The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: <u>info@cites.org</u> Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	INDONESIA
Period covered in this report	2018 - 2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Directorate Biodiversity Conservation. Ministry of Envoronmet and Foretry. Republic Indonesia.
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	MA CITES Indonesia, SA CITES Indonesia, EA CITES Indonesia

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures. All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes 🛛 No 🗌 If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes 🖾 No 🗍 Not Applicable 🗍 If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No ⊠ If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Implementasi CITES melibatkan banyak sektor antara lain Kemendag dan Bea cukai, butuh waktu koordinasi dan sosialiasi yang cukup lama

- **Objective 1.2** Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. Aichi Target 3.
- Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries	\boxtimes		
	lf 'Yes', please list countries : Jepang, Korea, Amerika Serikat, Amerika Latin, UK, Cina, Uni Eropa, Singapura, Malaysia			
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?			

Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?			
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?			
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so Any lesson experience to develop the online application: training and comparative studies			

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in <u>Resolution</u> <u>Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16)</u>.

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?			
		Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of <u>Resolution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.			
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.	\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.			
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:			

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?			
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements 🖂			
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements			
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements			
	No special reporting requirements applicable 🗌			
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes 🗌 No 🖂			
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?			

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Objective 1.4	The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species				
	Aichi Target 1, Target12, Target 14 and Target 19.				

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to: a) the population status of Appendix-II species;

- a) the population status of Appendix-II species;b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
- c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	\boxtimes			
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	\boxtimes			
	 the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species? 	\boxtimes			
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	\boxtimes			

	If there are such studies that you are willing	to share, please provide:		
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results of or other analysis (e.g. populationstable / increase, off-take levels links to published reference main	n status, do etc), or pro	ecline /
	Aquilaria filaria	https://jurnal.balithutmakassar.org/index.php/w ea/article/view/580;https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/jtbb e/view/59221		
	Gekko gecko			
	Morelia boeleni			
	Cuora amboinensis			
	Python reticulastus			
	Gyrinops versteegii	https://smujo.id/biodiv/article/vie		
	Leucopsar rothschildi	Increase https://regional.kompas.com/rea 1721/mengepakkan-kembali-sa	ad/2020/10	
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app	bly	-	
		Revised harves	•	
		Stricter d	-	g export ⊠ easures ⊠
		Changed manager		<u> </u>
		Discussion with Manag		·
		Discussion with o	her stakeh	olders?
	01	ther (please provide a short sumn	nary):	
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste			\square
		d species? No Not Applicable		
		No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact: http://ksdae.menlhk.go.id/publikasi-t		of their	
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi	ngs that can be shared? Yes 🖂 N	No 🗌	
	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to NDF Cheilinus undulatus, NDF for Wedgefis		yathea cor	ntaminans
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	becies biology, life history,	\boxtimes	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at sites protected	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.	\boxtimes	
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UI Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicat on trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No	
			Yes	No	informatior	۱
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> ?			\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making no or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where on the internet: prosedur untuk hiu, teripang, ular phyton, gaha we adopt the resolution 16.7 and the Ndf guidance	the inform			found	
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the foll	owing				
	guidance been used?	-	Plea	se tick	all that apply	/
	Virtual	College				
	IUCN C	hecklist			\boxtimes	
	Resolution Co	onf. 16.7			\boxtimes	
	2008 NDF w	orkshop			\boxtimes	
	Species specific g	uidance			\boxtimes	
		Other				
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:	:				
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by	case]
	detriment findings?	Annually]
		Every tw	o year	S]
		Less free	quently	/]
		A mix of	the ab	ove]
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detrimen	t findings	would	be cha	anged:	

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas? If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or	Yes No	
	by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	Other, please specify realization of fishing quota and utilization trend for 5 years
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption? If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment The number of Quota export for several species is determin population.	• ·	and survey

Objective 1.6Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.
Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.Indicator 1.6.1:The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co-
management of shared CITES listed species by range States.

1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: EAAFP (East Asia- Australasian Flyway Partnership)

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative in place for shared populatio	e management plans, including recovery plans, ns of CITES-listed species?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each	es for which these plans are in place and provide a linl species.	k or reference
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan	
	Aquilaria filaria	unpublish data	
	Carettochelys insculpta	unpublish data	
	Leucopsar rothchildi Crocodylus novaeguineae	unpublish data unpublish data	

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?	
	Staff of Management Authority	\square			\square		ASEAN Member State	
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\boxtimes			\square		IUCN	
	Staff of enforcement authorities						GEF: Combatting illegal wildlife trade	
	Traders			\square				
	NGOs				\square			
	Public			\square				
	Other (please specify):							

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	provide	rs of any	y of the t	followi	ng ca	pacity-building activities to
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	
	Target group	a Q	as: as:	as: as:	Tr	đ	Details
	Staff of Management Authority				\boxtimes		ESABII 2015/2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020
	Staff of Scientific Authority				\square		
	Staff of enforcement authorities				\square		
	Traders	\boxtimes					
	NGOs						
	Public	\square					
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?	1		
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Alwavs	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange			\square]
	Monitoring / survey					Ľ]
	Habitat management]
	Species management						
	Law enforcement] examples: Collaboration with Malaysia for handling smuggling wildlife and repatriation some of them.
	Capacity building			\square]
	Other (please provide details)						

Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.7.1:

The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
- formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?			
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?			

– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	\square		
– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?			
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagem	ant and nrow	ido odditio	nal
details: - In regional level, ASEAN Member States through ASE Wildlife Enforcement (AWG-CITES-WE) have strategy and activitiate	AN Working	Group on C	CITES a

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	$\boxtimes \Box$
	If 'Yes', what do you do? -reviewing SOP for handling evidence of	wild animal (dead or live)	
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do ye	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	YesImage: Second stateNo, but toolkit use is under considerationImage: Second stateNoImage: Second stateImage: Second stateNoImage: Second stateImage: Second stateNo informationImage: Second state	١٢
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used an equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to n tools useful to you:	uld be made:	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available Law of Nature and Ecosystem Conservation No. 5 /1990		
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	vildlife trafficking offence	s to be
	 trade and trade protected animals both in living conditions and Number of evidence Actors involved 	l their parts	

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ¹ to investigation of CITES offences?	on of CITES offences?					
	 If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: -DNA Forensic, collaboration with other institution in Indonesia who have facilities for DNA Forensic: LIPI and Eijkman Institution Digital Forensic If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to: For example to determine Sumatran or African ivory as an evidence of wildlife crime through DNA Forensic 						
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed	ities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes					
	during the period covered in this report?	specie	5	No Information			
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties:	y lesso	ns lear	1			
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		Yes No No informatio	n 🗌		
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?		
	General crime ³	\square					
	Predicate offences ⁴			\square			
	Asset forfeiture ⁵	\square					
	Corruption ⁶	\square					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁷						
	Organized crime ⁸	\square					

¹ Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

² A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

³ General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

⁴ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁵ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁶ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁷ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

⁸ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

1	Specialized investigation techniques ¹		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CI brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpf		
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?	Yes No No information	on 🛛
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-build	ling needs:	

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITESlisted species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Alwaya	
1. <i>1</i> .4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	\square
		Very often Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ² to inform investigations into	Always	\boxtimes
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	\square
		No	
		No information	Wa
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	\boxtimes
		No	
		No information	

¹ Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

² Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information		
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?					
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: Imposition of administrative fines and penalties for suspension or revocation of licenses					
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?					
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, removing, carrying, and transporting wildlife without permit	please at	tach details	: Prohibitions:		
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?					
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:		
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	· · ·	Tick	all that apply		
	 Return to country of export 			\square		
	 Public zoos or botanical gardens 			\boxtimes		
	 Designated rescue centres 			\boxtimes		
	 Approved private facilities 					
	– Euthanasia					
	 Other (please specify): captive broodstock 			\boxtimes		
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ited specii	mens? No			
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s? No			

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? 🛛 🗌 Permit officers? 🔹 🔲 Enforcement officers? 🔲 🗌	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		Yes No	\square
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	standard of issuance of export permit		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	speed accuracy	Yes No and of	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	service	Yes No	\square
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			\boxtimes
	 number of staff? a shortage of skille? 			
	 a shortage of skills? If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? 			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	 number of staff? a shortage of skille? 			
	– a shortage of skills?			

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?		
2.2.1c	Do you have a enforcement a If 'No', please If 'Yes', for wh standards?	Yes No			
		u have performance targets	for these standards48?	Yes	
	lf 'Yes', what a	are your performance targe	ts?	No	\boxtimes
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th			
	If you did not a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes	No
		of funding?			
	– number of			\square	
	 a shortage 	of skills?			\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?		
2.2.1d	2.2.1b, or 2.2.	1c, relating to the existence	r answered 'No' to the first pa e of approved service standa r your authorities to function o	rds for your authorities	5:
	Do you have s	Management	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcement	
		Authority(ies)	· · ·	Authority(ies)	
	Funding?	Yes 🖾 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🔀	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes 🖂 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities: - changed the budget for activities; - hired more staff;

- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectivenes implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable		
	Hiring of more staff			
	Development of implementation tools			\boxtimes
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	on, monitoring	g or enforcemen	t 🗌
	Other (please specify):			
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)		\boxtimes	
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\boxtimes
	Enforcement authorities		\boxtimes	
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?			

