



Implementation report 2018 - 2020 AUSTRIA

Federal Ministry Republic of Austria Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and Technology

Party	Austria
Period covered in this report	2018 - 2020
Department or agency preparing this report	Federal Ministry for Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and Technology
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Federal Ministry of Finance (Customs Authority) Scientific Authorities

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies,
legislation and procedures.
All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12,
Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No X If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable I If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No X If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Dependence on EU mechanism

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries			
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?	\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:

If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?	\square		
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	what mig	ht help you	i to do so: The
process is coordinated by the EU and an EU-wide system is	going to	be impler	nented. This

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in <u>Resolution</u> Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).

will include data exchange with Customs.

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?			
		Tick all applicable		olicable
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of <u>Resolution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.			
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		\boxtimes	
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes	
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.			
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:			

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?			
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements 🖂			
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements			
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements			
	No special reporting requirements applicable 🗌			
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes 🗌 No 🖂			
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?			

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Objective '	bjective 1.5Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.					14.
 Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses the sources of information cited in Resolution Co a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendic) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. 				Non-de	triment findings	related to:
1.5.1a		e any surveys, studies or other analyses been ertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the	population status of Appendix II species?		\square		
		trends and impact of trade on Appendix II cies?		\boxtimes		
		status of and trend in naturally-occurring pendix I species?		\boxtimes		
		impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I cies?		\boxtimes		
	relev	e the surveys, studies or analyses integrated vant knowledge and expertise of local and genous communities?			\boxtimes	

	If there are such studies that you are willing	to share, please provide:		
		A brief summary of the results of or other analysis (e.g. population stable / increase, off-take levels	on status, de s etc), or pro	ecline /
	Species name (scientific)	links to published reference ma	lenal.	
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies	s or other analyses used in makin	a non detri	ment
1.5.10	findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		y non-detin	ment
		Revised harves		·
		Strictor d	Banning omestic me	
		Changed managem		
		Discussion with Manag		·
		Discussion with of		olders? 🗌
		ther (please provide a short sumn	nary):	
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste			
		d species? No Not Applicable		
		No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, inc impact:	uding, if possible, an evaluation c	of their	
	General conservation measures for faun are however not specific to CITES or App		are in pla	ce, these
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	•	10 ⊠	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph do you use in making non-detriment finding		Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	becies biology, life history,	\boxtimes	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	ocations and at sites protected	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.		\boxtimes
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UI Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicat on trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
1.J.Za			Yes	No	information
	De very herre standard presedures for melling new detriment				
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> ?			\boxtimes	
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where th on the internet:				efound
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	wina			
-	guidance been used?	5	Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual C	College			
	IUCN Ch	necklist			\boxtimes
	Resolution Con	nf. 16.7			\boxtimes
	2008 NDF wo	rkshop			\boxtimes
	Species specific gu	idance			\boxtimes
		Other			\boxtimes
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:	Guidanc	e dev	eloped	d nationally
1.5.2c		Case by	case		\boxtimes
	detriment findings?	Annually			
		Every two	o yea	ГS	
		Less frec	quently	/	
		A mix of	the ab	ove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings	would	be cha	anged:
	New scientific evidence, new findings of the Scientific Rev	iew Grou	up		

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other.
	' Species Name (scientific) Anguilla anguilla (The EU has been unable to make a positive non-detriment finding for the species. Currently export and import of this species from and into the EU is not permitted, and all EU Member States have published a zero export quota.)	Population Survey?	please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption? If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	Yes No t finding process:	

Objective 1.6 Indicator 1.6.1:		Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co- management of shared CITES listed species by range States.		

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative in place for shared population	Yes 🛛 No 🗌				
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species. See point 1.6.1a					
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan				

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?	
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes					Other EU Authorities	
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\square					Other EU Authorities	
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\square					Other EU Authorities	
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other (please specify): Manufactures						Musical sector	

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b								
	other range States?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details	
	Staff of Management Authority	\square					Other EU Authorities	
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\boxtimes					Other EU Authorities	
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\square					Other EU Authorities	
	Traders	\square	\square				Musical sector	
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?				
				es	u			
		L.	<u>></u>	etim	Offe	ې ا		
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Alwave	Further detail /	
					-			
	Information exchange						EU Exchange	
	Monitoring / survey					L		
	Habitat management					L		
	Species management					L		
	Law enforcement						Mainly EU Exchange	
	Capacity building		\square					
	Other (please provide details)							

Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
- formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information		
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?					
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?					
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?					
	 formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? 					
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details: Taskforce meetings (e.g. EU Action Plan) and cooperation in operational areas					

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information		
	If 'Yes', what do you do? The EU Action Plan against Wildlife Tra the evaluation started in 2020, but is not yet completed			
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?		
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	YesImage: ConstructionNoImage: ConstructionNoImage: ConstructionNoImage: ConstructionNoImage: Construction	r	
If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made: If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equiv tools useful to you:				

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No	\square					
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available Species Trade Act (2010), max. 5 years imprisonment, and if not court-relevant max. € 80,000		_					
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes	\boxtimes					
	recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	No						
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: Intent to earn a living with such crim similar crimes within the last year.							
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the	Yes	\boxtimes					
	investigation of CITES offences?	No						
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: Parental DNA test for birds claimed to be bred in captivity, mainly birds of prey and parrots. Different facilities within the EU were used; there is no facility available in Austria. Determination of the Eel species in shipments (import) of frozen eel meat. A facility to test this is available in Austria.							
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species,							

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

	please indicate which species it applies to: European Eel (see above); Ivory (determination of origin and age)						
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties:	Yes No No informatio med which mig	_				
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization	Soffence		Yes No No informatio	n 🗌		
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?		
	General crime ²	\square					
	Predicate offences ³						
	Asset forfeiture ⁴	\square					
	Corruption ⁵	\square					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶				Depends on potential max. penalty		
	Organized crime ⁷	\square					
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁸				Depends on potential max. penalty		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please probrief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:						
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the l provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES off	fences?		Yes No No informatio	on 🗌		
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	r capaci	ty-buil	ding needs:			

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-

¹ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

² General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁴ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁵ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

⁷ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

⁸ Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Yes No No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	During the period covered in this report: Yes No						
1.7.5a		Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?					
	lf 'Yes', pleas details: 210	se indicate ho	w many and for what types of offenc	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach	
1.7.5b	Have there b offences?	een any crimi	nal prosecutions of CITES-related				
	If 'Yes', how	many and for	what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	:	
	2018	2019	2020				
	15	11	7				
	Illegal Trade	e and Illegal I	mport of Annex I species				
1.7.5c	Have there b related offen		r court actions against CITES-			\boxtimes	
	If 'Yes', what	were the offen	ces involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:	
1.7.5d	How were ar	ny confiscated	specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply	
	 Return to 	country of ex	port				
	 Public zo 	os or botanica	Il gardens			\boxtimes	
	 Designate 	ed rescue cen	tres			\boxtimes	
	 Approved 	l private facilit	ies			\boxtimes	
	 Euthanas 	sia					
	 Other (please specify): Destruction (mainly relevant regarding plants and dead specimen) 						
	Have you en	countered any	y challenges in disposing of confisca	ated speci	mens?		
	Do you have	good practice	e that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?		

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? 🛛 🗌 Permit officers? 🔹 🔲 Enforcement officers? 🔄 🗍	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?		Yes No	$\exists X$
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	The Management authority shall decide on the issue of permits and certificates within one month of the date of submission of a complete application.			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? Issue of permit/certificate within 2 weeks of application		Yes No	\square
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	\square
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report: Permits/certificates are, with exceptions, issued within the 4			
	weeks provided for in EC Reg. 865/2006.			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	⁄es		No
	– availability of funding?			\boxtimes
	– number of staff?			\boxtimes
	– a shortage of skills?			\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			_
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	⁄es		No
	– availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	 a shortage 	e of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?		
2.2.1c	Do you have a enforcement a If 'No', please If 'Yes', for wh standards?	Ye N			
	lf 'Yes', do you If 'Yes', what a	Ye N			
		ease provide your performa			
	a result of:	meet your performance targ	gets then was this shortfall	Yes	No
	 availability number of 	of funding?			
	– a shortage				
	•	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?		
2.2.1d			r answered 'No' to the first pa e of approved service standa		
	Do you have	sufficient of the following for	r your authorities to function e	effectively?	
		Enforceme Authority(ie			
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No	
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No	
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No	

