### Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

#### **CITES vision statement**

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Belgium
Period covered in this report	1 January 2018 to 31 December 2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Federal Public Service (FPS) Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment Directorate General Environment Service Multilateral and Strategic Affairs CITES Unit Avenue Galilée, 5 boîte 2   1210 Brussels
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	*CITES Management Authority: isabelle.gregoire@health.fgov.be elke.malfait@health.fgov.be  *CITES Scientific Authority
	frans.arijs@health.fgov.be *CITES Enforcement Authority:
	Inspection service – DG Environment – FPS Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment

## GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?  Yes \sum No \sum If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes \sum No \sum Not Applicable \sum If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?  Yes No I  If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:
	The changes to the CITES Appendices are implemented through an amendment of "Council Regulation (EC) N° 338/97 on the protection of species of wild fauna and flora by regulating trade therein" which is directly applicable in Belgium as in all other EU Member States.
	New CITES Appendix I species The Belgian Law of 28/07/1981 provides furthermore for an article which specifies that for the newly Appendix I listed species, it is mandatory to submit an inventory for the holding of live specimens, allowing therefore newly listed App. I specimens to be monitored.

### **New CITES Appendix II species**

As it may be difficult to prove the legal acquisition of specimens of Appendix II species prior to the listing of the species in this Appendix, the Belgian CITES Management Authority has provided for voluntary online registration of such specimens.

This voluntary registration serves as a proof of legal acquisition of the specimen(s) before the CITES Appendix II listing has come into force.

Supporting documents that can be submitted:

- Details of the breeder/store/former owner of the animal
- Date of acquisition
- Identification of the animal (chip or closed ring) if applicable. If the animal is not identified or cannot be identified, clear colour pictures (full profile of the animal and detailed head shot) or distinguished features (specific stains, etc.) are required.
- Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

  Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	$\boxtimes$		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	$\boxtimes$		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	$\boxtimes$		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		$\boxtimes$	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		$\boxtimes$	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		$\boxtimes$	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		$\boxtimes$	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challeng. This elaborate electronic system for the submission of application that has entered into force in March 2015, allows Belgian citize individuals) to apply and pay for their CITES documents online permits is also done via this system, which allows to keep recordigital form. This system also gives the possibility to ensure a trade, to extract data, establish trends etc. The establishment of required time and resources, it is been (and still is) regularly up the submission and treatment of the applications.  If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting'?	ntions for ens (trade e. Treatm ords of all better con of this onl	CITES do ers as we ent and is applicati ntrol of the line syste	cuments, ll as ssuance of ons in a ne legal m has

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: yes Since 2019, the Belgian CITES Management Authority participates to the feasibility study on electronic CITES permitting at EU level and technical meetings on the subject that are organised by the European Commission. The goal of this EU initiative is to interconnect national permitting systems in the EU.

This electronic permitting system will support the processing of certificates and permits mandated by the EU CITES Regulations. These Regulations, in turn, reflects the obligations of the European Union pursuant to the CITES Convention. The system is intended for the benefit of all EU Member states

Belgium participates in the testing of the future electronic system together with the Czech Republic, the Netherlands and Italy. The development and testing are undergone by steps (each step being called a "sprint"). The European Commission ICT team participates to the testing, providing help and technical advice if needed. "Sprints" can be tailored to each participating MS situation.

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?			
		Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		$\boxtimes$	
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <a href="Article VII">Article VII</a> , paragraph 2.		$\boxtimes$	
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		$\boxtimes$	
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		$\boxtimes$	
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures?  If 'Yes', please provide details:			

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements  Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements  Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements  No special reporting requirements applicable

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period of implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adoption of the Parties?  If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) were / are being encountered?	pted by the Co	nference	e Yes [	]No ⊠ lifficulties
	were / are being encountered:				
Objective	1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 1		ecies.		
	The number and proportion of species that have been f Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the pe				
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether specie on the CITES Appendices?	s would benefi	t from lis		] No []
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to t (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work a. regarding COP19			):	
	Pterocarpus spp. Belgian CITES Scientific Authorit listing of the African tree genus Pterocarpus spp. i support of the German CITES Scientific Authority	n CITES Appe	_		
	Physignathus cocincinus (Chinese water dragon) kand also harvested locally in range states for for support from the Belgian CITES SA, has been world to obtain further information on the species. exploitation, trade levels are high indeed.	ood The Ger king with cou	man CI' ntries of	ΓES SA, with forigin (incl. \	technical Viet Nam)
	Laotriton laoensis (Laos Warty Newt) Bilateral co PDR ongoing to clarify if Appendix II listing of Germany and Belgium are under their way to draf	the species of	ould be		
	a. regarding COP18  Pericopsis elata Belgium worked on a proposal to of the goal was to expand the scope of the annotation transformed wood. The proposal was adopted an 17 (#17).	ion for <i>P. elate</i>	a (#5) t	o include plyv	wood and
Objective	<ul><li>1.5 Best available scientific information is the bas Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Ta</li></ul>				t 14.
Indicator '	<ul> <li>.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analy the sources of information cited in Resolution</li> <li>a) the population status of Appendix-II spec</li> <li>b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appe</li> <li>c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurecovery plans.</li> </ul>	Conf. 16.7 on ies; endix-II species	Non-det s; and	riment findings	s related to:
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?		$\boxtimes$		

- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	$\boxtimes$	
- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	$\boxtimes$	
- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?	$\boxtimes$	
Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	$\boxtimes$	

