CITES Implementation Report - Finland

Party	Finland
Period covered in this report	2018 - 2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Finnish Environment Institute and Ministry of the Environment
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Ministry of the Environment (primary MA), Finnish Environment Institute (secondary MA), Museum of Natural History/Helsinki University (SA), Customs

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No x If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes x No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	×		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	×		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	×		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		×	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		×	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		×	

	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		×					
•	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:				
-	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹?	х□						
•	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	what migh	t help you	to do so:				
	the MA competent to grant permits has started the process to bui management system, with an electronic user interphase for the a to explore the options for electronic permit data exchange betwee customs, and/or making use of the EU electronic permit data exc	pplicants. en the MA/	The next permits a	phase will be nd the				
Indicator 1	.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).	cedures p	provided for	or in <u>Resolution</u>				
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	•						
		7	Tick all app	olicable				
		Yes	No	No information				
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		x					
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		×					
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		×					
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		×					
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:		x					
Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18. Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.								
1.3.1a Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])? https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/resources/reporting/Reporting%20requirements%20post%2								
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements x Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable							

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period cover implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted of the Parties?			ce	□ <mark>No</mark> x				
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?								
Objective	e 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation ne Aichi Target 1, Target12, Target 14 and Target 19.	eds of sp	oecies.						
1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have been found Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic								
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species wo on the CITES Appendices? => Finland/national level 'no',				s x <mark>No</mark> x				
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the re (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is no			·):					
Objective	Best available scientific information is the basis for Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target				t 14.				
Indicator	 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses of the sources of information cited in Resolution Confa) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. 	f. 16.7 on c-II specie	Non-de	etriment findings	s related to:				
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?				
	- the population status of Appendix II species? [Bear, wolf, lynx, several species of birds of prey and owls]	×			one survey/ year/sp ecies				
	 the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species? There is no international trade from Finland for these species. 			x					
	 the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species? [otter, sea-eagle, peregrine falcon, gyrfalcon] 	X			once/ye ar/speci es				
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species? [sea-eagle, peregrine falcon, gyrfalcon]	×			once/ye ar/speci es				
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?			×					

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:							
	Species name (scientific)	or other analys stable / increas	ry of the results of sis (e.g. population se, off-take levels ned reference mat	n status, dec etc), or prov	line /			
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		es used in makinç	g non-detrim	ent			
			Revised harve	e <mark>st</mark> or export Banning e				
			Stricter o	domestic me				
		(Changed manager					
		Discu	ussion with Manag Discussion with o					
		provide a short s ackground:		olilei stakeii	olueis! X			
	Animals. Of all CITES animal species natura as 'game species' may be hunted, sold or these only the following species could be h countries that allow possession of said spec Note: commercial export outside the EU of the species of the second	exported (each unted (in-countr ies) during this re	activity requiring : y) and sold (in-co eporting period: br	specific perr untry + to th own bear, ly	mits). Of nose EU			
	Plants. There is no known exploitation of Cl	TES listed plants	s naturally occurring	ng in Finland	d.			
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste		<mark>Yes</mark> No		x \[\square			
			Not Applicable					
	1507		No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact: Note: there are only five Appendix I				es of			
	birds of prey (all of them strictly protected by (protected by the national Hunting Act), and Nature Conservation Act).	/ the national Na	ature Conservation	n Act), the ot	ter			
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	•	_	<mark>lo</mark> x				
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph of the you use in making non-detriment findings	s?	,	Yes	No			
	CITES specimens taken from the wild in Fin any purposes). Furthermore, commercial exall CITES-listed animal species and for arou (orchids) naturally occurring in the wild in Finbased either on EU level legislation or on nate depending on the species and species cate.	port is practicall and half of the pland. These pro ational level legis	y prohibited for ant species phibitions are					
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	pecies biology, lit	fe history,	×				
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.		X				
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	ocations and at s	ites protected	X				
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous	s communities.		×			

E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts. F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for exemple.	x x	
example.		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
		Yes	No	infor	mation
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?	X			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for makin or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the	inforn	nation	can b	internet:
	If and when needed, we make use of procedures developed by the E However, Finland is essentially not exporting any of its CITES listed commercially export specimens of wild origin of any of the CITES listed wild taken CITES listed plants have taken place between 2018 – 2020.	d wildli	fe: it is	proh	ibited to
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following				
	guidance been used?	Plea	se tick	all tha	t apply
	Virtual College		[
	IUCN Checklist			X	
	Resolution Conf. 16.7			X	
	2008 NDF workshop		[
	Species specific guidance			X	
	Other		[
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:				
	Game management and action plans for bear and lynx. [But in 2018 – W exports than for scientific research/age determination. No source cocode R activities in the country.]				
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non- Case b	/ case			
	detriment findings? Annual	у			
	Every to	vo yeaı	rs .		
	Less fre		,		
	A mix o				X
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment findings			_	
	For example, if the population status changes significantly based on the	e yearl	y popul	lation s	survey.

