Department organized public event in Customs museum to inform public about measures applied by		
	Customs to implement CITES properly. During the event visitors		
	could see confiscated specimens, get information on the		
	requirements for import of specimens.		
	Exhibitions focusing on illegal trade in endangered species have		
	been set up in Customs Training center and the Tadas Ivanauskas Zoological Museum.		
	Zoological Museum.		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the Cl	TES wel	osite?			
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority			X		

	Staff of Scientific Authority			×		
	Staff of enforcement authorities		X (EPD)			X (Custo ms)
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the CITES website?				ellent od rage r / Poor nformation	X
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties end which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):					untered,

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information	X D
--------	---	-----------------------------	--------

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	our country	=	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?			
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No	
	Agencies for development?		X	
	Agencies for trade?		X	
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?		X	
	Local authorities or communities?		X	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		×	
	Trade or other private sector associations?		X	
	NGOs?		×	
	Other (please specify)			
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No	
	National and local development strategies?		X	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		X	
	Planning processes?	X		
	National accounting?		X	

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the					
	CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?		Yes	No	Not Applicable	
	A	ppendix I	X			
	A	pendix II	X			
	Ap	pendix III				
If there are such studies that you are willing to share, plea			rovide:			
	Species Link to the data, or a brief summary					
	name https://nature-art12.eionet.europa.eu	<u>/article12/re</u>	port?period	d=3&cour	ntry=LT	
	(scientific) https://nature-			•		
	art17.eionet.europa.eu/article17/spec	es/report/?p	eriod=5&g	roup=&cc	ountry=L1®ion	
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any	Yes No		×		
	CITES listed species?		mation			
	·	NO IIIIOII	Hauon	Ш		
	If 'Yes', please provide details: Few projects relate					
	to species conservation included activities related					
	to construction of artificial nests (for <i>Ciconia nigra</i> , <i>Aquila pomarina</i> , <i>Haliaeetus albicilla</i> and other species). These activities created more suitable nesting sites for birds what had a positive impact on					
	species population.					
	Growing wolf (Canis lupus) population is causing conflicts with local farmers by preying on livestock.					
	The wolf population is not less than-500 individuals					
	<mark>in Lithuania</mark>					

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	 X

Objective 3.5 Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited.

Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes No No information	X
	Lithuania has not established bilateral or multirateral		
	agreements, but implements Regulations on trade in seal products applicable in all EU countries.		
	Regulation (EC) No 1007/2009 of the European Parliament and of the		
	Council of 16 September 2009 on trade in seal products https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-		
	content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:02009R1007-20151018		
	Ccommission implementing regulation (EU) 2015/1850 of 13 October 2015 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1007/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council on trade in seal products		
	https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32015R1850&from=LT		

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)					X	
	Scientific Authority(ies)					X	
	Enforcement Authority(ies)		X				

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

ltem		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed Web link(s)	Enclosed Not available	X
COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 338/97 of 9 December 1996 on the protection of species of wild fauna and flora by regulating trade therein	Previously provided	
https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A01997R0338-20200101		
COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 865/2006 of 4 May 2006 laying down detailed rules concerning the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 338/97 on the protection of species of wild fauna and flora by regulating trade therein		
https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:02006R0865- 20190227&from=EN		
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising materials,	etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?	n Yes No No Information	X
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or	assistance that is required.	
Difficulties are encountered when the seizured or confiscation of so very few or sometimes even no places and premises were confiscand and kept. This is very relevant in cases when large animals (e.g. lice	ated or seizure animals could	<mark>be replaced</mark>
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o Parties?	other Yes No No Information	×
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:	No mormanon	
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.