	If there are such studies that you are willing to	share, pleas	e provide:		
	0 s	r other analy table / increa	ary of the results on sis (e.g. population se, off-take levels hed reference ma	n status, de etc), or pro	ecline /
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies or findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply	r other analys	ses used in makin	g non-detrir	ment
			Revised harves	st or export	quotas 🗌
				Banning	export 🗌
				omestic me	
			hanged managen		•
			ussion with Mana@ Discussion with o	-	
	Othe		vide a short sumn		olders:
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures of		Yes		
	plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed s		No		
			Not Applicable		
			No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including impact:	ing, if possibl	e, an evaluation c	of their	
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findings		<del></del>	<del></del>	
	If 'Yes', please provide links or example		cretariat within	າ this repo	ort:
	Q.1.5.1d- e* Making of Non-Detriment Findin				
	As it is the case in all other EU Member States		•	_	
	the introduction of CITES listed species into				
	Regulation 338/97). It can only give a positiv		=		
	the conservation status of the species or on th				
	population of the species. The Belgian SA h	=			
	Central African timber exporting countries	_		=	
	establish prior to the export of specimens of (comply with the relevant provisions of the		<del>-</del>		=
	regional and international experts were the				
	scientific literature, scientific surveys, and nat				
	Selentine neeracare, selentine surveys, and na	cional ana m	terrational tradi	, illioi illaci	.011.
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) do you use in making non-detriment findings?	k) of Resoluti	on Conf. 16.7)	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning spec distribution and population trends.	ies biology, li	fe history,	$\boxtimes$	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments c	onducted.		$\boxtimes$	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest local from harvest and other impacts.	tions and at s	sites protected		
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local a	nd indigenou	s communities.	$\boxtimes$	
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional ar	nd internation	al experts.	$\boxtimes$	
	F. national and international trade information s		•	$\boxtimes$	
	the CITES trade database maintained by UNE Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication on trade and investigations of sales at markets example.	P World Con s on trade, lo	servation ocal knowledge		_

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a	No Yes No information	on.		
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment □ □	<i>7</i> 11		
	findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?	ļ		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found			
	on the internet: The coordinator of our CITES SA makes use of a pre-filled application form to organise the information that is necessary to elaborate a NDF advice. This	l		
	application form was sent with Belgian bi-annual report 2013-2014.			
	The BE CITES MA uses also available standardised information to collect information			
	that are needed for the elaboration of NDF advice: CIRCABC (EC secure website Reference Guide, Guidelines for the establishment of a scientific advice, Belgia	-		
	"compendium" (which contains, among others, the CITES legislation texts, expe			
	contact lists, species lists,);			
1 F Ob	Members of our SA meet approx. 4 times/ year.			
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following guidance been used?  Please tick all that app	oly		
	Virtual College	•		
	IUCN Checklist			
	Resolution Conf. 16.7  2008 NDF workshop			
	Species specific guidance			
	Other 🖂	ļ		
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:			
	Literature searches:			
	<ul> <li>data sources subscribed to by UNEP-WCMC including Web of Knowledge/Zoological Records.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>relevant free access journals listed on intranet http://intranet.unep-</li> </ul>			
	<ul><li>wcmc.org/?action=library&amp;base=elecjournals</li><li>University library resources (Scopus search, online journals, books etc)</li></ul>			
	UNEP-WCMC library			
	SCD and literature in Species section			
	SRG E library (unep-wcmc website)  Coogle georghes including "Coogle Scholer"			
	<ul><li>Google searches including "Google Scholar"</li><li>CITES and SRG background information</li></ul>	ļ		
	EU SRG Guidelines	ļ		
	Trade Information Query Tool for any CITES suspension     Trade Information Query Tool (Species DR for any CITES suspens query query and the control of	ļ		
	<ul> <li>Trade Information Query Tool/Species DB for any CITES export quotas</li> <li>CITES web site for any previous discussions/reports/sig trade reviews –</li> </ul>			
	this can provide important context information			
	• the CITES Trade Database and Species+			
	SRG electronic library to get information such as: previous reviews of the species in question; summary of previous discussions; etc.	1		
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non- Case by case [			
	detriment findings?  Annually			

L	Every two years Less frequently A mix of the above	
Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment fi	findings would be changed:	
Scientific opinions for specific combinations (species /code) are generally valid for many years. If new decisi (SRG), then the NDF needs to be adapted accordingly. It example, new information on populations status or new available, etc. then the NDF needs to be revaluate. Som valid for a specific application (source, country, quantity when new applications come in as it would be the case)	ions are taken at EU level it may also happen that, for w export quotas are being netimes decisions are only ty) and must be done ag	

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?  If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:	Yes No	
	Species Name (scientific)  Anguilla anguilla: a zero export quota for Belgium as well as for all other EU MSs is in place since 2011.  Due to its depleted status within Europe, the EU has been unable to make a positive non-detriment finding for the species since December 2010. Currently export and import of this species from and into the EU is not permitted, and all EU Member States have published a zero export quota for European Eel since 2011.	Population Survey?	Other, please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	finding process:	

Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for comanagement of shared CITES listed species by range States.