	Scientific Authority(ies)?			\square			
	Enforcement authorities?		\square				
2.2.2d	d What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation a the national level through the following activities?						
	Activity High Medium Low Not a						
	Hiring of more staff		\boxtimes				
	Development of implementation tools	\boxtimes					
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement						
	e-permitting		\square				
	Other (please specify):						
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No		
	Species information		\square				
	Trade information		\boxtimes				
	Non-detriment findings						

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick	all that are appli	cable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or intro the sea) 	duction from	\boxtimes
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction of CITES-listed species) 	from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		\square
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		\boxtimes
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	 Other (please specify): 		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🖂 N	0 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secret		
	PP 12/2014: Trading specimen levies license fees, administrative forms, and		fo
	Minister of Forestry Regulation No. P.86/2016: the benchmark price for the ba and plants		le
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wild	life conservation	?
		Entirely	\boxtimes
		Partly	
		Not at all	
		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\square
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures ¹ such as	s those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention?	YesNo
	Due diligence	\Box
	Compensatory mechanisms	
	Certification	\Box
	Communal property rights	\Box
	Auctioning of quotas	\Box
	Cost recovery or environmenta	al charges 🗌 🖂
	Enforcement incentives	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use further information:	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity t	been eliminated? Not at all
	Very little⊠	
	Somewhat	
	Completely	

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

- **Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacitybuilding programmes. Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.
- Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	you run during the period covered in this report? f			Withou from th Secreta	е	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat	
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities species identification, methodology of s				Ca	ptive	breading, source code,
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance		Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	\square	\square		\square		
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- **Objective 3.1** Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements? If 'Yes', please provide brief details: The funding support 3 principles of traceability, sustainability, and legality of some species	Yes No Not applicable No information CITES implementat	□ □ □ ion:
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No i	information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	ASEAN Member States	\square						
	Mongol	\boxtimes						

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	 Press conferences 		
	 Press releases 	\boxtimes	
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 	\boxtimes	
	 Radio appearances 	\bowtie	
	 Presentations 	\boxtimes	
	 Public consultations / meetings 	\boxtimes	
	 Market surveys 	\boxtimes	
	– Displays	\boxtimes	
	 Information at border crossing points 	\boxtimes	
	 Telephone hotline 	\bowtie	
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) 	\boxtimes	
	https://www.menlhk.go.id http://lipi.go.id https://kkp.go.id		
	 Other (specify): 		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
	Staff of Management Authority	\square					
	Staff of Scientific Authority			\bowtie			
	Staff of enforcement authorities				\boxtimes		
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	vebsite?	Exc	ellent		
				Goo	bd	\boxtimes	
				Ave	rage		
	Poor						
	Very Poor						
				No i	nformation		
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):						

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?				
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?				
3.3.2c	Provide the end of the end o				
	Agencies for development?	\square			
	Agencies for trade?	\square			
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\square			
	Local authorities or communities?	\square			
	Indigenous or local peoples?	\square			
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\square			
	NGOs?	\square			
	Other (please specify)				
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No		
	National and local development strategies?	\square			
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?	\square			
	Planning processes?	\square			
	National accounting?	\square			

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?	
	Inter-governmental organizations?	\boxtimes	IUCN	
	Non-governmental organizations?	\square	WCS	

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the						
	CITES App	pendices has stabilized or improved?		Yes	No	Not Applicable	
		Ар	pendix I	\boxtimes			
		Арг	oendix II	\boxtimes			
		Арр	endix III			\boxtimes	
	If there are	such studies that you are willing to share	e, please pr	ovide:			
	Species name (scientific)						
	Rhinoplax http://ksdae.menlhk.go.id/assets/publikasi/SRAK%20Rangkong%20Gading_Published. vigil						
3.4.1b	success st	ve examples of specific examples of ories or emerging problems with any ed species?	Yes No No info	rmation			
	If 'Yes', please provide details:						

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	$\square \square$
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

Objective 3.5 Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details: ASEAN Working Group on CITES and Wildlife Enforcement	Yes No No information	
--------	--	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)						
	Scientific Authority(ies)						
	Enforcement Authority(ies)			\square			

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item							
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed						
Web link(s)	Not available						
	Previously provided	\boxtimes					
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc:						
NDF, guidance of protected species identification, population surve	ey method						
http://abschindonesia.menlhk.go.id/publikasi/index/Buku%20Digita	l						
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen i	n Yes						
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	\boxtimes					
	No Information						
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or	assistance that is required.						
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	other Yes						
Parties?	No	\boxtimes					
	No Information						
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:							
How could this report format be improved? it is better to simplify the	ne format of this report						

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.