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	
		<mark>No</mark>	Х
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other,
		Population	please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	finding process:	

Objective 1	Parties cooperate in managing s Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6					12 an	d Target 19.
Indicator 1.6	3.1: The number of bilateral and management of shared CITES I						pecifically provide for co-
1.6.1a	1.6.1a ⇒ Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species? Yes x No ☐ If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: CMS, AEWA, Bern Convention						
Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.							
1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative manage in place for shared populations of CIT				ecove	ery pla	ans, Yes <mark>☐ No</mark> x
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species. Species Name (scientific) Link or reference to a published plan						
Indicator 1.6	6.3: The number of workshops and other to address the conservation and n						
1.6.3a Not relevant	Have the CITES authorities receive activities provided by external source		enefited	from a	ny of	the f	ollowing capacity-building
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external
	Staff of Management Authority						sources ¹ ?
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		
	Target group	ad O	as Le	Fir	۲	ਰ	D	etails
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?				
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always		ner detail / amples
	Information exchange]	
	Monitoring / survey							
	Habitat management							
	Species management]	
	Law enforcement							
	Capacity building							
	Other (please provide details)		·					
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that								

Have the CITES authorities been the *providers* of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?

1.6.3b No

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	x				
	If 'Yes', what do you do?						
	EU Illegal Wildlife Trade Action Plan is revised by the EU Commis	<mark>sion.</mark>					
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?					
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	r				
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit or equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made:						
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to n tools useful to you:	nake the toolkit or equivalen	t				
	The volume of incidences is quite low, and there are functioning nation for investigation of illegally hunted game species	onal networks and tools in pl	ace				

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, **capacity** to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes	Χ		
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No			
		No information	$\overline{\Box}$		
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available				
	Nature Conservation Act; Criminal Code; Decrees with calculated compensations (sums of money) for protected species covered in both the Nature Conservation Act and the Hunting Act (to help the Courts in defining the compensations the offender is deemed to pay)				
	Summary of penalties: day-fines or imprisonment for maximum 2 years (offences), imprisonment minimum 4 months and maximum 4 years (felony/serious crime), forfeiture of the specimens, forfeiture of means and assets, compensations (the offender to pay for the lost 'ecological value')				
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes	Х		
	recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	No			
		No information	Ш		
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	rildlife trafficking offences to	o be		
	Aggravated nature conservation offence: serious damage or danger to rare species, considerable				
	economic offence is sought or the offence is committed in a particular				
	offence is aggravated also when assessed as a whole. Aggravated	d hunting offence: the offer	nce is		

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

	committed in a particularly brutal or cruel manner, the object of the offence is a large amount of game, considerable economic offence is sought or the offence is committed in a particularly planned manner, or a wolverine, lynx, bear, Finnish forest reindeer, otter or wolf is killed or injured and the offence is aggravated also when assessed as a whole.						
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ¹ to sinvestigation of CITES offences?	suppor	t the	Yes No No informatio	n		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: very few cases; University of Oulu has analysed samples of wolf and dog hybrids to help the enforcement and police criminal investigation to establish the legality of certain live specimens.						
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:						
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [aw enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² Yes [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ² No [authorities participate in or initiate any multi-discipate in or						
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any other Parties:	y lesso	ns lear	rned which mig	ht be helpful for		
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization? Yes (Customs), No information (Police)	offence		Yes No No informatio	n x		
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?		
	General crime ³	x					
	Predicate offences ⁴	x					
	Asset forfeiture ⁵	x					
	Corruption ⁶	X					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁷	X					
	Organized crime ⁸	X					

Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

² A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

⁴ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

	Specialized investigation techniques ¹	X				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is ubrief summary, including any lessons learned which mig					orovide a
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legis		е	Yes	•	X
	provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offenc	ces?		No		
				No information	on	
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major cap	pacity	y-build	ing needs:		