1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:
	Belgium is signatory state of following agreements =mixed competences (federal and
	regional):
	<ul> <li>Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention)</li> </ul>
	- Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) And other agreements under CMS such as AEWA, EUROBATS (only regional competences) and , the MoU on Raptors, and Ascobans
	<ul> <li>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat, called the Ramsar</li> <li>Within the European Union following regulations for the protection of species are set out: EU Bird Directive 2009/147/EC: see         http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/birdsdirective/index_e         n.htm: Europe is home to more than 500 wild bird species. The Birds         Directive aims to protect all wild bird species naturally occurring in the         European Union, including the species covered by CITES. EU Fauna Flora         Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, see         http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm     </li> </ul>

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1			
1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative in place for shared population	e management plans, including recovery plans, ns of CITES-listed species?	Yes ☐ No ⊠
	If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each	es for which these plans are in place and provide a line species.	k or reference
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan	

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities received or benefited from any of the following capacity-building
	activities provided by external sources?

Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources <sup>1</sup> ?
Staff of Management Authority						
Staff of Scientific Authority						
Staff of enforcement authorities					$\boxtimes$	
Traders						
NGOs						
Public						
Other (please specify):						
<ul> <li>For Scientific Authority staff:</li> <li>External sources:         <ul> <li>Coordinator of the SA attended for as we know on 2 October</li> </ul> </li> <li>Coordinator of the SA attended for each meeting, participation</li> </ul>	· 2019 a	and on 1 Animal:	11 Dece	mber lants	2019	e) mittee meetings and was,

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	provide	ers of an	y of the	follov	ving c	capacity-building activities to
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						Financial assistance to the African Elephant Fund (first time in 2014), thus providing funding to implement the African Elephant Action Plan. Belgium also is part of the steering committee of the AEF and thus provides also input on technical matters.  For 2018: contribution of 50 000 euros, for 2019: 50 000, for 2020: 0 euros.
	Staff of Scientific Authority						See beneath Given by CITES Belgian SA in DRC: CITES generality + NDF for wood. Training of students enrolled in the complementary Master's degree in Environment and Forest Management., at ERAIFT Regional School of the University of Kinshasa: 1. CITES: generalities Frans Arijs (Scientific officer) and Nils Bourland (member of the Belgian SA and fo restry expert) 2.NDF Pterocarpus tinctorius, Zambia, English Frans Arijs(in English) and Nils Bourland (in FR)

	Traders NGOs Public						African students at the "Ecole des douanes belge" of the Federal Public Service Finance in Brussels: 2 days of CITES theoretical and practical sessions held on March 2018 and November 2019. The session planned in November 2020 had to be postponed to April 2021 due to the COVID pandemic.
	Other Parties/International meetings Other (please specify)  • Side-event private sector « 18ièm Congo » – Musée d'Afrique Cent transport sector's involvement in	rale, Te	ervuren,	27 Nov	embe	er 2	018: presentation on the
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES Pa	arties?			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	ΔΙΜΆΥς	Further detail /

Information exchange			The Belgian MA and Belgian enforcement authorities do exchange information with other EU Members states during the meetings of EU Committee and EU Enforcement Group, by mails for specific issues, via EU TWIX database, and with third countries during international meetings (Standing Committee, COP) and by mail for specific issues.
Monitoring / survey	$\boxtimes$		
Habitat management			
Species management			Mission in DRC of the coordinator of the Scientific Authority (April 2018) in order to exchange information and ideas with operators, authorities, and timber experts on the management of Pericopsis elata
Law enforcement			Information on significant seizures that take place in Belgium are transmitted to the CITES MA of the country of
			origin/export.

### **Objective 1.7** Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
- formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No	
--------	---	-----	----	----	--

			Information
– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	$\boxtimes$		
– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?	$\boxtimes$		
– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?		$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$		
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement details:  Belgium endorsed the European Union Action Pla (UAP) see: <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/traffickin">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/traffickin</a> the Commission adopted a progress report on the implementa details see annex 'BE_contribution_progress_EU_action_plan_w Belgium participated in several international meetings to streng cooperation:  • Interpol Wildlife Crime working group: see: <a href="https://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Environmenta">https://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Environmenta</a> London: 29 th Meeting 8th -12th of October 2018  Singapore: 30 th November 2019- 18-22th November 20 Virtual: 31th - November 25-27, 2020 DRAFT Agendal  • All CITES Enforcement meetings at EU level in 2018-201  • On 3 December 2019 participation to two-day conference trade with a focus on bushmeat imports (more informating that in the self of the self	an agains ag en.ht ation of the ildlife_trathen into the ildlife_trathen into the ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen into the ildlife_trathen ildlife_trathen into the ildlife_trathen il	st Wildlife  (m) In Oche Action.  In Grafficking  In Grafficki	Trafficking: tober 2018, Plan More (1).zip  If wildlife  of the Food form. Orkshop in lanagement 2.2018 – If the food form. In the food form the food food food food food food food foo
and the activities taken to implement their strategies.  1.7.2a Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your	Yes		
enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	No, bu	ut review i leration	_
If 'Yes', what do you do?		ormation	