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
 hired more staff;
 developed implementation tools;
 purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable					
	Hiring of more staff						
	Development of implementation tools						
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement						
	Other (please specify):						
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased			
	Management Authority(ies)		\square				
	Scientific Authority(ies)		\square				
	Enforcement authorities		\square				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable			

	Management Authority(ies)?				
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				\boxtimes
	Enforcement authorities?				\square
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority f the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	veness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff	\boxtimes			
	Development of implementation tools			\boxtimes	
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement				
	e-permitting		\boxtimes		
	Other (please specify): awareness in judiciary	\boxtimes			
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	J.	Yes	Under development	No
	Species information				\square
	Trade information		\square		
	Non-detriment findings				\boxtimes

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick	all that are appli	icable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or intro the sea) 	duction from	\square
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction of CITES-listed species) 	from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	 Other (please specify): 		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🛛 N	o 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secret https://www.ris.bka.gv.at/eli/bgbl/ll/2006/371	etariat:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wild	life conservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	\boxtimes
		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures ¹ such as	those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention?	YesNo
	Due diligence	$\Box \boxtimes$
	Compensatory mechanisms	\Box
	Certification	\Box
	Communal property rights	\Box
	Auctioning of quotas	$\Box \boxtimes$
	Cost recovery or environmenta	l charges⊡ ⊠
	Enforcement incentives	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use further information:	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity b	een eliminated? Not at all
	Very little	
	Somewhat⊠	
	Completely	

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

- **Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacitybuilding programmes. Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.
- Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building activities ¹ have you run during the period covered in this report?				Withou from th Secreta	e	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone			
				1			
				2-5			
				6-10 1-20			
		ſ	ı More tha				
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:			_	_
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place? Predominantly strengthening the capacity of our Scientific Authorities in the making of NDFs and effective controls of captivity breeding claims						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?					
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority		\square				
	Staff of Scientific Authority				\square		
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups	\square					
	NGOs						Sanctuaries for confiscated animals
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- **Objective 3.1** Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or finance or countries in relation to CITES?	you provided technical or financial assistance to another country ntries in relation to CITES?						information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management ²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	 Press conferences 		
	 Press releases 		
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 		
	 Radio appearances 		
	 Presentations 		\boxtimes
	 Public consultations / meetings 		\boxtimes
	 Market surveys 		
	– Displays	\boxtimes	
	 Information at border crossing points 		
	 Telephone hotline 		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) www.cites.at 		
	 Other (specify): Customs is present at the Tourism Fair in Vienna, not restricted too but including CITES awareness 	\boxtimes	
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	_ess requently	Not known
	Target group	Ő	3	2	 _	Ž
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes				
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\square			
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square			
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exc	ellent	\boxtimes
				Goo	d	
				Ave	rage	
	Poor					
				Very	/ Poor	
				No i	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					untered,

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

- **Objective 3.3** Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.
- Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	$\Box \Box \boxtimes$	
If 'Yes', please give a brief description: No formal measures for coordination are in p there is an informal exchange between colleagues working on these issues. Som MEAs mentioned are dealt with by the same ministry department the CITES MA is				

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards?	our country	0	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?			
3.3.2c	2cHave there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:Yes			
	Agencies for development?		\square	
	Agencies for trade?			
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\square		
	Local authorities or communities?	\square		
	Indigenous or local peoples?			
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\square		
	NGOs?	\boxtimes		
	Other (please specify)			
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No	
	National and local development strategies?		\square	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\square	
	Planning processes?		\square	
	National accounting?		\square	

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the						
	CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Ye	s No	Not Applicable				
	Appendix I						
	Appendix II	1					
	Appendix III						
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary						
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or	Yes					
	emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	No					
		No informati	ion 🛛				
	If 'Yes', please provide details:						

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

- **Objective 3.5** Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.
- Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)		\square				
	Scientific Authority(ies)		\square				
	Enforcement Authority(ies)						

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item					
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed				
Web link(s)	Not available				
	Previously provided	\boxtimes			
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc:					
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes	\boxtimes			
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No				
	No Information				
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required. Technical issues with the national Species Trade Act have been identified and it is currently under revision.					
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	other Yes				
Parties?	No				
	No Information	\boxtimes			
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:					
How could this report format be improved?					

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.