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	П
	· ·	Very often	X
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	X
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ² to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	×
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	X
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	X
		No information	

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

² Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information	
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	×			
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details:	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach	
	In cases involving a single item (or a few items) of App II specivalue, when the offender is a private person with no previous r				
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	X			
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	:	
	sale of bear meat without intra-EU certificates (1 case; in-country); illegal import, breeding and sale of wolf/wolf hybrids (1 case); selling and offering to sell stuffed animals, skins, skulls without documents (2 cases; in-country); commercial import of cosmetics without CITES permits (case); illegal import (intra-EU borders) of EU-bred parrots without due documentation (1 case).				
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?			X	
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:	
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply	
	FI: We assume that this question relates to live specimens only	y(?)			
	Return to country of export				
	Public zoos or botanical gardens			X	
	Designated rescue centres			X	
	Approved private facilities				
	– Euthanasia			X	
	Other (please specify): training facility/school for animal car	etakers		X	
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ited specir	mens?		
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?		

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have <u>information resources or training</u> in place to support: `The making of non-detriment findings?	∕esNo <mark>x</mark> □	
	Permit officers?	x \square	
	Enforcement officers?	x \square	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building	Yes	
	work?	No	×
		No information	
	What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	-	

1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	x
--------	---	-----------------------------	---

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?	Yes No	x
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?	Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:		No
	- availability of funding?		
	- number of staff?		
	- a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
	, ,		
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?	Yes No	x
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		-
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?	Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service		
	standards during the period covered in this report:		

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	a result of:	neet your performance to	argets then was this shortfall	Yes	No
	availability	of fundina?		П	
	- number of s	<u>-</u>		П	
	a shortage				
	_		ills do you need more of?	Ш	
		ortage of claims, which cla	me de yeu need mere en		
2.2.1c	Do you have a enforcement a	n approved service stan	dard(s) ⁴⁷ for your	Yes No	
		go to Question 2.2.1d.		INO	^
		•	andards, and what are those		
	If 'Yes', do you	have performance targe	ets for these standards48?	Yes	
	_	re your performance tar		No	
		ase provide your perforr ng the period covered in			
	If you did not n	neet your performance to	argets then was this shortfall		
	a result of:			Yes	No
	 availability 	-			
	- number of s				
	a shortage	of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a sho	ortage of skills, which ski	ills do you need more of?		
2.2.1d			our answered 'No' to the first par		
	Do you have s	ufficient of the following	for your authorities to function ef	fectively?	
	***************************************	Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcement Authority(ies)	
	Funding?	Yes x No 🗌	Yes □No x	Yes x No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes x No 🗌	Yes □No <mark>x</mark>	Yes 🗴 No 🗌	
	J. J.		:	Yes x No 🗌	

2.2.2a Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level?

Hiring of more staff

Development of implementation tools

Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement

Other (please specify): Work on renewal of the permit database started in 2020, ongoing.

2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report budget for your:	t, was the	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)			<mark>x</mark>	
	Scientific Authority(ies)				<mark>x</mark>
	Enforcement authorities			x	
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to inclevel of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?				<mark>x</mark>
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				x x
	Enforcement authorities?				X
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority f the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	eness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff			<mark>x</mark>	
	Development of implementation tools				<mark>x</mark>
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement			<mark>x</mark>	
	e-permitting		X		
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	•	Yes	Under development	No
	Species information		X		
	Trade information			x	
	Non-detriment findings				X

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick all that are app	licable
	Administrative procedures	
	Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)	X
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species) 	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species => caviar producers 	X
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species	
	 Use of CITES-listed species Issuance of intra-EU certificates for Annex A specimens 	X
	Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species	
	Other (please specify):	
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: http://www.ymparisto.fi/fi-	
	FI/Asiointi luvat ja ymparistovaikutusten arviointi/Luvat ilmoitukset ja rekisterointi/Uhana lajien kansainvalinen ja EUn sisainen kauppa ja sita koskevat luvat CITES/CITESlup	
	ettely/Lupamenettelyn_aikataulu_lupien_voimassaolo_ja_hinnat	
	https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/alkup/2019/20191243	

	http://www.miljo.fi/sv-Fl/Arendehantering tillstand och miljokonsekvensbedomning/Tillstand anmalninga ering/Internationell och EUintern handel med hotade arter och de tillstand sor etta CITES/CITEStillstandsforfarandet/Handlaggningstiden for tillstandsforfarande giltighet och priser https://www.finlex.fi/sv/laki/alkup/2019/20191243	n kravs	for d
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife con	servation	1?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
	1	Not at all	
	There are no revenues from fees, since the fees do not cover the authority's costs. / Not	/salaries relevant	X
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		X
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		X
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		X
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		×