Since 2017: EU Member States are invited by the EU Commission progress report reflecting the priorities and objectives set out Collection of Information from Member States pursuant to Resillicit trafficking in wildlife" adopted by the General Assembly of In October 2018, the Commission adopted a <b>progress report</b> (https://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/progress_report rafficking_en.pdf) on the implementation of the Action Plan. To by a <b>document</b> (see <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/annex progress_dlife_trafficking_en.pdf">https://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/annex_progress_dlife_trafficking_en.pdf</a> ) containing an overview of measures to objectives of the Action Plan. More detailed information can be countries' contributions to the report. An evaluation of the overunderway.	in EU Action Plan solution 73/343 "Tackling on 20 September 2019 see rt_EU_action_plan_wildlife_t The report is accompanied report EU action plan wil aken to achieve the e found in the individual EU
Traffic makes yearly overviews of the EU illegal trade data. The the CITES EU Enforcement Group Meeting.  If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do.	
Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes
If 'Yes', what do you do?	
If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to tools useful to you:  There is a very good and long lasting cooperation in Belgium Authority and enforcement authorities such as customs investomprehensive CITES training for customs officers as well as conducted on a regular basis by the Management Authority.	between the Management stigation agencies. In addition,
.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and pr technology, and capacity to use specialized investigati prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences	
Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?  Article 5 of the law of July 1981 gives the amount of the penalties: minimal fine of €26 (multiplied by 8 (€208)) to €50.000 (multiplied by 8 (€400.000)) and imprisonment of six months to five years.  Article 5 bis of the same law allows administrative sanctions, in case there is no criminal prosecution.  This way of working means that no infractions go unpunished as there will be either a judicial or an administrative follow-up.	Yes SON
	progress report reflecting the priorities and objectives set out Collection of Information from Member States pursuant to Re illicit trafficking in wildlife" adopted by the General Assembly In October 2018, the Commission adopted a progress report (https://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/progress_reportafficking_en.pdf) on the implementation of the Action Plan. To by a document (see https://ec.europa.eu/environment/cites/pdf/annex_progress_dlife_trafficking_en.pdf) containing an overview of measures to objectives of the Action Plan. More detailed information can be countries' contributions to the report. An evaluation of the overunderway.  Traffic makes yearly overviews of the EU illegal trade data. The CITES EU Enforcement Group Meeting.  If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do thave you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?  If 'Yes', what do you do?  If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to tools useful to you:  There is a very good and long lasting cooperation in Belgium Authority and enforcement authorities such as customs investomprehensive CITES training for customs officers as well as conducted on a regular basis by the Management Authority.  7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and pretechnology, and capacity to use specialized investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?  Article 5 of the law of July 1981 gives the amount of the penalities: minimal fine of €26 (multiplied by 8 (€208)) to €50.000 (multiplied by 8 (€400.000)) and imprisonment of six months to five years.  Article 5 bis of the same law allows administrative sanctions, in case there is no criminal prosecution.

1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime <sup>1</sup> in your country?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: see above, for as far as poaching the Walloon, Flemish and Brussels regions ensures the imple Conservation Act and the Nature Park Decree in Belgium	g of native wildlife is con	
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>2</sup> to support the investigation of CITES offences?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report:		
	Birds In order to assess the parenthood of juvenile bird specimens cl captivity from the founder stock of the applicant, the CITES Ma DNA testing, performed by the CITES inspectorate. In the subs Genetic tests were performed by DNA testing laboratory Gendi for several birds species Bubo bubo, Aquila chrysaetos, Psittact Falco peregrinus, Falco peregrinus x Falco rusticolus, Falco rusti performed by Servicio de Genética of the Facultad de Veterinar species: Falco peregrinus babylonicus.	inagement Authority ord equent years: ka in the Netherlands () us erithacus Falco cherru icolus, Also, genetic test	lers a ug, t was
	<u>Caviar:</u> One caviar shipment was analysed in 2020 by BOP Organisms and Tissues of Policy Concern). BOPCO has accelaboratories at both the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Scient Museum of Central Africa (RMCA). BOPCO acts as a focal poin biological materials upon request, by providing access to the infrastructure.	ess to fully equipped D nces (RBINS) and the Ro t in Belgium for identify	NA oyal ving
	Bushmeat: Belgium performed a study on the illegal imports of 197 samples were taken from personal and leaking luggage. The University of Liège. Results can be found: https://www.biodive	ney were analysed by the	
	Moreover BOPCO conducted a study in which it explored sever bushmeat market in Brussels. More information		

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

<sup>2</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed s during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any	species	5	Yes No No information ned which migl	
	other Parties: In 2018 Belgium participated to operation Thunder 2020 Operation Thunder 20, organized by Interpol The operation was led by Belgian customs and CIT the Inspectorate. We learned that it would be better for example certain goods, or certain traffic from call participating countries would work on 1 main frown priorities. The CITES Management Authority give training on CITES or certain aspects. In 2020 texperts on identification of certain species. This brascientific together.	erstorn and W ES Ma er to na ountri ocus, i uses th	n, in 20 VCO. nagen arrow es or r nstead nese of g was	019 Operation nent Authority the scope of stregions. This wall of every court peration as an given with the	Thunderball and with the help of uch operations, would mean that atry deciding his opportunity to help of CITES
	In 2019 we participated to Operation Postbox II, le led by Belgian customs with the help of CITES Man The Inspectorate was able to participate in a Cyber possibility to transfer knowledge on certain IT seak knowledge on CITES to them.	agemo	ent Au l with	thority and the experts in the	e Inspectorate. field. This gave a
	In 2018 -2019 -2020 the CITES inspectorate, in coor Authority, participated at monthly or bi-monthly jo "BACON OPERATION") taking place at different air and Bierset). It is an initiative conducted by the Fed Chain, in cooperation with the Belgian Customs, the Health Products and CITES to detect illegal product in the EU by passengers coming from third countri different enforcement agencies of the different legis	point na ports deral A e Belg ets amo	tional in the Agency ian Fe ongst c ese giv	control operations country (Zaveta for the Safety deral Agency fothers bush mo	tions (so-called ntem, Gosselies of the Food for Medicines and eat brought back
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?			Yes No No information	n 🗆
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime <sup>2</sup>				
	Predicate offences <sup>3</sup>	Ш	Ш		
	Asset forfeiture <sup>4</sup>	$\boxtimes$			1 case of illegal import of

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

					timber
	Corruption <sup>1</sup>			$\boxtimes$	
	International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>2</sup>			$\boxtimes$	
	Organized crime <sup>3</sup>			$\boxtimes$	1 case of ille import of no CITES bird
	Specialized investigation techniques <sup>4</sup>				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is brief summary, including any lessons learned which mig				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the leging provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offend If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity to implement the leging provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offendation of the provided in the leging p	ices?		Yes No No information	on $\square$
ndicator	The number of Parties using risk assessment an listed species.	nd inte	elligend	e to combat ille	gal trade in CIT
ndicator				Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	

No information

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence <sup>1</sup> to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
	1		
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During th	ne period covered in this report:		Yes	No	No Information		
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g suspensions) been imposed for CITES						
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details:						
	Belgian legislation only provides for an	e, not bans o	or suspens	sions.			
	The records below have resulted in administrative fines (Article 5. bis of the Royal Decree of 9 April 2003) set out by our legal department (within the Federal Public Service of Public Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment). Once the offender has paid the administrative fine. If the offender doesn't pay the fine, it will be collected by a bailiff.						
	The amount of administrative fines is of takes into account: the seriousness of private person), the value of the specific	of the offence, the	nature of th	ne offende	•		
		2018	2019		2020		
	Total of infringements reports of administrative offences set out by CITES MA and inspection service	139	131		131		
	Total fines*	€ 29.528	€ 48.934	:	€ 35.731		
	* the total amount of <u>proposed</u> fine prosecutor's office for which our leg	gal department has	no record.		nd to public		
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecuti offences?	ions of CITES-related	d 🖂				
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types o	f offences? If availab	le, please at	tach detail	s:		
	Amongst other:						
	<ul> <li>Illegal import of 300 tortoises</li> <li>Illegal import of non CITES bir of intra EU Trade with CITES s</li> <li>Illegal intra EU trade of ivory p</li> <li>Illegal import of meat of Nile c</li> <li>Illegal import of meat of tortoi</li> <li>Illegal intra EU trade of birds of lillegal import of timber of the s</li> <li>Illegal import of meat of monk</li> <li>Illegal import of meat of Nile c</li> <li>Illegal transit of 109 sea horse</li> <li>Illegal import of 58 ivory piece</li> <li>Illegal intra EU trade with bird</li> </ul>	pecies (birds and to products rocodile se of prey species: Pericopsis sey rocodile and 21 pieces of ive es (15.8 kg)	rtoises) elata		fringements		
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court action related offences?	s against CITES-					
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved a	and what were the res	sults? Please	attach deta	ails:		
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens of		<del> </del>		k all that apply		
	Return to country of export  It is quite exceptional and occurs on	ly with the agreem		country of			
	origin and when the MA gets the assurance that the animal welfare requirements will be met.						

•	
- Public zoos or botanical gardens	$\boxtimes$
For live animals	
The Royal Zoological Society of Antwerp is the only rescue center officially recognized.	
For live plants	
The National Botanic Garden of Meise is the only rescue center officially recognized.	
For dead specimens	
- Royal Institute of Natural Sciences of Belgium, Brussels	
- Royal Museum of Central Africa (now called Africamuseum), Tervuren	
- Sea Life, Blankenberge : for educational purposes (ex: dried seahorses)	
- Customs: for educational purposes	
- CITES Management Authority: for educational purposes	
Designated rescue centres	$\bowtie$
Carapace" for reptiles/amphibians and turtles in particular.	
- "Revalidation center of Opglabbeek" mainly for birds and mammals	
"Aquarium of Liège University" for fish and corals.	
- "Museum of Natural History" in Tournai for reptiles and live amphibians.	
- "Nally's Papegaai", a rescue center specialized in parrots	
Approved private facilities	
When housing is not possible in one of the previous mentioned institutions,	
the service will look at alternative housings with adequate facilities to accommodate the specimens. Naturally this housing require an agreement	
of the CITES Scientific Coordinator and the CITES Management Authority.	
- Euthanasia	$\boxtimes$
It is quite seldom and occurs only if there is no other solution. Two seized	
specimens of bird of prey hybrids were euthanized since it was not possible	
for the rescue center to keep them at long term and not possible to release them into the wild.	
Other (please specify): Public sale – destruction	$\bowtie$
Public sale: only for the dead specimens of Annex BII species that are	_
seized.	
Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens?  Costs, public opinion	
We do sometime encounter problems of lack of place for seized animals in	
rescue centers, particularly when judicial decisions take a long time. We do	
sometime send seized animals to rescue centers in other Member States when needed ex 'Stichting Aap' in the Netherlands.	
Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?	