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those desc Convention? YesNo	ribed in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Due diligence x	
	Compensatory mechanisms 🔲 🗴	
	Certification	
	Communal property rights 🔲 🗴	
	Auctioning of quotas	
	Cost recovery or environmental charges	l <mark>x</mark>
	Enforcement incentives \x	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other meas	ures, please provide a summary or link to
	further information:	
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been elimina	ted? Not at all□
	Very little ☐	
	Somewhat x	
	Completely	

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities **mandated by Resolutions and Decisions** that are fully funded.

2.3.1a		ow many training and capacity building activities ou run during the period covered in this report?			Without assistance from the Secretariat		ance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			٨	lone 1			
				2-5 6-10 1-20			
		ľ	More tha	_			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place? Training of Customs personnel, other enforcement authorities						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ve?				•	
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes	
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following		Relevant
	activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	User Groups
	Press conferences		П
	- Press releases	□ ▼	Ŭ ¥
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	v v	v v
	Television appearances	×	
	Radio appearances	x	
	- Presentations	Ē	×
	Public consultations / meetings	П	Ē
	Market surveys		
	- Displays		×
	 Information at border crossing points 	×	
	Telephone hotline		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) www.ymparisto.fi/cites, 	×	X
	https://tulli.fi/henkiloasiakkaat/matkailijalle/uhanalaiset-lajit,		
	https://tulli.fi/tietoa-tullista/tullin-toiminta/rajoituskasikirja		
	- Other (specify):		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the Cl	TES web	osite?			
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority		x			
	Staff of Scientific Authority				×	
	Staff of enforcement authorities					X
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	vebsite?	Exc	ellent	
				Goo	d	X
				Ave	rage	
				Poo	r	
				Very	/ Poor	
				No i	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					ıntered,

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	x
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: the ministries (and other author national focal points meet regularly to discuss and work on activities co		

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards?	our country	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your cour implemented which integrate CITES issues?	try	
0.0.20	Global wildlife trade permeates the Tree of Life - ScienceDirect		
3.3.2c	Have there been any <u>efforts at a national scale</u> for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		
	Agencies for trade?		
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	×	
	Local authorities or communities?		
	Indigenous or local peoples?	×	
	Trade or other private sector associations?	×	
	NGOs?	×	
	Other (please specify)		
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?	x	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		
	Planning processes?		
	National accounting?		

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

	ndicator 5.	governmental organizations to participate in and/or ful and capacity-building activities.	•	
Γ	3 3 3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES		

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities		Which
	to / from:	Tick if applicable	organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		

Objective 3.4

The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved. Indicator 3.4.1:

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	s	No	Not Applicable			
	Appendix I x						
	Appendix II]					
	Appendix III]					
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary						
	Haliaeetus albicilla						
	Falco peregrinus						
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or	Yes					
	emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	No	_	×			
	If 'Vos', places provide details:	No ir	nformatic	on 📙			
	If 'Yes', please provide details:						

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	×
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

Objective 3.5		Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.							
Indicator 3.	5.1:	The number of cooperative action to prevent species from being un							reements
3.5.1a	3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:							Yes No No information	×
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other relevenatural resources are consulted							
3.5.2a	that agre by C Mana Scien	rage number of times per year international organizations or ements have been consulted CITES Authorities agement Authority(ies) ntific Authority(ies)	Once		☐ ☐ 6-20 times	☐ ☐ More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional common which organiza issues consu	tions and
Please prov	∕ide a	Gen ny additional comments you would	neral fee		ncludir	ng com	ment	s on this format.	
		ltem						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Web link(s)					Not	losed			
Publication	s by t	he Scientific Authority with a direct	ct link to	CITES:		ireness	raisi	ng materials, etc:	
		los.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.			<u>o.2005</u>	<u>5075</u>			
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?								ation [x _
Parties?						Yes <mark>No</mark>		required. [aation [
If 'Yes' ple	ase pi	rovide details / links:							

How could this report format be improved?

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.