# **Objective 1.8** Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings?   Permit officers?   Enforcement officers?	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?  What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? updated to more recent information	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?  What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

## GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

**Objective 2.1** Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?	Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.	110	Ш
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	According to Art 8.3 of EU Commission regulation No 865/2006 of 4 May 2006 laying down detailed rules concerning the implementation, the Management Authorities shall decide on the issue of permits and certificates <b>within one month</b> of the date of submission of a complete application. If we need to consults		
	third parties, we inform the applicants of significant delays in the treatment of their applications.		
	During the reporting period performance levels did fall below the expectation due to some periods of high demand of documents (a.o breeding seasons or new Appendix I listing ex African Grey parrots), shortage in staff, and Covid period since March 2020.		
	In such circumstances, the stakeholders are kept informed.		
	We have no specific Key Performance Indicator to estimate the performance of our standards.		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>2</sup> ?	Yes No	

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? Issue of permit ((re)export / import )within 2 weeks of application			
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?	$\boxtimes$		
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	The Belgian Scientific Authority consists of one coordinator and different experts from different universities, scientific institutes, museums, botanical gardens and zoos, consultants around the country. These experts are included into five different sections: mammals (3 experts), birds (3), reptiles, amphibia and fish (4), invertebrates (1) and plants (6). The members of this Belgian "Scientific Committee" work on CITES related matters on a voluntary basis but they are entitled for reimbursement for their travel expenses and for the drafting of their expertise reports. This committee meets 3 to 5 times a year, usually before each meeting of the EU Scientific Review Group. The Belgian Scientific Committee works with an 'internal rules' procedure which enables this Committee to work efficiently and plans the deadlines for scientific advices. If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? Time-related advices should be available within 10 working days  If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report: Rather fulfilling		Yes No	
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:  - availability of funding?  - number of staff?  - a shortage of skills?  If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?  Need of more experts for the invertebrates section (very difficult to engage this kind of expert) of our Scientific Committee. Steps were taken in 2018-2019 and 2020 to recruit new CITES experts, in Psittaciformes, Chondrichthyes,  Herpetology, Entomology (Lepidoptera), Arachnology, Forest management, Plant taxonomy, and Taxidermy	Yes		No ⊠ ⊠

NB A new ministerial de framework of the impler International Trade in En Flora has been published https://www.etaamb.be 2021 n2021030578.htm	mentation of the ndangered Spe d on 9 March 2 /fr/arrete-mir	ne Convention on ecies of Wild Fauna and 021			
2.2.1c Do you have an approved enforcement authority(ies) If 'No', please go to Quest If 'Yes', for which services standards? The CITES In controls has set up interdecisions in the frameword guidance for the control etc.).  Law 28 July 1981 art 7.4 reports have to be sent to 15 days of date of control	ion 2.2.1d. are there standspection Servional procedures ork of controls of Annex BIIs provides that to the public procedures	dards, and what are those ce that deals with CITES is to standardized and irregularities (ex. species, decisions tree, the infringement		Yes No	
If 'Yes', do you have perfo If 'Yes', what are your perfo If possible, please provide standards during the perio	formance targer	ts? nce against service		Yes No	
	erformance tarç	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
2.2.1b, or 2.2.1c, relating	to the existence	r answered 'No' to the first pe of approved service standa	ards for your au		
Mana	he following for gement rity(ies)	your authorities to function Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforc	ement	
Funding? Yes 🗵	] No 🗌	Yes ⊠ No □	Yes 🗌	No ⊠	
Staff? Yes □	] No 🛛	Yes ⊠ No 🗌	Yes 🗌	No ⊠	
Skills? Yes 🗵	〗No □	Yes 🗌 No 🛚	Yes ⊠	No 🗌	

### The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities: - changed the budget for activities; Indicator 2.2.2:

- hired more staff;
- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period	
	covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES	
	implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable

****							
		Development of implementation tools					
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement						
	Other (please specify):						
	During the period covered in this report budget for your:	, was the	Increased	Stable	Decrease	ed	
	Management Authority(ies)		$\boxtimes$			***************************************	
••••	Scientific Authority(ies)			$\boxtimes$			
••••	Enforcement authorities		$\boxtimes$				
	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increased of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applica	able	
	Management Authority(ies)?			$\boxtimes$		***************************************	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?			$\boxtimes$			
****	Enforcement authorities?			$\boxtimes$			
	What is the respective level of priority for the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	eness of CITES i	mplementatio	n a	
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Prio	rity	
	Hiring of more staff	$\boxtimes$					
	Development of implementation tools			$\boxtimes$			
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement						
	e-permitting						
	Other (please specify):						
	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No		
	Species information_we use CITES Ch Species+ (WCMC)	ecklist			$\boxtimes$		
	Trade information_our CITES database above 2.2.2.a , CITES trade database Secretariat), for illegal trade : EU TW database	(CITES	$\boxtimes$				
	Non-detriment findings			П			

Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species

Other (please specify):

2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🛛 N	o 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretaria https://www.health.belgium.be/en/animals-and-plants/animals/cites-and-especies/cites-permits-certificates-and-tariffs		-
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife or	onservation	?
		Entirely	$\geq$
		Partly	
		Not at all	
	The fees for CITES documents and others outcomes (fines) are supplying the CITES Fund'. This fund is used to finance several staff members of the MA an enforcement team, but also for subjects related to CITES for example: a project sustainable use of <i>Pericopsis elata</i> in DRC, the EU TWIX database, the ETIS DNA analyses, costs associated with the accommodation and storage of seize animals or plants, organization of public sales, costs associated with the prince	nd the ect of project, ed	
	CITES documents, specific software for TCM database etc,		
	<u> -</u>	ot relevant	
2.2.3d	N	Yes	No
2.2.3d	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		
2.2.3d	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?	Yes	
2.2.3d	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?	Yes	
2.2.3d	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:	Yes	
2.2.3d	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?	Yes	

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo
	Due diligence
	Compensatory mechanisms 🔲 🖂
	Certification 🖂 🗌
	Communal property rights 🔲 🛛
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges  ⊠
	Enforcement incentives
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information: The fact that all infringements have a follow-up (either via the judiciary system for bigger offences or via the administrative system for minor offences) will have a dissuasive effect. Also, the system allows us to recover the cost of for example the keeping of confiscated animals taken from the offender.
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all
	Very little□
	Somewhat
	Completely

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

**Objective 2.3**Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building activities <sup>1</sup> have you run during the period covered in this report?	Without assistance from the Secretariat	Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
	None		$\boxtimes$
	1		
	2-5		
	6-10		
	11-20		
	More than 20	$\boxtimes$	

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activitie	s have t	aken pla	ace?			
	National training on CITES for all enforcement agents, but are in charge enforcements this in order to enhance the specimens the specimen	Food Cludges)  Ingon hific CITH  I cal cas  I rge of h	nain (sa are givenandling ES speci es was nandling	nitary of en on a g dange es, f.e. iv given g lost, e	depar a yea erous vory a for f	tmen arly b anir and iv irefig ed ar	at at the borders) and the pasis. Some enforcement mals. Some enforcement rory art, TCM, A general phters, who are not law and potentially dangerous
	In 2018 -2019 -2020: the CITES in Authority, participated at monthly of "BACON OPERATION") taking place and Bierset).	r bi-mo	onthly jo	oint nat	ional	cont	rol operations (so-called
	It is an initiative conducted by the cooperation with the Belgian Custor Products and CITES to detect illegal p by passengers coming from third enforcement agencies of the different	ns, the products countri	Belgian s among es. The	Federa gst othe se give	l Age rs bus oppo	ency f shme ortun	for Medicines and Health eat brought back in the EU lities to inform different
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?	ī	T	1	T	4
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	$\boxtimes$					Ongoing process
							with EU commission
	Staff of Scientific Authority						Guidance for establishing advice (making NDFs) on the import of corals as well as similar guidance for the import of terrestrial invertebrates
	Staff of enforcement authorities						Development of a Wood Identification/Forensic Center (negotiations have started between all the relevant actors). Timber identification with the current techniques takes too long.
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public Other (please specify)						
	I I ITHER (NIESCE CHECITY)	: 1 1	: 1 1	: 1 1	: 1 1	: 1 1	:

### GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

  Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes  No  No information		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided  Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)		
	EU MS							Belgium finance on a yearly bas the managemen of EU-TWI (www.eu-twix.org) that being used by a the EU MS		
	African Elephant Range States	$\boxtimes$						Funding of Africa Elephant Fun (see 3.3.2 a)		
	All CITES Parties							Development of ETIS Databas (https://cites.org eng/prog/etis)		
<u>b</u>										
Objective Indicator 3	Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target	12 and ∃ e been i	Target 18	8. in CIT	ES aw	arene	ess ra			
3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involve activities to bring about better awarer requirements by the wider public and — Press conferences	ness of t	he Con	vention	ı's	,		Relevan User r public Groups		
	<ul> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Newspaper articles, brochures, le</li> <li>Television appearances</li> <li>Some interesting examples</li> </ul>	aflets					-			

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

<ul> <li>CITES colleagues participated in the Thunder2020 operation coordinated by Interpol see in pictures in the video made by Antwerp Zoo below. https://youtu.be/UsP3a4WmqOA.</li> </ul>	
TV interview on RTBF on 29/8/2019 on the results of the COP by Joelle Smeets (communication cells)	
<ul> <li>Radio appearances</li> <li>Presentations</li> <li>Public consultations / meetings (see below)</li> <li>Market surveys</li> <li>Displays</li> <li>Wildlife Traffic Exhibition @ European Parliament: (9-11 July 2018). Main objective is to raise awareness on the issue of wildlife traffic among the members and collaborators of the European Parliament. An evening event was organised on Tuesday 10 July with among others Belgian politicians, Customs, media, NGO's.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Information at border crossing points</li> <li>Telephone hotline</li> <li>Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) <u>www.citesinbelgium.be</u></li> </ul> Some interesting news (non exhaustive)	
in 2018: <a href="https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/controles-chez-les-antiquaires-plus-de-100-pieces-en-ivoire-saisies">https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/controles-chez-les-antiquaires-plus-de-100-pieces-en-ivoire-saisies</a>	
https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/lutte-contre-le-trafic-des-cornes-de-rhinoceros-premiers-resultats-pour-les-inspecteurs-cites https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/270-kilos-divoire-	
recoltes-pendant-la-campagne-sors-tes-dents  https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/bois-importe-la-belgique-augmente-ses-controles-pour-lutter-contre-le-bois-illegal	
In 2019:	
https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/une-lutte-plus-efficace-contre-le-commerce-illegal-despeces-sauvages	
https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/la-belgique-participe-loperation-thunderball-menee-par-interpol	
https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/saisie-de-1200-kilos-dailerons-de-requins-et-de-raies	

in 2020:	
https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/la-belgique-active-dans-la-lutte-internationale-contre-la-criminalite-environnementale	
https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/saisie-dun-macaque-de-barbarie-par-linspection-cites	
<ul> <li>Other (specify):</li> <li>In 2018, the campaign "Get your teeth out" was launched, inviting people who want to get rid of their ivory to deposit it in containers at FPS Public Health or at zoological gardens namely Pairi Daiza, the Antwerp Zoo and Planckendael Zoo.</li> <li>This campaign organised on International Elephant Day was aimed to raise awareness of the illegal ivory trade. https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/sors-tes-dents</li> </ul>	
Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: Regarding communication with the CITES stakeholders, Belgium continues to inform the general public and the specialized sector by means of	
<ul> <li>leaflets containing information on the CITES regulations regarding birds of prey, parrots, reptiles and amphibians and also regarding the caviar consumers and traders. Belgium also continues to inform the tourists with a brochure "An endangered species is no souvenir"</li> <li>By mailing list on specific and general subjects</li> <li>Via our website <a href="www.citesinbelgium.be">www.citesinbelgium.be</a></li> </ul>	
Presentation/ Public consultations / meetings For Traders /Associations of Birds:	
Traders and the public received capacity building mostly in the form of oral and written guidance and technical assistance.  -In October 2018, for the Belgian birds association 'LPC' Limburg's Parkieten Club' CITES MA gave a presentation on "Breeding and trading CITES birds".  -In November 2018, for the Belgian birds association birds of prey (Aalst) CITES MA gave a presentation on "Breeding and trading CITES birds".  -Since 2019, CITES MA organizes each year a meeting with the representatives of various bird associations in order to inform them on the new CITES dispositions and give them some statistics related to controlling operations (ex. number of birds yearly seized) leading to open discussions so as collaboration	
and trust are by this means enhanced.  For Rescue centres for animals	

In February 2020: CITES MA gave a general presentation on CITES and procedure with CITES specimens (adoption, cession etc) to 'CREAVES', centres approved by the Walloon region to collect, care for and, after revalidation, release wild animals

For traders/associations of art, antiques: yearly awareness raising trainings are given on antique specimens protected by CITES, amongst others, ivory products.

For Traders/associations of Wood sector

In October 2018 for Fedustria – 18.10.2018 – Sint-Martens-Latem near Gand) – « La CITES et le bois »

For Secondary schools - Colleges: Presentation are given on CITES and the importance of the Convention.

For Belgian Parliament: Events "DAG Senaat' on illegal wildlife trade" in 2018.

#### Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).  Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority					
	Staff of Scientific Authority					
	Staff of enforcement authorities				$\boxtimes$	
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	ebsite?	Exce	ellent	
				Goo	d	$\boxtimes$
				Aver	age	
				Poor	-	
				Very	Poor	
				No ii	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? ( which authorities find which functions/tools mos					intered,

### Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

**Objective 3.3** Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) <sup>1</sup> to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description:: An official mailing list exist in information exchange between experts working in the framework conventions. More in depth exchange is done on a case-by-case bas exchange is also used when the Belgian position needs to be define biodiversity conventions thus providing synergies and avoiding dup	of different biodiversis. This information of the different	ersity on

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	Belgium has contribute d to the African Elephant Fund since 2014. 50 000 euros were given in 2018 and the same amount in 2019.	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your count implemented which integrate CITES issues?	try	
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		$\square$
	Agencies for trade?		$\boxtimes$
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	$\boxtimes$	
	Local authorities or communities?		$\square$
	Indigenous or local peoples?		$\boxtimes$
	Trade or other private sector associations?	$\boxtimes$	
	NGOs?	$\boxtimes$	
	Other (please specify)		
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?		$\boxtimes$
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		$\boxtimes$
	Planning processes?		

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

National accounting?			$\boxtimes$	
	 	1		

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		Yearly Belgium funds the management of EU-TWIX (www.eu-twix.org) that is being used by all the EU MS

# Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the						
		Yes	No	Not Applicable			
	Appendix I						
	Appendix II						
	Appendix III						
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide	de:					
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary						
	The population of <i>Falco perigrinus</i> (App. I) has increased in Belgium, it is also the case for several other indigenous birds of prey.						
	for several other indigenous birds of prey.  The European eel ( <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> ) was listed in CITES Appendix II at CoP14 and the listing came into force in 2009. The biological status of the species has notably been monitored for years by the ICES/GFCM/EIFAAC2 Working Group on Eels (WGEEL). Due to its depleted status within Europe, the EU has been unable to make a positive non-detriment finding for the species since December 2010. Currently export and import of this species from and into the EU is not permitted, and all EU Member States have published a zero export quota for European Eel since 2011.						
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	Yes No No i	nformati	□ □ on ⊠			
	If 'Yes', please provide details:			_			

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No	
		No information	$\Box$
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment	Yes	
	Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP	No	$\boxtimes$
	implementation?		

Objective 3.5		Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited.  Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.							
Indicator 3.5.1:		The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.							
3.5.1a	multi beir If 'Yes fede base fede and	your country taken action under established bilateral or illateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from g unsustainably exploited through international trade?  No information information in the Belgian Biodiversity Platform initiated by a Belgian Biodiversity Office (BelSPO-funded). Its mandate is seed on a Cooperation Agreement between federal and Berated authorities (the federal government, the Communities, the Regions). For more information bis://www.biodiversity.be/4854							
Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.									
3.5.2a	that agre	rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on	
	Man	agement Authority(ies)		$\boxtimes$					
	Scie	ntific Authority(ies)							
	Enfo	rcement Authority(ies)							
General feedback  Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.									
		Item							
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if ch Web link(s)			ianged	nged Enclosed Not available Previously provide				□ □ ded ⊠	
Please list	any r	materials annexed to the report, e.	g. fee s	chedule	s, awa	areness	raisi	ng materials, etc:	
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?  No No Information								_	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required.									
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Yes Parties?  No No Inform If 'Yes' please provide details / links:						ation			
In tes ple	ase pi	iovide detalls / III IKS.							

How could this report format be improved? The format of the report is not user friendly, it is not easy to

fill it in. Some questions could be also more explicit.

Thank you for completing the report. Plea when it is submitted to the Secretariat.	ase remember to includ	de relevant attachment	s referred to in the report